

DOUGLAS COUNTY ADVISORY BOARD TO MANAGE WILDLIFE
Minutes of February 1, 2011 Meeting

The DOUGLAS COUNTY ADVISORY BOARD TO MANAGE WILDLIFE was scheduled for **5:30 p.m. on TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 1, 2011 in the CVIC HALL 1602 ESMERALDA AVE, MINDEN, NEVADA.**

PRESENT: Chairman Michael Turnipseed
Bob Cook
Eric Scheetz
Kevin Servatius

ABSENT: Vice-Chairman Craig Burnside

OTHER STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT: Carl Lackey, NDOW
Commissioner Tom Cavin

CALL TO ORDER

Chairman Turnipseed called the meeting to order at 5:30 p.m. and noted Vice Chairman Burnside was absent.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

MOTION by Scheetz/Cook to approve the agenda as presented; carried with Burnside absent.

ACTION ON APPROVAL OF MINUTES – November 23, 2010

MOTION by Cook/Scheetz to approve the minutes as presented; carried with Burnside absent.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Kathryn Bricker talked about the leading role the Board took regarding bear proof trash containers. Since the bear issue is a contentious issue, she would like to see a citizen's focus group established to oversee bear stewardship. This group would reflect the different voices in the community and help develop revenue streams that could be used for public education and bear management.

Mr. Servatius recommended Ms. Bricker attend a Master Plan update workshop and provide input at that time.

Public comment closed.

ADMINISTRATIVE AGENDA

8A. ACTION REGARDING COMMISSION REGULATION 11-07-BLACK BEAR HUNT. The Commission will consider adoption of recommendations to the 2011-2012 black bear hunt seasons, dates and quotas, including limits, hunting hours, special hunt eligibility, animal gender and physical characteristics, and legal weapon requirements and manner of hunting.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Sheila Schwadel spoke in opposition to the bear hunt. She feels adoption of this would be a black mark for Douglas County. She would like an EIS done first and would like to see scientific data supporting the bear population in Douglas County. This should be done before the Board makes any recommendation. She would also like to see a countywide bear proof trash container ordinance.

Cheryl Hawkins spoke in opposition due to safety concerns while taking part in outdoor activities.

Dave Beronio spoke in support. He talked about the efforts made by different sportsmen groups versus animal rights and anti hunting groups to preserve and protect wildlife. Quoted was the relevant NRS policy regarding the management of wildlife and wildlife problems. Evidence shows an established bear hunt will be a benefit to managing populations and will lead to a healthier population of bears.

Kathryn Bricker said a petition was submitted with 1800 Nevada signatures on it who are opposed to the hunt. Issues with a hunt in the Sweetwater Range relate to the number of bears that are really there and hunters trespassing on private property when deer hunting. She fears this hunt will open our borders to organized crime out of California and she cited statistics to demonstrate this. She talked about seven studies that show a correlation between hunting and an increase in nuisance bears. Her biggest concern is the lack of a democratic process. She recommended this item be tabled.

Rhonda Moore thought the main issue is public safety; people will never properly contain their garbage. For this reason she supports a bear hunt.

Chris Callinan stated a bear hunt will not bring in a criminal enterprise. Poaching will occur whether there is a season or not. Considering the number and severity of public safety issues that already exist, a bear hunt is nothing. He supports a bear hunt.

Dick Erwin feels the current bear problems are largely a result of people feeding them. They are no longer afraid of humans but a bear hunt will change that and the result will be the elimination of some of the problem in the Tahoe Basin. He supports the bear hunt.

John Larson agreed with the previous two speakers. Because the bears are accommodated, they have no fears. He believes in wildlife management so as long as

people encroach on wildlife, there needs to be some management.

Ed Martin supports the bear hunt. He does not feel safety will be an issue because hunters are taught safety from the beginning. Establishing a hunt in an area where there is already established hunts for other species will not be a problem.

Mr. Servatius read an email submitted by Kathryn Bricker into the record. That email contained 1800 signatures opposing the hunt and Mr. Servatius determined those signatures to be valid.

Chairman Turnipseed said he received several emails regarding the hunt and most stated concerns with the lack of science to determine if there should be a hunt. He thinks many of the emails were misdirected because a hunt has already been decided. This agenda item relates to seasons, quotas, etc.

Public comment closed.

Carl Lackey, NDOW, provided the background on the model used to gather the scientific data relied on to make recommendations on the bear hunt. He feels the data is very strong.

Addressing the issues raised by opponents of the hunt, Mr. Lackey stated:

- There are congested area laws in effect in Washoe, Douglas, and Carson City and the regulation does not change these laws.
- The area they are recommending be opened up for bear hunting is 3 million acres so proximity to urban centers is a miniscule amount of the total area they are recommending. The chance of 45 tag holders running into someone hiking on the Rim Trail is almost insignificant.
- Hunters bring a lot of money into the tourism industry. No one in the tourism industry has expressed a concern about a few tag holders having an effect on the amount of tourists at Lake Tahoe.
- Our population of bears is part of a much larger geographic population of bears. There are 10,000-15,000 bears in the Sierra Nevada. The recommended quota of 20 bears is half of our sustainable harvest; the population will continue to increase whether they are hunted or not. In order to impact the population, an *additional* 40-60 bears would have to be taken out annually. These bears need to be managed like every other big game species is managed.
- The season will close when 20 bears or six females are killed. Hunters will have to check in before going out to determine if the limits have been reached.
- This is a temporary regulation until it goes before LCB in November, 2011, when it becomes permanent.
- Bears need to be managed and staff needs a management option. He killed several bears this year that hunters could have taken. A legal harvest will solve site specific problems but will not be a permanent solution to the conflict

problem.

- Baiting will not be allowed but dogs will be.

At this point, the Board heard item #4.

4. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING ELIMINATING LATE DEER SEASON HUNTS IN UNITS 192,194, AND 196 AND ESTABLISHING ONE SEASON ONLY WITH THE GOAL OF ELIMINATING URBAN INTERFACE HUNTING PROBLEMS CREATED BY THE LATE WINTER HUNTS.

No public comment.

Carl Lackey, NDOW, explained previous hunt seasons where designed to increase opportunity and take advantage of the migratory deer herd that comes over in mid December. For the past few years, the snow level has brought the deer down closer to the homes and there have been public safety complaints about that. In response to it, they are proposing the late season hunt be eliminated with the exception of the archery hunt.

He discussed the 60 deer collared in Units 192 and 194 for the purpose of a deer study.

At this point, the Board deferred further discussion on this item and returned to item 8A.

8A. ACTION REGARDING COMMISSION REGULATION 11-07-BLACK BEAR HUNT. The Commission will consider adoption of recommendations to the 2011-2012 black bear hunt seasons, dates and quotas, including limits, hunting hours, special hunt eligibility, animal gender and physical characteristics, and legal weapon requirements and manner of hunting.

Mr. Scheetz stated he had read the proposal from Incline Village and the proposal relating to the opposition to the bear hunt but did not read anywhere where it talked about "anywhere in the Tahoe Basin". He has concerns whether the laws are sufficient to satisfy their concerns about public safety and also with the perception.

Mr. Servatius thinks this is a controversial topic and the general public is largely against this hunt. He is concerned the sportsman perception will be misrepresented and our interests will not be served. He personally supported this hunt at the November, 2010 meeting. However the issue of public safety concerns and other concerns were raised against this hunt. Mr. Lackey has demonstrated the bear population is harvestable and the hunt would be good game management. At the same time, in the interest of our image of sportsmen and only 1% of the total area being in the Tahoe Basin, some battles should not be fought and sportsmen can compromise. He suggested the Basin could be defined by the 150 mile Rim Trail and be considered a congested area for the purposes of bear hunting; this is a proper compromise. He could support a bear hunt while honoring the general public's concerns.

Mr. Scheetz said another concern is the timeframe of August to December to take 20 bears. He would like to see a shorter period of time since the quota is small.

Mr. Cavin stated that season was suggested because it mirrors the California season.

Mr. Servatius thinks more consistency would be better in regard to the discharge distance from residential areas. It varies by county and is confusing to the sportsman.

Mr. Scheetz talked about the use of dogs and questioned the number of dogs allowed. Multiple dogs chasing a bear while hunters are hunting deer could cause conflict.

Chairman Turnipseed thought it would be more than one dog.

Unidentified voices responded from the audience to explain why multiple dogs are needed.

Bob Cook agreed with the concerns raised about public perception and supports the compromise of setting a boundary line. In his experience hunting in Tahoe, he has never seen any accidents or run into anyone but hunters. Many areas on the Lake side of the Rim Trail are miles from anything. He has a problem with closing the area off for the bear hunt because it could lead to closing it off for other hunts. He is opposed to closing hunting on the Lake side of the Rim Trail. Also, he supports having the bear hunt coincide with the deer hunt (August to November 5).

Chairman Turnipseed agrees with Mr. Cook. The decision to have a bear hunt has been made. There are many bears around Spooner and there are no urban interface issues around Marlette Lake.

PUBLIC COMMENT REOPENED

Dave Beronio agreed with not changing the boundaries. Closing those areas opens up the possibility of more regulations in the future. Hunters and sportsmen should be responsible and know the boundaries and distances, abide by the law and respect those who are not hunters.

Ed Martin reminded everyone the majority of the public does not hunt so the polls will show them opposed to the bear hunt. The season dates should coincide with the deer hunt. The management of the Basin is an issue because, through tax dollars, NDOW is providing a management tool. If the residents of the Basin do not want to use that tool then tax dollars should not go to dealing with the nuisance bears either. The bear hunt is not going to impact tourism.

Sheila Schwadel is in favor of drawing a line in the Basin. Marlette Lake is a heavily used area and it would be better to keep the hunt on the other side of the Rim Trail.

This is not a bear management tool because the amount of bears to be taken will not make a difference in the bear population.

Wes Emery said the public safety issue is the most important issue. He talked about the discharge distance in Washoe County and feels it would be beneficial for the regulation to be uniform in each county. He does not feel bear hunters will be at Marlette Lake due to the access and distance. He supports the bear hunt but would like to see it open past the Rim Trail and into the Basin. He would like to keep the bear season early and have a quota of 20 bears.

An unidentified woman spoke about the way residents at the Lake view bears and does not think the sportsmen are giving up much. She would like to see the item tabled. She supports the hunt being excluded from the Basin.

Public comment closed.

MOTION by Cook/Turnipseed to accept the black bear season and harvest objectives as presented with the following change to the season dates: start on the third Saturday of August and end on November 5th and coincide with the deer hunt. He does not want the blue grouse, mountain lion or quail seasons to coincide with the bear and deer season; they should stay as they are;

Mr. Servatius thought hunter congestion in urban areas was the reason NDOW was considering removing the late hunt and this will continue to be an issue for sportsmen. He is concerned this will not be addressed if the Tahoe Basin is not excluded.

MOTION; no action due to a split vote with Turnipseed and Cook voting Yea and Servatius and Scheetz voting Nay.

MOTION by Servatius/Scheetz to accept the black bear season and harvest objectives as presented with the following change to the season dates: start on the third Saturday of August and end on November 5th and coincide with the deer hunt. He does not want the blue grouse, mountain lion or quail seasons to coincide with the bear and deer season; they should stay as they are; and we would, on a boundary basis, exclude the Tahoe Basin using the Tahoe Rim Trail as that excluding demarcation line; no action due to a split vote with Servatius and Scheetz voting Yea and Cook and Turnipseed voting Nay.

At this point, the Board returned to item 4.

4. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING ELIMINATING LATE DEER SEASON HUNTS IN UNITS 192,194, AND 196 AND ESTABLISHING ONE SEASON ONLY WITH THE GOAL OF ELIMINATING URBAN INTERFACE HUNTING PROBLEMS CREATED BY THE LATE WINTER HUNTS.

PUBLIC COMMENT

An unidentified male stated he did not want to see the animals on the winter range being hunted. This will put 20 hunters in the subdivisions again and he would like to see the late season completely gone.

Public comment closed.

Mr. Scheetz felt putting pressure on the deer in the late hunt is not appropriate. There should be no late hunt at all for Units 192, 194, and 196.

PUBLIC COMMENT REOPENED

An unidentified male stated it is hard to find mature animals in the 201/204 hunt. The late season has been hard on the herd.

Public comment closed.

Mr. Servatius thought other hunters may feel discriminated against if the archery weapons class is allowed a late hunt. We should keep 192,194, and 196 all the same and move it back because of the urban interface.

MOTION by Servatius/Cook to support the recommendations made by NDOW with the exception we would move the archery season back to the traditional dates in August and those would be determined by NDOW. In Units 192, 194, and 196, there would be no late hunts; carried unanimously.

1. ELECTION OF CHAIRMAN AND VICE CHAIRMAN FOR THE DOUGLAS COUNTY ADVISORY BOARD TO MANAGE WILDLIFE.

This item was continued to the March 8, 2011 meeting.

2. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE TRI-COUNTY DEER RESTORATION WORKING GROUP. These recommendations may be forwarded on to Nevada Wildlife Commission.

This item was continued to the March 8, 2011 meeting.

3. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON THE PRESENTATION OF THE WAYNE KIRSCH CONSERVATION AWARD.

No public comment.

Discussion was held regarding the process used to select the recipient. There was agreement that the methodology and judging needs to be revisited.

MOTION by Turnipseed/Cook that the Douglas County Board does not agree with the methodology, judging, or the recipient; carried with Burnside absent.

4. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING ELIMINATING LATE DEER SEASON HUNTS IN UNITS 192,194, AND 196 AND ESTABLISHING ONE SEASON ONLY WITH THE GOAL OF ELIMINATING URBAN INTERFACE HUNTING PROBLEMS CREATED BY THE LATE WINTER HUNTS.

This item was heard earlier in the meeting.

5. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING A HERITAGE TAG FOR BEARS WITH THE FUNDS GENERATED DEDICATED TO BEAR MANAGEMENT AND PUBLIC AWARENESS.

No public comment.

Kevin Servatius thinks there are huge trophy boars and maybe those could be formulated to a minimum of one Heritage Tag.

MOTION by Servatius/Scheetz to recommend a Heritage Tag for a bear hunt with the funds generated to be used for bear management, public awareness, and ordinances addressing proper storage of trash in all counties facing the Carson Front, Carson City, and Washoe County included;

Chairman Turnipseed suggested a plaque be awarded designating when the first legal bear was killed in Nevada.

MOTION; carried with Burnside absent.

6. CORRESPONDENCE OR COMMUNICATIONS BOARD MEMBERS HAVE RECEIVED. This is an opportunity for Board members to discuss any correspondence or communication they may have received regarding matters over which the Board has jurisdiction and control. No action will be taken other than to possibly have an item placed on the next agenda for discussion and action.

All Board members received correspondence and/or phone calls related to the bear hunt. Mr. Servatius received a petition from Kathryn Bricker.

7. DISCUSSION ON THE RESULTS OF THE December 3 & 4, 2010 NEVADA BOARD OF WILDLIFE COMMISSION MEETING. There will be no action taken.

Chairman Turnipseed talked about the amount of public comment regarding abandonment of traps in the desert areas of Washoe County. There were many there opposed to the bear hunt.

No public comment.

The following items, 8A through 8Q, are items that will be heard before the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners at the next meeting, "February 4 & 5, 2011 at the Clark County Government Commission Chambers, 500 S. Grand Central Parkway, Las Vegas, Nevada. The Douglas County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife may take the following action, or a variation thereof, on each item: support the item, not support the item or not take a position on the item. Public Comment will be allowed on each item.

8A – 8Q. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING WHETHER THE DOUGLAS COUNTY ADVISORY BOARD SHOULD TAKE A POSITION ON:

8A. ACTION REGARDING COMMISSION REGULATION 11-07-BLACK BEAR HUNT. The Commission will consider adoption of recommendations to the 2011-2012 black bear hunt seasons, dates and quotas, including limits, hunting hours, special hunt eligibility, animal gender and physical characteristics, and legal weapon requirements and manner of hunting.

This item was heard earlier in the meeting.

8B. ACTION REGARDING ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES, REGULATIONS AND POLICY (APRC) COMMITTEE REPORT AND RECOMMENTATIONS. The Commission will be asked to take action on the APCR Committee's recommendations for changes to Heritage Project applications.

No public comment.

Mr. Scheetz expressed concerns about the extent of the discretion provided for the project relating to the statewide predation plan. It seems to be broad power.

There was no action taken.

8C. ACTION REGARDING CABMW BUDGET ADJUSTMENTS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2011. The Commission will review CABMW budget status reports and may make adjustments to CABMW budgets for Fiscal Year 2011.

No public comment.

No recommendation made for the DCABMW budget at this time but Mr. Scheetz will submit a tentative budget for consideration on the next meeting.

8D. ACTION REGARDING A REPORT FROM FERAL HORSE COMMITTEE, HORSE MONUMENT AND LETTERS AND/OR RESOLUTIONS.

- **THE COMMISSION MAY CONSIDER APPROVING A DRAFT LETTER TO THE STATE WATER ENGINEER IN REFERENCE TO THE ISSUE OF GIVING**

WATER RIGHTS MEANT FOR WILDLIFE TO WILD HORSES.

- **THE COMMISSION WILL DISCUSS THE PICKENS HORSE MONUMENT IN EASTERN NEVADA AND MAY PROVIDE GUIDANCE TO THE DEPARTMENT ON THE MATTER AND MAY APPROVE, MODIFY, CREATE, OR DENY A RESOLUTION AND/OR LETTER.**

PUBLIC COMMENT

Sheila Schwadel, representing several agencies, thinks this committee is trying to come to legal opinions about laws that are beyond their understanding. This activity should be conducted by the Commission's attorney. The committee should focus on identifying specific problems and asking questions. She discussed water on federal lands and the issues that arise when involving wildlife agencies. She requested the DCABMW take the position that the legal issues regarding water rights be referred to attorneys and this committee stay focused on wildlife issues. Also, she would like the DCABMW to encourage more practical management of range resources, wildlife and game species.

Public comment closed.

Chairman Turnipseed provided a bit of history on reserved lands and reserved water rights.

MOTION by Turnipseed/Servatius to have the Wildlife Commission stick to what they were chartered to do and that is manage wildlife and not manage the waters of the state.; let the State Engineer operate under his statutory authority;

Mr. Scheetz thought a letter to the State Engineer would be more beneficial. He would like to make sure the wildlife is taken care of and the horses are not keeping the wildlife from the water. He discussed his interpretation of the letter they are proposing.

Chairman Turnipseed added the need for the Legislature to fix the definition of wild horses.

Mr. Cook thinks they were trying to clearly define what water could and could not be used for. They did not want Pickens to have the exclusive water rights just for horses on their ranch.

MOTION; carried with Burnside absent.

8E. ACTION REGARDING BULL ELK HUNT AND SEASONS. The Department will provide a report and recommendations to the Commission on "management bull elk seasons" as described in a report from Cory Lytle of the Lincoln CABMW, and the Commission will discuss options for possible development of regulation changes for "management bull elk seasons": Options to set all cow elk seasons after the bull seasons; convert all muzzleloader bull seasons into "management bull seasons"; convert all archery bull seasons to "management

bull seasons”; create new any legal weapon “management bull seasons”; and no management bull seasons.

The Board thought more information was needed in order to consider this issue.

No action was taken.

No public comment.

8F. ACTION REGARDING NEVADA WATERFOWL HUNT ZONE AND SWAN HUNT AREA CHANGES. The Commission will hear options for the addition of a new waterfowl hunt zone for Nevada and an expanded hunt area for tundra swan. The Commission may accept these changes and initiate action by the Department to pursue these changes with the Pacific Flyway Council.

No public comment.

No action was taken.

8G. ACTION REGARDING COMMISSION REGULATION 11-03, BIG GAME SEASONS 2011-2012. The Commission will consider adoption of the 2011 and 2012 hunting seasons and dates for mule deer, pronghorn antelope, elk, bighorn sheep, and mountain goat, including limits, hunting hours, special hunt eligibility, animal gender, physical characteristics and legal weapon requirements, hunt boundary restrictions, and legal weapon requirements, emergency depredation hunt structure and statewide quotas, the 2011-2012 nonresident restricted deer tag quotas and seasons, and dates and times for indoctrination courses.

MOTION by Servatius/Cook to support the action regarding Commission Regulation 11-03, Big Game Seasons 2011-2012 with this exception noted: the late season archery hunt would not be included and would be moved back to traditional August date as we discussed earlier under Action Item #4 (Hunt 1341). The late hunt for areas 192, 194, and 196 would be eliminated;

No public comment.

MOTION; carried with Burnside absent.

Mr. Scheetz does not want to see all the seasons open up again suddenly for the late hunt.

8H. ACTION REGARDING COMMISSION REGULATION 11-04, BIG GAME SEASON APPLICATION ELGIBILITY, DEADLINE AND REMAINING TAG REGULATIONS 2011-2012. The Commission will consider adoption of the 2011-2012 big game tag draw application eligibility, deadline and return card questionnaire information including Restricted Nonresident Guided Deer Hunt draw application deadline and return card questionnaire information.

No public comment.

MOTION by Scheetz/Cook to support the proposed the big game season application eligibility, deadline and remaining tag regulations; carried with Burnside absent.

No action taken.

8L. ACTION REGARDING COMMISSION REGULATION 11-05, SILVER STATE TAG AND PARTNERSHIP IN WILDLIFE SEASON AND QUOTAS 2011. The Commission will consider the adoption of the 2011 Silver State Tag and Partnership in Wildlife hunt species, seasons, and quotas.

No public comment.

No action was taken.

8M. ACTION REGARDING COMMISSION REGULATION 11-06, HERITAGE TAGS, SILVER STATE TAG AND PARTNERSHIP IN WILDLIFE SEASON AND QUOTAS 2012. The Commission will consider the adoption of the 2012 Heritage Tag, Silver State Tag and Partnership in Wildlife hunt species, seasons, and quotas.

No public comment.

No action was taken.

8N. ACTION REGARDING COMMISSION REGULATION 11-08, MOUNTAIN LION SEASONS 2011-2012 AND 2012-2013. The Commission will consider adoption of recommendations to the 2011-2012 and 2012-2013 mountain lion seasons, dates, and harvest limits, including limits, hunting hours, animal gender, physical characteristics, hunt boundary restrictions, legal weapon requirements and manner of hunting.

No public comment.

No action was taken.

8O. ACTION REGARDING THE FINDINGS OF THE MULE DEER RESTORATION COMMITTEE. The Commission will have a second discussion of the report from the Mule Deer Restoration Committee. The Commission will be asked to accept the findings of the Mule Deer Restoration Committee and adopt some or all of them as findings and recommendations of the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners.

No public comment.

No action was taken.

8P. ACTION REGARDING COMMISSION REGULATION 09-09-AMENDMENT #1. The Commission will consider closure of a water(s) within the Clark County Wetlands in Las Vegas Wash, Clark County and a name change for the Fuji Park Pond in Carson City to the Baily Fishing Pond.

No public comment.

MOTION by Cook/Turnipseed to support the renaming of the Fuji Park Pond to the Baily Fishing Pond; carried with Burnside absent.

8Q. ACTION REGARDING ADDITIONAL APPLICATION HUNT SYSTEM REPORT FORMAT. The Commission may endorse or deny a request at the December 2010 Commission meeting from Commissioner Cavin to introduce an additional report format to publicly display a list of successful tag applicants by hunt unit on the huntnevada.com website.

No public comment.

MOTION by Servatius/Cook to support the action regarding additional application hunt system report format recommended by Commissioner Cavin; carried with Burnside absent.

9. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING ADVISORY BOARD MEMBER COMMITMENTS TO ATTEND UPCOMING WILDLIFE COMMISSION MEETINGS AND TO REPRESENT THE FINDINGS OF THE DOUGLAS COUNTY WILDLIFE ADVISORY BOARD. ONE MEMBER WILL BE DESIGNATED AS A SPOKESMAN FOR THE DOUGLAS COUNTY ADVISORY BOARD.

Mr. Scheetz will attend the Las Vegas meeting.

10. DISCUSSION AND ACTION TO SCHEDULE NEXT WILDLIFE ADVISORY BOARD MEETING.

The next meeting of the Douglas County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife is tentatively scheduled for Tuesday, March 8, 2011.

11. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

- Election of Chairman and Vice Chairman for the DCABMW.
- Tri County Deer Restoration Working Group.

MOTION by Cook/Scheetz to adjourn the meeting at approximately 8:20 p.m.; carried with Burnside absent.

The minutes of the February 1, 2011 meeting of the Douglas County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife are so approved this 8th day of March, 2011

Chairman