

CABMW RECOMMENDATIONS for the September 2009 Wildlife Commission Meeting
(Month/year)

Clark County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife meeting results

Submitted by Chairman Dr. Paul R. Dixon

To the Wildlife Commission, c/o Executive Assistant to Director Suzanne Scourby, sscourby@ndow.org

1. **Action Item No. 9: Translocation of Desert Bighorn to Utah Division of Wildlife Resources – Big Game Biologist Mike Cox** Utah Division of Natural Resources is requesting translocation of 20 desert bighorn sheep from Nevada to Utah during fall/winter field operations in FY 2010 occur

Recommendation

In Support In Opposition **See Below**

Other comments, including a dissenting viewpoint (different than the majority) or issue brought up during discussion:

The Clark CAB unanimously supported the request to transfer 20 sheep to Utah. Nevada has benefited 100 fold over the years from similar exchanges from the other western states in establishing our elk, rocky mountain big horn sheep and other big game species.

2. **Action Item No. 10 : Commission General Regulation Number 368–LCB File No. R040-09 - Chief of Operations Bob Haughian** The Commission may establish provisions pertaining to the electronic access to the 48 hour release of draw results.

Recommendation

In Support In Opposition **See Below**

Other comments, including a dissenting viewpoint (different than the majority) or issue brought up during discussion:

The Clark CAB unanimously supported this recommendation.

3. **Action Item No. 11: Commission General Regulation Number 369 – LCB File No. R041-09 - Chief Game Warden Rob Buonamici** The Commission may establish provisions pertaining to defining edible portions in the case of big game mammal, other game mammals, game birds and game fish.

Recommendation

In Support In Opposition

Other comments, including a dissenting viewpoint (different than the majority) or issue brought up during discussion:

The Clark CAB unanimously supported this regulation change but requested that the following clarifying language be added to Section 2 of Chapter 503 of the NAC: **2 (a) Meat from head and neck.**

4. **Action Item No. 12: Commission General Regulation Number 371 – LCB File No. R083-09 - Chief of Fisheries Mark Warren** The proposed regulation would remove an artificial lure only regulation for Crittenden Reservoir, limit method of take at Smith Creek Reservoir to only artificial lures with single barbless hooks and limit method of take at Dacey Reservoir to artificial lures only. Note: See agenda item #6 for support material.

Recommendation

In Support In Opposition **See Below**

Other comments, including a dissenting viewpoint (different than the majority) or issue brought up during discussion:

The Clark CAB unanimously supported this regulation change which will hopefully build new trophy fishing waters at the Dacey Reservoir for southern Nevada.

5. **Action Item No. 13: Commission Regulation Fishing Seasons and Bag Limits 09 – 09 – Chief of Fisheries Mark Warren** The Commission will establish fishing seasons, bag and possession limits, for the period of March 1, 2010, to February 29, 2012. Note: Please see Department publication entitled, “Recommendations for Fishing Seasons and Regulations” mailed under separate cover.

Recommendation

In Support In Opposition

Other comments, including a dissenting viewpoint (different than the majority) or issue brought up during discussion:

The Clark CAB unanimously supported the proposed fishing season and bag limit changes and had no additional recommended changes.

6. **Clark County Recommendation: Revision to Commission Policy #1** The 17 County Advisory Boards to Manage Wildlife (CABMW) were created by the Nevada State Legislature to increase public input at the grassroots level concerning wildlife management, rules, and regulations. Each CABMW is made up Nevada citizens who represent agriculture, sportsmen, non-consumptive wildlife, locally elected public officials, federal land agencies, Native American groups and public at large (including business). The CABMW consider input from the Nevada Department of Wildlife, including recommendations, biological data and information regarding the effects of wildlife. They also gather information from staff, the public and government agencies and make recommendations to the Wildlife Board in an advisory capacity. CAB chairs and Board members attend the Nevada Wildlife Commission meetings and present their County’s Advisory Board recommendations.

Based on this charter from the NRS statute, the Clark County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife unanimously voted to make the following recommendation to the Wildlife Commission for their evaluation of new language to add to Commission Policy #1.

“The Wildlife Commission would be required to consider County Advisory Board input and shall within 60 days of a Wildlife Commission meeting respond in writing with an explanation in the event that the recommendation of a majority of the responding CABMWs is not adopted by the Wildlife Commissioners, or in the event the Wildlife Commissioner adopts a recommendation that is materially different than the recommendation of the majority of the CABMWs. The Attorney General shall determine whether the Commissions’ recommendation is materially different from the recommendation adopted by the majority of the County Advisory Boards.”