

Washoe County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife

DRAFT OF MINUTES

Thursday ~ March 19, 2009 ~ 6:30 p.m.

Nevada Department of Wildlife

Conference Room B

1100 Valley Road, Reno, Nevada

MEMBERS

Rick Smith, Chair
Glen Copeland, Vice-chair
Daryl Harwell, Secretary
Rex Flowers
Thomas Wilson

1. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE *

Chair Smith led the Pledge of Allegiance.

2. CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL *

Chair Smith called the meeting to order at 6:35 p.m. A quorum was established.

PRESENT: Glen Copeland, Rex Flowers, Daryl Harwell, Rick Smith and Tom Wilson.

ABSENT: None.

3. APPROVAL OF JANUARY 26, 2009, MINUTES

Chair Smith asked that the second sentence of his comments under Agenda Items 8 and 9 be changed to read "For example, in the year round Heritage Tag, it appears that since it is a year round season that an aerial survey of any sort would be prohibited."

It was moved by Member Copeland, seconded by Member Flowers, to approve the January 26, 2009, minutes, as amended. The motion carried: Members Copeland, Flowers, Harwell and Chair Smith assenting; and Member Wilson abstaining.

4. PUBLIC COMMENTS *

There were no public comments.

5. COMMITTEE, MEMBER AND LIAISON UPDATES * – [Limited to items not appearing elsewhere on this agenda – discussion is limited to questions for clarification only].

5-1). Correspondence (including sportsmen's concerns) and Announcements * – Chair Smith commented that he had received correspondence from Tina Nappe (copy on file) concerning Agenda Item 14 that he will read into the record later in the meeting.

5-2). Update on the Sheldon Wildlife Management Plan * – None.

5-3) Overview of the February 6 and 7, 2009, Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners meeting * – Member Wilson noted that here had been significant discussion about Commission Regulation 1, which was sent back to Committee for further discussion and refinement.

* denotes NON action item

Washoe County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife – DRAFT Minutes

March 19, 2009

Page 2 of 13

5-4). *Update and status report on the Washoe County Predator Control Project and the Wildlife Damage Management Subcommittee* * – None.

6. BOARD MEMBER MEETING ASSIGNMENT – *A discussion and selection of Member(s) to attend the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners meetings on: 1) March 27 and 28, 2009, meetings in Reno, Nevada; and 2) May 15 and 16, 2009, meetings in Reno, Nevada.*

Member Harwell will attend the March 27 and 28, 2009, meetings.

Member Copeland will attend the May 15 and 16, 2009, meetings.

7. PETITION – *A review, discussion and possible recommendation to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners to approve, deny or otherwise modify a petition submitted by John V. Zenz to increase the Desert Bighorn Sheep season (November – December) by one (1) additional weekend and holidays available for hunters.*

Chair Smith provided an overview of the agenda item.

Member Copeland commented that he preferred to take no action since neither the petitioner nor a representative was present.

Member Flowers concurred, noting that it has been the practice of the board to not make specific recommendations on petition requests without either the petitioner or designee present.

It was moved by Member Harwell, seconded by Member Copeland, to take no specific action without a representative of the petitioner present. The motion carried unanimously.

8. PIW (Partnership in Wildlife) REGULATIONS – *A review, discussion and possible recommendation to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners on [suggested modifications to the PIW regulations.*

Member Flowers outlined concerns about PIW (Partnership in Wildlife) tag holders over harvesting animals in specific units. It is Member Flowers suggestion that a process that closes the Unit once the tag limit is reached be closed to holders of a PIW tag to protect the herd's status.

Member Wilson commented that the proposal had some merit and drew attention to the closure of all Swan hunting statewide once the quota of five (5) Trumpeter Swans had been reached.

Member Copeland noted that the Commission (Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners) had already set the season dates and that any request to change the dates should have been addressed at that time.

Member Flowers pointed out that this was Agenda item 8 and that the petition to change the season date(s) would be heard under Agenda Item 9. Member Flowers further explained that while the

* denotes NON action item

Washoe County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife – DRAFT Minutes

March 19, 2009

Page 3 of 13

season in a specific Unit would close to a PIW tag holder once the quota was met that resident tag holders could continue hunting. The intent is to apply this type of restriction on those species and units with a limited number of tags.

Member Harwell noted that the PIW and Heritage tag holders can hunt statewide and commented that this may be something the board wishes to pursue.

Chair Smith commented that holders of the PIW and Heritage tags have the same hunting rights as other hunters and explained that he opposed any process that would curtail that ability.

Member Flowers explained that the intent is to allow the NDOW (Nevada Department of Wildlife) to review herd stability to determine whether there is a sufficient herd size to support all hunters. If not then the unit would be closed for the remainder of the season.

Mel Belding noted that NDOW biologists do take the herd size into account as well as the overall quota, including PIW and Heritage tags when developing their quota recommendations.

During the discussion it was suggested that the herd analysis could take a year to complete and that the unit(s) would remain closed until the analysis was completed. It was reiterated that herd stability is always a consideration when developing tag quotas.

Shawn Shea noted that Agenda Items 8 and 9 are interlinked and that one way to address part of the issue is to issue a PIW or Heritage tag for either northern or southern Nevada.

No specific action was taken.

- 9. APPEAL PETITION** – *A review, discussion and possible recommendation to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners to approved, deny or otherwise modify an appeal petition from John V. Zenz requesting a reduction in the PIW (Partnership in Wildlife) Desert Sheep quota to one (1).*

Chair Smith opened the agenda item to discussion.

Member Flowers reiterated his previous concerns about the petitioner or designee not being present to answer concerns/questions.

Mel Belding encouraged the board to recommend denial of the petition and pointed out the significant amount of funds the PIW (Partnership in Wildlife) generates.

Judi Caron asked whether there was any support material provided with the petition.

Chair Smith noted that the support material indicated that the reduction was intended to prevent an over-harvest in a single unit and that while the board's policy has been to not act when the petitioner

Washoe County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife – DRAFT Minutes

March 19, 2009

Page 4 of 13

is not present appears to be inappropriate as the petitioner in this instance has provided support material.

Ms. Caron commented that the petitioner was present at the earlier Legislative Committee hearing and explained that she was not in favor of accepting the petition.

Chair Smith noted that one issue that had not been considered was the requirement if all Game Board took no action on petitions without the petitioner's attendance was the prohibitive cost and amount of travel to attend all seventeen (17) County Game Board meetings. Chair Smith noted that he had a similar concern on the previous petition and stated he would reopen the matter for reconsideration.

It was moved by Chair Smith, seconded by Member Wilson, to reopen Agenda Item 7. The motion carried unanimously.

It was moved by Member Copeland, seconded by Member Wilson, to recommend that the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners deny the John V. Zenz petition to reduce the number of Partnership in Wildlife Desert Bighorn Sheep tags to one (1). The motion carried unanimously.

7. **PETITION** – *A review, discussion and possible recommendation to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners to approve, deny or otherwise modify a petition submitted by John V. Zenz to increase the Desert Bighorn Sheep season (November – December) by one (1) additional weekend and holidays available for hunters.*

Chair Smith outlined the support material that indicates the intent to provide additional hunt opportunities for young and blue collar hunters.

Member Flowers commented that the issue should have been brought up and discussed during the season setting hearings. Mr. Flowers stated he would not support the petition.

Shawn Shea commented that, in his opinion, anyone drawing the once in a lifetime tag would arrange to have the required time off from work to hunt.

Mel Belding noted that not all Sheep seasons are in the months of November and December and asked how that issue would be resolved.

Judi Caron concurred with Member Flowers' comment that the issue could have been addressed during the season setting process.

It was moved by Member Flowers, seconded by Member Copeland, to recommend that the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners deny the John V. Lenz petition to extend the Desert Bighorn Sheep (November-December) season by one (1) additional weekend and holidays available to hunters. The motion carried unanimously.

- 10. COMMISSION POLICY 50 DUCK STAMP PROCEDURES** – *A review, discussion and possible recommendation to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners to approve, deny or otherwise modify a request to Policy 50 to reduce the number of Nevada Duck Stamps from 50,000 to 35,000.*

Chair Smith outlined the agenda item and drew attention to the support material on the reduction of the Duck Stamp print run from 50,000 to 35,000.

Member Harwell noted that the technology allowed the hunter to secure the stamp online without ever receiving the printed stamp. Mr. Harwell explained that he is supportive of the reduction in the number of printed stamps.

Member Wilson commented that he too supported the reduction and suggested a policy whereby an individual can come in after the season close to obtain a printed stamp, with proof of purchase, within a specified timeline. Mr. Wilson explained that the intent of not providing a printed stamp until after the close of the season is to prevent fraudulent use by transferring the printed stamp to another hunter.

Chair Smith noted that this is the second reading of the amendment and is an action item for the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners.

Member Flowers pointed out additional stamps could be printed if needed.

Mel Belding recommended that the timeline to claim printed stamps be limited to not more than a few months after the close of the season.

It was moved by Member Wilson, seconded by Member Harwell, to recommend that the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners approve the reduction in the number of printed Duck Stamps from 50,000 to 35,000 and that a procedure be implemented that allows a hunter, upon proof of an electronic purchase, an opportunity to receive the printed stamp within six (6) months after the season closes. The motion carried unanimously.

- 11. UPLAND GAME STAMP PROJECT LIST MODIFICATION** – *A review, discussion and possible recommendation to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners to approve, deny or otherwise modify approved Upland Game Stamp projects.*

Member Flowers noted the request to move funds from one project to another due to conditions that did not allow the first project to be completed.

Mark Adkinson – NDOW (Nevada Department of Wildlife), explained that the intent was to move the remaining \$4,250.00 in unused funds from the Rough Grouse project that had been rained out to the Mountain Quail radio collar program that will allow the NDOW to track the birds and better understand the success of the project and movement.

Washoe County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife – DRAFT Minutes

March 19, 2009

Page 6 of 13

Responding to Chair Smith's inquiry about the conditions that prompted the cessation of the Rough Grouse project, Mr. Adkinson noted that NDOW had been in contact with the State of Oregon and that the capture of Rough Grouse could not be completed again due to heavy rain and other weather conditions.

During the discussion it was explained that the reallocation of Upland Game Stamp Project funding could only be done with Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners approval. The intent is to use the remaining funds from the Rough Grouse project to add radio collars to track transplanted Mountain Quail to determine movement after transplant and declines in populations due to predation or other factors. Other discussion recalled that the Washoe County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife had approved the original list of projects and that this particular agenda item will forward a recommendation to the Commission that the remaining funds should be reallocated.

Mel Belding expressed his support for the proposal.

Member Wilson stated that the project proposal met the parameters of the Upland Game Stamp Project and that he could support the recommendation.

It was moved by Member Harwell, seconded by Member Flowers, to recommend that the Nevada Wildlife Commissioners approve the reallocation of the Upland Game Stamp project funds, as presented. The motion carried unanimously.

12. COMMISSION GENERAL REGULATION 367-T-014-09 TEMPORARY – A review, discussion and possible recommendation to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners to approve, deny or otherwise modify language to change NAC (Nevada Administrative Code) to allow eligibility of any resident of the State of Nevada or non-resident to obtain three (3) Mountain Lion tags in any license year.

Chair Smith provided an overview of the agenda item noted that the proposal would increase the number of allowable Mountain Lion tags from two to three. It is hoped that the increase in the number of tags will result in a higher rate of harvest.

During the discussion it was noted that while a significant number of tags had been sold the previous year only 140 Mountain Lions were harvested of a quota of 305.

Judi Caron commented that the previous season was the first in which hunters could choose to purchase a Mountain Lion tag online and that she believes an additional tag will not cause any harm.

Shawn Shea noted that while he is an avid Mountain Lion hunter he may go up to seven (7) years without a Mountain Lion. Mr. Shea noted that his clients are not out to decimate the population but rather to seek one specific cat.

Don Moldy commented that in his opinion, there is no statistical data to support the premise that Mountain Lions have any significant effect on Muledeer populations and outlined his research and comparison tag quotas, sales and harvest.

It was moved by Member Harwell, seconded by Member Wilson, to recommend that the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners deny Commission General Regulation 367-T-014-09 Temporary to increase the number of Mountain Lion tags from two (2) to three (3). The motion failed: Members Harwell and Wilson assenting; and Members Copeland, Flowers and Chair Smith dissenting.

There was additional discussion about the proposal. During the discussion it was suggested that the intent is to perhaps address predator control concerns. It was noted that only seven (7) individuals had actually harvested two (2) animals and that unless the units are “micro-managed” that it may be difficult to achieve harvest goals.

Mel Belding noted that while the harvest objective may never be realized it is, in his opinion, appropriate to raise the tag limit to three (3).

It was moved by Member Flowers, seconded by Chair Smith, to recommend that the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners to approve Commission General Regulation 367-T-014-09 Temporary to increase the number of Mountain Lion tags from two (2) to three (3).

Member Wilson stated he could support the modification based on additional testimony and comments.

The motion carried unanimously.

The meeting recessed at 7:47 p.m. and reconvened at 7:50 p.m.

14. LEGISLATIVE RECOMMENDATIONS – *A review, discussion and possible recommendation to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners to approve, deny or otherwise modify legislative recommendations.*

Chair Smith drew attention to copies of the legislative proposals (copies on file).

AB-183 – Additional Kind of Drawing for Existing Allotment of Big Game Tags

Chair Smith summarized AB-183 a proposal to develop an additional drawing from the existing allotment of big game tags. The additional drawing would come from the PIW (Partnership in Wildlife) Heritage Tag allotment of tags in the form of the Silver State Tag. The cost of the drawing for this particular tag is to be not less than \$15.00 and not more than \$50.00.

Member Flowers noted that there had been significant discussion during the Legislative Committee meeting and that some refinement of the language is being proposed.

Washoe County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife – DRAFT Minutes

March 19, 2009

Page 8 of 13

During the discussion it was noted that The Silver State Tag, if approved, would be drawn at the beginning of the main draw. As the discussion continued it was pointed out that it had been suggested the Silver State Tag also be open to the public and some consideration of accepting sealed bids. Other discussion noted that only fifteen (15) tags were allotted to the PIW/Heritage draw and that the Silver State tag would come from that specific allotment. Therefore, a combination of PIW/Heritage and Silver State tags could be allotted each year but could not exceed a total of fifteen (15) tags.

Mel Belding noted that the Elk tag had auctioned for \$39,000.00 this past season and that the Silver State Tag may generate a significant amount of income for the department.

Additional discussion noted that the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners set the number and species annually for the PIW/Heritage tags. It was emphasized that the Silver State tags would come from the allotment of PIW/Heritage tags and would not affect other hunt quotas. It was emphasized that the quota of fifteen (15) tags for the PIW/Heritage tags is set annually by the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners and that those allocations are taken into consideration by biologists is establishing the quotas for each unit.

It was moved by Member Wilson, seconded by Member Harwell, to recommend that the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners support AB-183 as written. The motion carried unanimously.

AB-241 – Percentage of Heritage Funds to be used Annually for Predator Control

Chair Smith outlined AB-241 (copy on file) and noted that this proposal would mandate that 40-percent of Heritage funds be used for predator control for Muledeer. Mr. Smith noted that there had been significant discussion about the proposed legislation at the previous meeting.

Member Wilson noted that he had received significant opposition to the proposed legislation noting that predator control projects can be submitted to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioner like all other requests.

Member Flowers commented that, in his opinion, legislation was not needed to provide a specific percentage of predator control activities and that requests for predator control should all be submitted for consideration.

Chair Smith commented that the first issue is why allocate 40-percent for Muledeer as well as allowing the legislature to determine how the Heritage funds should be expended.

Member Harwell noted that such a mandate would take funding away from other projects.

Washoe County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife – DRAFT Minutes

March 19, 2009

Page 9 of 13

Mel Belding concurred with Member comments and noted that Heritage funds, in his opinion, are intended to support trap and transplant activities. Mr. Belding asked that those present contact their representatives and ask them to vote against this legislation.

Don Moldy outlined past research on the cost effectiveness of predator control noting that the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners had not wished to accept the cost analysis.

Judi Caron commented that it is important to have a study area to substantiate the effectiveness of predator control and drew attention to the increased fawn production in the Granites with the Washoe County predator control program.

Mel Belding outlined the costs incurred by sheep and cattle ranchers compared to the cost per animal incurred in a predator control program. Mr. Belding noted that areas where Mountain Lion hunting is restricted are perhaps in the most need of help.

Shawn Shea pointed out that the main diet of Mountain Lions is wild horses and that the Heritage funds should focus on habitat restoration and enhancement. Mr. Shea noted that wild horses are the most destructive and their population is controlled by Mountain Lions.

Member Wilson emphasized that in his opinion only the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners should determine what projects and how much of the Heritage fund is used.

It was moved by Member Harwell, seconded by Member Copeland, to recommend that the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners oppose AB-241. The motion carried unanimously.

AB-246 Apprentice Hunting License

Chair Smith provided an overview of AB-246 that is designed to allow a person of 12 years of age or older and has never possessed a hunting license in any state or province to hunt with a licensed hunter age 18 or older. The first time hunter would be required to buy related stamps for the applicable hunt that is limited to Waterfowl.

Chair Smith noted that there needs to be some discussion about how any violations would be handled for the apprentice since only the mentor holds a valid hunting license. For example in the event the apprentice harvests the wrong specifics unintentionally there needs to be a methodology by which the apprentice can bring the matter forward to the proper authorities.

Member Wilson commented that he is supportive of a program to introduce new people to the outdoors and hunting. Of some concern is that the apprentice does not have to pass a Hunter Safety Course. Mr. Wilson noted that Waterfowl hunting is a split second process that could result in injury. Therefore, it is Member Wilson's belief that a Hunter Safety Course be made mandatory.

Washoe County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife – DRAFT Minutes

March 19, 2009

Page 10 of 13

Chair Smith noted that it would be the responsibility of the mentor to assure that the person being introduced to hunting addresses safety concerns with the apprentice. Mr. Smith noted that an adult can currently take a 10 year old hunting without a license or a Hunter Safety Course.

Member Copeland commented that at the age of 18 most are not yet much more than children and that it may be inappropriate to have an 18 year old mentoring a younger child.

Chair Smith pointed out that the argument is that if an individual can carry a gun in Iraq or other war zone at the age of 18 then the person is adult enough to be a mentor. Additionally, other states have similar programs and have no recorded accidents where the mentoring program is in place.

Responding to member Harwell's concerns and observations, Chair Smith pointed out that this legislation is not focused on children but rather any person that has never held a hunting license in any State or Province regardless of age. The intent is to introduce the person, regardless of age, to the sport of hunting with the hope that the experience will entice that person to apply for a hunting license. Mr. Smith also noted that the details of the mentoring program have not yet been established and would come to the County Game Board for review and comment.

Member Flowers explained that the legislation is the vehicle by which an apprentice license and mentoring program can be established that includes all the details such as how many guns and other aspects of the program will be established.

Judi Caron noted that the Legislative Committee had a "great" discussion about the proposal and expressed her support. Ms. Caron also noted that as a hunt instructor for member of her family and others that she teaches safety as the first and foremost responsibility in hunting. It is Ms. Caron's belief that other mentors will also take a similar stance in their mentoring of a novice by emphasizing hunter safety and the safe handling of a firearm.

Mel Belding expressed his support and suggested that interested parties contact Assemblyman David Bobzien about any concerns and recommendations.

There was additional discussion about other portions of the proposed legislation under Section 2 as it pertains to hunter safety. Other discussion noted some concern about liability issues when mentoring a novice hunter. It was suggested that some language be added to address liability concerns.

It was moved by Member Harwell, seconded by Member Flowers, to recommend that the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioner support AB-246, as presented. The motion carried: Members Copeland, Flowers, Harwell and Chair Smith assenting; and Member Wilson dissenting.

AB-362 – Fee for Processing an Application

* denotes NON action item

Washoe County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife – DRAFT Minutes

March 19, 2009

Page 11 of 13

Chair Smith noted that AB-362 would add a \$3.00 charge for the purpose of Muledeer population enhancement.

Member Wilson pointed out that in his opinion the fee already exists and that it only adds enhancement of the Muledeer Population.

Chair Smith read the proposal into the record (copy on file).

During the discussion it was noted that any project must be approved by the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners and that this is legislation that will control how and where the funds are going.

Judi Caron drew attention to Tina Nappe's correspondence on the matter (copy on file) and she is opposed to the legislation as proposed.

Mel Belding noted that he too is opposed noting that all animals share the same habitat. Mr. Belding noted that he had not had an opportunity to discuss the issues with Assemblyman David Bobzien but believes he too would be opposed.

It was moved by Member Flowers, seconded by Member Wilson, to recommend that the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners oppose AB-362. The motion carried unanimously.

The meeting recessed at 8:56 p.m. and reconvened at 9:02 p.m.

AB-437 – Sale of Big Game Tags Known as “Dream Tags”

Judi Caron and Diana Belding narrated a PowerPoint Presentation (copy on file) on the development of a Dream Tag raffle/lottery that would be used to restore and rehabilitate habitat lost to wildfire. During the presentation it was noted that several other western states have enacted similar processes to reestablish habitat using the raffle/lottery monies to augment the limited funding available for such endeavors. The intent is to develop an advisory board as a 501(c)(3) to oversee the funds that is managed by an appointed board that has some background in wildlife related issues.

During the discussion that the governing board make-up had not yet been determined. However there will be specific term limits as well as other criteria to assure a background in wildlife management issues. The board member would most likely be appointed by the Governor. Other discussion focused on the criteria being proposed for the Dream Tag limiting tags to only those species that have a minimum of 50 tags the previous season, which eliminates Goat, Rocky Mountain and perhaps California Bighorn Sheep. Other discussion focused on the ability to carry-over unused funds if no habitat projects were identified so that major habitat restoration projects can be undertaken when needed.

It was moved by Member Wilson, seconded by member Copeland, to recommend that the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioner support AB-437. The motion carried unanimously.

SB-282 – Fee for Hunting on the Carson Pasture

Chair Smith noted that while there is no specific support material for this proposal, correspondence from Tina Nappe (copy on file) indicates support for charging a fee for all users not just the Green Head Club fees. Mr. Smith noted that the bill was written to charge a fee only to Waterfowl hunters. Due to the lack of specific support materials Chair Smith explained that this, in his opinion, was only an informational item

Mel Belding noted that another bill had been introduced to the legislature about feral horses and may be something that the County Game Board may wish to support.

During the discussion it was noted that Ira Hansen had expressed some concerns about derogatory comments made by a member of the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners during the earlier Legislative Committee meeting. It was emphasized that personal issues should be put aside with the primary and only focus being on what is best for the State of Nevada and its wildlife.

Mr. Belding noted that interested parties could communicate with the representatives in the legislature via email as most have their laptop available to them during the hearing process.

Judi Caron noted that it may be necessary to contact the Governor (Jim Gibbons) about legislation that may be held in the Natural Resources Committee.

- 15. 2009-2010 WASHOE COUNTY ADVISORY BOARD TO MANAGE WILDLIFE BUDGET – A** review, discussion and possible recommendation to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners to approve, deny or otherwise modify the 2009-2010 Washoe County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife proposed budget.

Chair Smith provided an overview of the 2009-2010 budget and outlined the number of anticipated travel dates and meeting locations.

It was moved by Member Wilson, seconded by Member Harwell, to recommend that the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners approved the Washoe County Advisory Board budget as presented. The motion carried unanimously.

- 16. MEMBER COMMENTS *** – *Limited to emergent items not addressed elsewhere on the agenda. While the Washoe County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife may not engage in discussion or take action on any item identified under this topic the matter may be placed on a future agenda for discussion and possible action or recommendation of action to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners.*

Washoe County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife – DRAFT Minutes

March 19, 2009

Page 13 of 13

Chair Smith asked that members and those present provide input on how to increase public participation.

During the discussion it was suggested that future meetings be added to the RGJ's (Reno Gazette Journal) calendar of event as well as promotion during the Nevada Department of Wildlife radio programs by Chris Healy.

Chair Smith asked that Member Harwell contact Mr. Healy and the RGJ and reminded members that items may be added to future agenda's by contacting the recording secretary.

Member Harwell commented that the Nevada Waterfowl Dinner would be held at the Atlantis hotel/Casino on Saturday, May 16, 2009.

17. FUTURE MEETING AGENDA ITEMS * – *Selection of additional agenda item(s) for the May 7, 2009, meeting.*

No new agenda items were identified.

18. ADJOURNMENT

Chair Smith adjourned the meeting at 9:51 p.m.