



# Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

### Phase 2 Wrap-Up

**Jim Jeffress, Chairman**


**Final – March 21, 2008  
TAAHC meeting  
Winnemucca, Nevada**



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*

\*Established  
August 2006



# Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

## Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Agenda

- In this presentation the TAAHC is addressing the last remaining “Application Hunt” topics from the Topic List
- These topics presented in this presentation were first reviewed at the February 8, 2008 TAAHC meeting as an “informational” agenda item. The committee made only “preliminary positions” at that time. Final motions were made at the March 21, 2008 meeting and are reflected
- This PowerPoint presentation is the third in a series of three presentations created by the TAAHC to memorialize committee research and actions taken related to “Application Hunt” topics. Future efforts of the TAAHC will soon transitioning to “**Tag Allocation**” topics and will be addressed in a follow-on series of PowerPoint presentations



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*

\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee Agenda - Remaining Application Hunt Topics

A.2.1	Raise application fees	A.9.3.3	Hold youth draw earlier like NR guided/main draw
A.2.2	Raise PIW/Bonus Point fees	A.9.4.1	Expand goat choices beyond one choice
A.3.4	Transfer adult tag to a youth	A.9.6	Mountain Lion with other tag in draw
A.3.6	Allow youth to fill general tag holder's tag	A.9.11	Draw results announced sooner
A.3.7	Youth/adult draw same tag	A.9.12	Draw NR tags then allocate remaining tags to res quotas
A.4.1	Youth/adult mixed party	A.9.12.1	Remaining Cow Elk tag placed in youth draw
A.4.1.1	Mixed party Apps for Elk & Antelope	A.9.12.2	Remaining NR Cow Elk tags placed in res quotas
A.9.2	Hold the PIW draw first	A.9.12.3	Non Resident and Resident tags placed in general pool
A.9.3	Draw order - run all 1 <sup>st</sup> choices, then all 2 <sup>nd</sup> choices, etc	A.9.18	Special draw for military



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*

\*Established  
August 2006



# Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

## Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Application Fees

### A.2.1 Raise Application Fees


- Historically the contract has had a set amount identified for programming changes that may arise from Commission action during the duration of the contract, so raising the fee isn't necessary
- Contract has contingency fund which is 10% of the contract to cover contingencies (increase in postage costs, #s applications, etc.)



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*

\*Established  
August 2006



# Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Application Fees

## What's entailed in the application fees?


Description	SCI Charge Per Appl	SCI Conv Fee Per Appl	NDOW Costs
Postage	√	-	-
Telephones	√	√	-
Credit Card Discount Fees	-	√	-
Programming	-	√	√
Data Entry	√	-	√
Paper, Toner	√	-	-
Outside Printing Costs	√	-	√
Hardware Amortization	√	√	-
3rd Party Software Licensing	√	√	-
Printing & Stuffing	√	-	-
Utilities	√	-	-
Insurance	√	√	-
Telecommunications Costs	√	√	-



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*

\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

### Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Application Fees

#### Applications in Fiscal Year 2007: July 1, 2006 – June 30, 2007


Year	Draw	Description	Appls
2006	6	Big Game Remaining Draw	3,187
2006	7	Big Game First Come First Served	1,226
2006	8	Fall Turkey Draw	333
2006	9	Swan Draw	255
2006	A	Swan First Come First Served	351
2006	K	First Come First Served Spring Turkey	295
2006	T	First Come First Served Fall Turkey	34
2007	2	NR Guided Draw	1,128
2007	3	Spring Turkey Draw	1,438
2007	4	NDOW OTC	396
2007	5	Big Game Draw	141,049
<b>Grand Total</b>			<b>149,692</b>



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*

\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Application Fees

### Contract Costs

Year	Per Application	Per Return Card
2003-2005	\$5.98	\$1.25
2005-2007	\$5.57	\$1.36
2007-2009	\$5.24	\$1.32

### NDOW Application Hunt Expenditures FY07

Salaries \$83,775.02  
Printing \$68,802.10

\$152,577.12


NDOW per-application cost for FY07 = \$1.02



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*

\*Established  
August 2006



# Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Committee Position

## A.2.1 Raise Application Fees


**Committee Final Position:**

**“Leave as is” - make no change to current process.**



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*  
\*Established  
August 2006



# Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – PIW & BP Fees

## A.2.2 Raise PIW and Bonus Point Fee

### PIW Applications:


- **Prior to 2001 Fees were:**
  - **Deer \$20 Tag Fee**
  - **Big Game 1/2 Tag Fee**
- **Changed in 2001:**
  - **\$10 for all species**



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*

\*Established  
August 2006



# Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – PIW Fees By Year

Year	Deer	Ant	Elk	Des BH	Cal BH	RM Goat	NR Deer	Total Revenue
1996	\$20	\$25	\$50	\$50	\$50	\$50	\$150	\$149,470
1997	\$20	\$25	\$50	\$50	\$50	\$50	\$150	\$97,610
1998	\$20	\$25	\$50	\$50	\$50	\$50	\$150	\$124,405
1999	\$20	\$25	\$50	\$50	\$50	\$50	\$150	\$93,320
2000	\$20	\$25	\$50	\$50	\$50	\$50	\$150	\$91,280
2001	\$10	\$10	\$10	\$10	\$10	\$10	\$10	\$69,540
2002	\$10	\$10	\$10	\$10	\$10	\$10	\$10	\$67,120
2003	\$10	\$10	\$10	\$10	\$10	\$10	\$10	\$93,990
2004	\$10	\$10	\$10	\$10	\$10	\$10	\$10	\$105,520
2005	\$10	\$10	\$10	\$10	\$10	\$10	\$10	\$111,650
2006	\$10	\$10	\$10	\$10	\$10	\$10	\$10	\$131,310
2007	\$10	\$10	\$10	\$10	\$10	\$10	\$10	\$145,710



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*  
\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee


Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Bonus Point Only  
Applications By Year By Species/Characteristic

Hunt	Description	2005		2006		2007	
		Res	NR	Res	NR	Res	NR
1101	Antlerless Mule Deer Depredation	-	-	1	-	1	-
1107	Either Sex Deer Junior Hunt	3	-	6	-	7	-
1331	Buck Deer Any Legal Weapon	436	1,378	616	1,929	763	2,608
1181	Doe Deer	11	-	8	-	9	-
2151	Antelope	670	893	891	1,295	1,086	1,817
2181	Antelope Horns Shorter Than Ears	10	-	25	-	25	-
3151	Desert Bighorn	686	742	944	1,028	1,150	1,336
4102	Bull Elk Depredation Hunt	17	-	22	-	33	-
4111	Cow Elk Archery Hunt	146	8	204	13	285	-
4151	Bull Elk Any Legal Weapon	1,044	1,260	1,402	1,913	1,732	2,554
7151	Rocky Mountain Goat	433	475	620	703	841	970
8151	California Bighorn	541	676	828	890	1,009	1,212
9151	Rocky Mountain Bighorn	469	606	719	807	898	1,058
	Totals .....	4,466	6,038	6,286	8,578	7,839	11,555



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*  
\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – PIW & BP Fees


### **NAC changes required if Bonus Point fees are increased**

- Partnership in Wildlife Fee – It is a Nevada Administrative Code change to NAC 502.427
- Bonus Points Fee - It is a Nevada Administrative Code change to NAC 502.331.



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*  
\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Committee Position

### A.2.2 Raise PIW and Bonus Point Fee

**Committee Final Position:**


**“Leave as is” - make no change to current process.**



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*

\*Established  
August 2006




## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Transfer Tag to Youth

### A.3.4 Transfer of Tags to Youth


Background – Youth Applications Since 1998





Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*  
\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Tags Available To Youth


### Why did 500+ youth not draw youth tags in the main draw?

- There were 3,000 tags allocated in the youth quota
- There were 3,087 applications for youth tags
- There were 454 tags remaining after the first draw
- Youth are selective in where they are applying



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*  
\*Established  
August 2006



# Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Transfer Tag to Youth


## Issues regarding transfer of tags

- NRS 502.140 would need to be changed. It is currently unlawful to transfer a tag to another or use a tag issued to another person
- Would the youth need to be related? If so to what extent? (consanguinity)
- What if the youth is not currently in the application file? An application would need to be added and the nonrefundable fees collected
- "eBay" syndrome – offers of transfers might be made on "eBay"



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*  
\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Committee Position

### A.3.4 Transfer of Tags to Youth

Committee Final Position:


**“Leave as is” - make no change to current process.**



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*

\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Transfer Tag to Youth

### A.3.6 Allow Youth to Fill a General Tag Holder's Tag

- Similar to A3.4.
- NRS 502.140 would need to be changed. It is currently unlawful to transfer a tag to another or use a tag issued to another person
- Would the youth need to be related? If so what to what extent? (consanguinity)
- "eBay" syndrome – offers of transfers might be made on "eBay"



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*

\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Committee Position

### A.3.6 Allow Youth to Fill a General Tag Holder's Tag

**Committee Final Position:**


**“Leave as is” - make no change to current process.**



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*

\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Youth and Adult Hunt

### A.3.7 Youth and Adult Draw Same Tag


#### Youth and Adult Hunt – Concepts

- Desire for a “mixed” youth and adult hunt to coordinate schedules
- Some desire for a youth to obtain a tag “no matter what”
- In effect, something similar has been done with the “mixed residency” party hunt for deer
- Currently, youth and adults can draw the same tag if they apply as a party for 1331, 1341, 1371, 1181



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*  
\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Committee Position

### A.3.7 Youth and Adult Draw Same Tag

**Committee Final Position:**


**“Leave as is” - make no change to current process.**



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*

\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Youth and Adult Hunt

### A.4.1 Youth / Adult Mixed Party


#### Statistics

- 3,287 “Youth” applied in the 2007 Big Game Draw Deer Hunt
  - “Youth” defined as “born on after 8/1/1991”
  - Doesn’t include rejected applications
  - Includes 1331, 1341, 1371, 1181 and 1107 Hunts
  - 2,690 of these “Youth” applied in the 1107 “Youth” Hunt
  - 597 of these “Youth” applied in the 1331, 1341 1371 or 1181 Hunts
  - 53 of these 3,287 “Youth” applied for bonus points, 48 in Buck Deer Hunts and 5 in the “Youth” hunt



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*  
\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Youth and Adult Hunt

### Issues


- **Should a Mixed Party Youth/Adult hunt remain true to the original “all go” or “none go” concept of the party hunt? Or should tags be issued to members of the party if sufficient for either all adult or all youth?**
- **Youth may benefit unfairly in the youth hunt if the “all go” or “none go” concept is abandoned. Namely the adult members of the parties may not go but may contribute the benefit of their bonus points to the likelihood of drawing.**
- **If the “all go” or “none go” concept is retained it may leave more junior tags leftover.**



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*

\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Youth and Adult Hunt


### Youth and Adult Hunt – Programming

- Could youth use “adult quota”? Or would all youth be tied to youth quota only?
- Need to add a bucket for youth quota on the “adult hunts”
- Need to modify draw process to use other quota buckets
- Need to convert existing Bonus Points for use in hunt
- Need to modify tag printing process for Hunt Descriptions
- Approximate cost (depending on ultimate path chosen): \$12,000



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*  
\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Committee Position

### A.4.1 Youth / Adult Mixed Party

Committee Final Position:


**“Leave as is” - make no change to current process.**



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*

\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Party Elk and Antelope Applications

### A.4.1.1 Party Applications for Antelope and Cow Elk

#### Administrative Issues


- Additional forms to go to the License agents and to be mailed to those clients still applying on paper
- NAC 502.4185 would need amendment to include species other than deer



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*

\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Party Cow Elk and  
Antelope Applications

### Party Elk and Antelope Apps in Utah 2007


Description	Youth			Antlerless	Antlerless
	Bull Elk	Bull Elk	Pronghorn	Elk	Pronghorn
Total Clients	63,469	3,125	7,069	24,476	3,541
Clients Applying Alone	53,049	2,755	5,443	14,109	2,298
Clients Applying in Parties	10,420	370	1,626	10,367	1,243
Total Number of Parties*	4,496	185	704	3,997	506
Resident Only Parties	3,367	182	617	3,721	495
Non-Resident Only Parties	989	2	80	179	5
Mixed Parties	140	1	7	97	6



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*

\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Party Cow Elk and  
Antelope Applications – Cost Estimate

### Party Cow Elk And Antelope Apps in Nevada


- Modifications to Web Applications Process – Estimated to be \$3,000



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*

\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Committee Position

### A.4.1.1 Party Applications for Antelope and Cow Elk


**Committee Final Position:**

**“Leave as is” - make no change to current process.**



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*  
\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Hold PIW Draw First

### A.9.2 Hold the PIW Draw First

#### Administrative issues


- Petition accepted by the commission for Silver State Tag--the petition requests the draw for such tags to be before the main draw
- Currently, PIW fee is charged or deducted from refund after the draw and only to those applicants that marked their desire to participate in PIW but were unsuccessful. In holding the PIW first, all applicants for PIW would be charged the PIW fee, not just the unsuccessful. This would make the fee in addition to the nonrefundable and/or tag fees that would be submitted
- NAC 501.427- 502.429 would need to be amended
- Programming will be involved.



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*

\*Established  
August 2006



# Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Hold PIW Draw First


## Impact of Drawing PIW Before Other Tags

PIW Hunt	Hunt Description	Max Successful Draw #	Ineligible Lower Draw #'s	# Who Could Have Drawn
1000	Resident Deer	720150	8	7
1200	Non-Resident Deer	64365	0	0
2000	Resident Antelope	390905	3	2
3000	Resident Desert Bighorn	130378	0	0
4000	Resident Elk	170334	0	0
7000	Resident Goat	310244	0	0
8000	Resident California Bighorn	15148	0	0
	Grand Total .....	.....	11	9



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*  
\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Committee Position

### A.9.2 Hold the PIW Draw First

Committee Final Position:


**“Leave as is” - make no change to current process.**



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*

\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Allocate Tags first by looking at all 1<sup>st</sup> Choices, then 2<sup>nd</sup> Choices, etc.

### A.9.3 Order of the Draw – Run All 1<sup>st</sup> Choices, then all 2<sup>nd</sup> Choices, etc


2007 Big Game Draw Results – Number of Clients drawing their first, second, third, fourth or fifth choices:

Hunt	Description	Total Tags	1st Choice	2nd Choice	3rd Choice	4th Choice	5th Choice
1107	Resident Youth Either Sex Deer Hunt	2546	1824	329	191	124	78
1181	Resident Antlerless Deer Hunt	207	185	16	6	0	0
1331	Any Legal Weapon Buck Deer Hunt	11097	5828	2282	1234	935	818
1341	Archery Buck Deer Hunt	2323	1217	368	293	223	222
1371	Muzzleloader Buck Deer Hunt	1195	620	209	141	124	101
2151	Resident Antelope Any Legal Weapon Hunt	1743	1335	246	80	47	35
2161	Resident Antelope Archery Hunt	506	290	99	55	33	29
2181	Resident Antelope Horn's Shorter Than Ears Hunt	167	123	41	3	0	0
2251	Non Resident Antelope Any Legal Weapon Hunt	194	105	47	17	12	13
2261	Non Resident Antelope Archery Weapon Hunt	57	33	6	6	8	4
3151	Resident Desert Bighorn Sheep Hunt	150	87	25	11	18	9
3251	Non Resident Desert Bighorn Sheep Hunt	17	6	6	1	3	1



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*  
\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Allocate Tags first by looking at all 1<sup>st</sup> Choices, then 2<sup>nd</sup> Choices, etc.

2007 Big Game Draw Results – Number of Clients drawing their first, second, third, fourth or fifth choices (continued):


Hunt	Description	Total Tags	1st Choice	2nd Choice	3rd Choice	4th Choice	5th Choice
4111	Resident Antlerless Elk Archery Hunt	253	183	29	22	12	7
4151	Resident Bull Elk Any Legal Weapon Hunt	778	520	158	60	34	6
4156	Resident Bull Elk Muzzleloader Hunt	90	56	18	9	2	5
4161	Resident Bull Elk Archery Hunt	95	70	16	5	1	3
4176	Resident Antlerless Elk Muzzleloader Hunt	186	150	25	6	2	3
4181	Resident Antlerless Elk Hunt	1457	1075	226	91	42	23
4251	Non Resident Bull Elk Any Legal Weapon Hunt	88	33	34	12	4	5
4256	Non Resident Bull Elk Muzzleloader Hunt	10	5	4	0	0	1
4261	Non Resident Bull Elk Archery Hunt	11	5	4	2	0	0
7151	Resident Rocky Mountain Goat Hunt	25	25	0	0	0	0
7251	Non Resident Rocky Mountain Goat Hunt	3	3	0	0	0	0
8151	Resident California Bighorn Sheep Hunt	37	22	6	3	2	4
8251	Non Resident California Bighorn Sheep Hunt	4	1	2	0	1	0
9151	Resident Rocky Mountain Bighorn Sheep Hunt	8	7	1	0	0	0
9251	Non Resident Rocky Mountain Bighorn Sheep Hunt	1	1	0	0	0	0



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*

\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Allocate Tags first by looking at all 1<sup>st</sup> Choices, then 2<sup>nd</sup> Choices, etc.

### Administrative Issues


- Draw process would be lengthened significantly as many applications would have to be drawn more than once
- Having multiple hunter choices would become meaningless for many hunts. By the time the draw would get to someone's third choice it most likely have been issued in the first choice round of the draw



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*

\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Committee Position

### A.9.3 Order of the Draw – Run All 1<sup>st</sup> Choices, then all 2<sup>nd</sup> Choices, etc


#### Committee Final Position:

**“Leave as is” - make no change to current process.**



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*  
\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Hold Youth Draw  
Earlier – Like NR Guided Draw

### A.9.3.3 Hold Youth Draw Earlier like NR Guided/Main Draw Now


#### Administrative issues

- Mostly an educational issue
- Back in 1990/1991 the Department went to the “Quick Draw process”, drawing as many hunts as possible in a draw to minimize administrative costs (i.e., postage, printing brochures, envelopes) and to simplify the application process for the public. It also reduced the number of deadlines for the public to be concerned about
- Would change the operational cost structure through loss of economies of scale



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*  
\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Committee Position

### A.9.3.3 Hold Youth Draw Earlier like NR Guided/Main Draw Now


Committee Final Position:

**“Leave as is” - make no change to current process.**



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*  
\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Goat Choices

### A.9.4.1 Expand Goat Choices Beyond One

#### Administrative issue


- At the time this was implemented there were only two choices for goat. This request is very doable only an NAC 502.4175 amendment required



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*

\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Committee Position

### A.9.4.1 Expand Mt. Goat Choices Beyond One

**Committee Final Position:**


**Committee motioned to allow 3 hunter choices for Mt. Goat and up to 5 hunter choices if the opportunity ever arises.**



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*

\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Mt. Lion Tag

### A.9.6 Mt. Lion Tag with Other Tag in Draw Administrative issue


- Already approved
- Requested by the Director to address concerns from the 2007 legislature. The License Office was directed to go forward with the ability to apply for mountain lion during the big game application process and on paper
- Programming estimate, \$9,900 and identified in the current contract as a separate line item
- To be implemented for 2008 big game application process



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*

\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Committee Position


### A.9.6 Mt. Lion Tag with Other Tag in Draw

**Previously approved by the commission. Actions pending to introduce this tag purchase opportunity into the big game draw process for 2008.**



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*  
\*Established  
August 2006



# Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

## Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Earlier Results

### A.9.11 Earlier Draw Results


#### Background

- There is a desire to have earlier results than the current third week of June posting date
- The draw is typically run in late May or early June
- There are a series of processes that are serial in nature and the draw results (including eMails) depend on this sequence. The HTML results pages on the web site are dependent on less steps
- Results eMails are ready to send BEFORE the snail mail results letters are sent, but are held until Letters are dropped in the mail
- Phone calls and questions start AS SOON as results are made available. Operators NEED the data to be able to answer these questions



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*  
\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Earlier Results


### Earlier Draw Results – Current Steps

- Draw – Run on a standalone machine from a copy of the SQL data base -- Typically 3 to 4 hours
- Backup Local SQL data base for relocation to the SQL server – Typically 1 hour
- Copy Local backup to DVD. Typically 1 hour
- Restore Local backup to the SQL server – Typically 1 hour
- Update Generic Hunt #'s with either successful Hunt # or Hunt # of first choice for unsuccessful Applications – Typically 1.5 hours
- Create Tag and License Refund Records – Typically 2 hours



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*  
\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Earlier Results


### Earlier Draw Results – Current Steps (cont)

- Sort Tags & Licenses to Mailing sequence (by SCF and Zip Code, etc.) with PRO-SORT – Typically 2 hours
- Print Tags (multiple machines, multiple shifts) – Typically 2 to 4 days
- Print Standalone Licenses – Typically 1 to 2 days
- Create Refunds & Balance – Typically 4 to 6 hours to create refunds and 2 days for balancing and corrections
- Create Results Letters & eMail Text – Typically 4 to 6 hours
- Sort Letters to Mailing sequence (by SCF and Zip Code, etc.) with PRO-SORT – Typically 2 hours



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*  
\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Earlier Results


### Earlier Draw Results – Current Steps (cont)

- Print Letters (multiple machines, multiple shifts) – Typically 2 to 4 days
- Stuff Letters – Typically 2 days
- Send eMails when Letters are dropped at USPS – Typically 4 hours to send eMails through Gateway
- Create HTML for Draw Results on Web Site – Typically 4 hours
- Print Refund Checks / Reconcile – Typically 1 day



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*  
\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Committee Position

### A.9.11 Earlier Draw Results

Committee Final Position:

**“Leave as is” - make no change to current process.**



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*


\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Draw NR tags first then allocate remaining tags to other quotas


### A.9.12 Draw NR Tags, then Allocate Remaining Tags to Res Quotas





Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*  
\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Draw NR tags first then allocate remaining tags to other quotas

### Remaining Tags

- In 2006 and 2007 remaining tags were available to both residents and nonresidents if there was a corresponding nonresident hunt
- This eligibility rule was established in the seasons and bag eligibility and deadline information
- 2007 there were 1136 tags remaining for the second draw
- NR quota remaining that became available to both Res. and NR:

Longbow Antelope - 4 Tags  
Longbow Deer- 7 tags


- Res. quota remaining that became available to both Res and NR:

Longbow Deer – 408 Tags  
Resident Antlerless Archery Elk – stayed Resident – 2 tags  
Resident Junior Tags – stayed Resident – 454 tags  
Resident Antlerless Deer – stayed Resident – 233- tags  
Resident Depredation Deer – stayed Resident – 28 tags



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*  
\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Draw NR tags first then allocate remaining tags to other quotas


**In 2007, there were 1,136 tags remaining after the first draw. 806 were issued in the second draw in the following manner:**

Year	Hunt Description	Tags Issued
2007	Nonresident 1341 Longbow Deer	107
2007	Resident 1341 Longbow Deer	296
2007	Resident Depredation Deer 1101	28
2007	Resident Junior 1107	136
2007	Resident Antlerless Deer 1181	233
2007	Resident Longbow Antelope 2161	4
2007	Resident Longbow Antlerless Elk 4111	2
	<b>Total .....</b>	<b>806</b>



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*  
\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Committee Position

### A.9.12 Draw NR Tags, then Allocate Remaining Tags to Res Quotas

**Committee Final Position:**


**“Leave as is” - make no change to current process.**



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*

\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Remaining Cow Elk  
Tags for Youth

### A.9.12.1 Remaining Cow Elk Tags Placed in a Youth Draw

#### Administrative Issues

- Commission would have to establish a youth hunt for cow elk
- Commission would have to establish eligibility issues in season and bags information
- Would they only be available in a remaining tag situation? Or would cow elk youth tags be available for the main draw also and these would be added to any remaining from that draw?



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*

\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Remaining Cow Elk  
Non Resident Tags placed in Resident Quota – 2005 Main Draw


Hunt#	Choice	Description	Res Quota	Res Tags	NonRes Quota	NonRes Tags	Remaining Available
4181	488	UNIT 072	22	22			0
4181	489	UNIT 075	76	76	12	3	9
4181	490	UNITS 076, 077, 081	121	121	19	7	12
4181	491	UNITS 101 - 103 EARLY SEASON	10	10			0
4181	492	UNITS 101 - 103 MID SEASON	10	10			0
4181	493	UNITS 101 - 103 LATE SEASON	10	10			0
4181	494	UNIT 111	197	197	32	2	30
4181	495	UNIT 112	10	10			0
4181	496	UNIT 113 EARLY	26	26			0
4181	497	PORTION OF UNIT 113 N OF TIPPETT ROAD	26	26			0
4181	498	UNITS 114, 115	21	21			0
4181	499	UNITS 161 - 164 EARLY	15	15			0
4181	500	UNITS 161 - 164 LATE	15	15			0
4181	501	UNIT 221	36	36			0
4181	502	UNIT 222	148	148	24	1	23
4181	503	UNITS 231, 241, 242 EARLY	61	61	10	0	10
4181	504	UNITS 231, 241, 242 LATE	142	142	23	0	23
4181	505	PORTION OF UNIT 231 W/IN ATLANTA FARM	20	20			0
4181	506	PORTION OF UNIT 231 W/IN ATLANTA FARM	20	20			0
		<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>986</b>	<b>986</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>107</b>



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*

\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee


Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Remaining Cow Elk  
Non Resident Tags placed in Resident Quota – 2006 Main Draw

Hunt#	Choice	Description	Res Quota	Res Tags	NonRes Quota	NonRes Tags	Remaining Available
4181	487	UNIT 072	28	28			0
4181	488	UNIT 075 AND PORTION OF UNIT 074	83	83	19	0	19
4181	489	UNITS 076, 077, 081	110	110	38	1	37
4181	490	UNITS 101 - 103 EARLY SEASON	15	15			0
4181	491	UNITS 101 - 103 MID SEASON	15	15			0
4181	492	UNITS 101 - 103 LATE SEASON	15	15			0
4181	493	UNITS 111, 112	126	126	16	3	13
4181	495	UNIT 113 EARLY	10	10			0
4181	496	UNIT 113 LATE	27	27			0
4181	497	UNITS 114, 115	27	27			0
4181	498	UNITS 161 - 164 EARLY	16	16			0
4181	499	UNITS 161 - 164 LATE	16	16			0
4181	500	UNIT 221	20	20			0
4181	501	UNIT 222	102	102	10	2	8
4181	502	UNITS 231, 241, 242 EARLY	62	62	5	0	0
4181	503	UNITS 231, 241, 242 LATE	149	149	5	0	0
4181	504	PORTION OF UNIT 231 W/IN ATLANTA FARM	10	10			0
4181	505	PORTION OF UNIT 231 W/IN ATLANTA FARM	10	10			0
		<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>841</b>	<b>841</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>87</b>



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*  
\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Remaining Cow Elk  
Non Resident Tags placed in Resident Quota – 2007 Main Draw


### 2007 Main Draw Remaining Cow Elk Tags

- Non Resident Cow Elk Hunt NOT offered in 2007
- All Tags subscribed in Main Draw by Resident Hunters



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*  
\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Committee Position

### A.9.12.1 Remaining Cow Elk Tags Placed in a Youth Draw

**Committee Final Position:**


**“Leave as is” - make no change to current process.**



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*

\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

**A.9.12.2 Remaining NR Cow Elk tags placed  
in res quotas**

and

**A.9.12.3 Non Resident and Resident tags  
placed in general pool**


**In light of there being no Cow Elk hunt offered in 2007,  
and that these two issues are very much related to  
A.9.12 and A.9.12.1 above, these issues are recognized  
as being previously addressed. Take No Action**



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*

\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Special Draw for  
Military


### A.9.18 Special Draw For Military Administrative Issues

- Would they have their own quota or are their tags deducted from the already limited number of tags?
- Who would be eligible, definition of various military statuses, limitation (i.e., Active Duty, Reserve, National Guard; mobilized or inactive?)
- Military status is not a permanent status—yearly proof to qualify
- What would be acceptable proof? ID card(s), activation orders, etc
- Apply on paper only? What species?
- '05 statistics used in the '07 Legislature on a BDR for military license
  - NV Residents on active duty in the Armed Forces - 14,323
  - Approximate # NV National Guard Under 10 U.S.C. – 300



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*  
\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Committee Position

### A.9.18 Special Draw For Military

Committee Final Position:


**“Leave as is” - make no change to current process.**



Nevada  
Board of  
Wildlife

Tag  
Allocation  
and  
Application  
Hunt  
Committee\*

\*Established  
August 2006



## Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

# Thank You!