#24

NEVADA BOARD OF WILDLIFE COMMISSIONERS
PETITION FOR ADOPTION, AMENDMENT, FILING OR REPEAL OF REGULATION
(Submit to: Secretary, Board of Wildlife Commissioners, 6980 Sierra Center Parkway, Reno, NV 89511)

Petitioner's Full Name: John V. Zenz
(Please Print)

Type of Petitioner: (Individual, Partnership, Corporation, Government Agency, Other): Individual

Petitioner's Mailing Address: 1186 Glendora Lane Sparks 89436

Petitioner, please complete the following (attach additional sheets if necessary):

1. State the need for and purpose of the proposed regulation:
   Big Game Tag drawing changes

2. Provide (or attach) the wording for the change you are proposing:
   Attachments

3. What is the estimated "economic" effect of the regulation on the business which it is to regulate?
   (a) Include both adverse and beneficial effects: NONE

   (b) Include both immediate and long-term effects: NONE

4. What is the estimated "economic" effect of the regulation on the public which it is to regulate?
   (a) Include both adverse and beneficial effects: NONE

   (b) Include both immediate and long-term effects: NONE

5. What is the estimated cost to the Department of Wildlife for enforcement of the proposed regulation? NONE

6. Does the proposed change overlap or duplicate any regulations of other state or local government agencies? X No ____ Yes
   If "Yes," list the agency and explain why the duplication or overlapping is necessary:

7. Does the requested change overlap or duplicate a federal regulation? X No ____ Yes
   If "Yes," list the name of the regulating federal agency?

8. Is the requested change required by federal law? X No ____ Yes
   If "Yes," please cite or describe the federal law as best you can:

9. Does the requested change include provisions which are more stringent than a federal regulation that regulates the same activity? X No ____ Yes

10. Does the requested change establish a new fee or increase an existing fee? X No ____ Yes

Petitioner's Signature _____ Date _____

Nevada Wildlife Commission and County Game Boards

Reference: Big Game Tag Drawing / Bonus Points

Looking at the bonus point drawing for California Bighorn Sheep 2018 currently posted on NDOW website, it shows 26 bonus points as the Maximum number.

Calculating all of the applicant's points in all of the point groups from zero to twenty six, there were 814,744 draw numbers issued. As one person with 26 bonus points, you only have 8/100ths of ONE PERCENT of the numbers issued in that drawing. After the draw numbers are reduced to each applicant's lowest number, each and every applicant has one of 6,838 numbers in the drawing. Max applicants have 1.09% of the numbers issued to only Max applicants.

I would like to propose changing the big game draw to the following:

1.) Give 25% of the overall tags to the Max point holders
2.) Reduce the number of Choices to only 3
3.) Allow only up to 50% of the tags in any unit to be issued to max point holders

The first proposal would benefit the applicants who have supported the Dept the most and the longest! It works better when there are more tags available,
as in the antelope, elk and desert sheep draws. If this 25% draw were in place that same year for the Desert Bighorn drawing, all of the 26, 25, 24 and part of the 23 - point holders **would have gotten their tags!!**
The second proposal would have removed 31% of the choices in the California Bighorn draw that year, which would spread the application pressure making the odds better for every applicant.
The third proposal would assure that there would be tags available in the very best areas for all of the lower point holders as well.

Several of our neighboring states have similar point systems to what I am suggesting.
1.) Wyoming - 75% to Max
2.) Utah – 50% to Max
3.) Arizona – 20% in Bonus pass then remaining max apps go back into 2nd pass
4.) California sheep & antelope Area with 1 tag – random draw
Area with 2 tags – 1 random - 1 Max
Area with 3 tags – 1 random - 2 Max
Areas with 4 or more tags - 75% go to Max

These proposals would help applicants across all point groups.

Please take a serious look at these changes!

Regards,

John V Zenz

Interim: 2012 Big Game Draw Report
Bonus Points – Resident Trophy Success

Points	Tags	Unsuccessful	Rejected	Withdrawn	% Success
0	458	11,424	17	13	3.84%
1	463	7,165	1	4	6.07%
2	543	4,741	4	4	10.26%
3	667	3,667	0	6	15.37%
4	576	2,617	2	6	17.99%
5	460	2,241	1	2	17.01%
6	323	1,917	0	1	14.41%
7	215	1,588	0	3	11.90%
8	177	1,406	1	7	11.13%
9	167	1,396	0	1	10.68%
10	157	1,238	0	6	11.21%

Points	Tags	Unsuccessful	Rejected	Withdrawn	% Success
11	115	717	0	0	13.82%
12	58	600	0	1	8.80%
13	55	489	0	3	10.05%
14	42	375	0	1	10.05%
15	41	327	0	0	11.14%
16	25	364	0	1	6.41%
17	24	447	0	0	5.10%
18	19	213	0	0	8.19%
19	20	270	0	0	6.90%
20	15	282	0	1	5.03%