



Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

This presentation reflects committee recommendations to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners. The commission met on August 10, 2007 to hear from the committee and make final decisions on:

- Application opportunities for Youth Hunt
- Bonus point system, including party bonus points, youth bonus point carry-forward and senior bonus point advantage
- Revisiting a preferential system

This presentation represents the conclusion of **PHASE 1** efforts of the TAAHC

As of August 10, 2007



Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee (TAAHC)

Authority and Purpose

Authority

- The Chairman of the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners established the TAAHC on August 5, 2006


Purpose

- The Committee will review:
 - issues of tag allocation based on sound biological principles
 - evaluate potential changes to the application hunt and draw processes
 - make recommendations to the Board of Wildlife Commissioners regarding their research and findings



Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*
*Established
August 2006



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Objectives

Objectives for Tag Allocation Issues


- **Using sound biological principles, balance the sportsmen's desire for hunting opportunity and the available wildlife resource with sound biological management decisions**
- **To ensure sportsmen have input and consideration into quality vs. quantity opportunities in the allocation of tags**
- **To review and recommend revisions or updates to any applicable policies or procedures for the allocation of tags**
- **To ensure an educational campaign to educate sportsmen for any changes to the allocation methods or formulas**



Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Objectives

Objectives for Application and Draw Issues

- Consider sportsman desires regarding application processes (how they apply for hunts)
- Consider sportsman desires regarding the bonus point program
- Consider sportsman desires regarding the order of the draw
- Consider cost benefit analysis for proposed changes to existing program
- Ensure proposed changes are considered in the budgeting process
- Ensure adequate time for programming changes
- Initiate regulatory review and revision for any proposed changes to the application or draw processes
- Ensure an educational campaign to educate sportsmen for any changes to the application and draw processes



Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Committee Membership – Appointed September 22, 2006

Commissioners

Jim Jeffress (*Chairman*)

Bevan Lister

Ron Lurie

Jack Robb

County Advisory Board Rep at Large

Giovanni Giordano

Sportsmen Reps

Don Cavin (*prior commissioner*)

Jeremy Drew

NDOW Support Staff

Bob Haughian (*Chief, Ops Bureau*)


Julie Meadows (*License Office*)



Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Purpose of this Presentation

Purpose

- This presentation addresses tag allocation & application hunt topics communicated to the TAAHC by County Wildlife Advisory Boards (CWABs) and from sportsmen
- This presentation specifically addresses only the topics of **Bonus Points, Youth Hunts and Preference** and is being referred to as **PHASE 1**. Other topics such as tag eligibility, waiting periods, returning tags, etc, will be addressed in the future using a similar format
- This presentation has been provided to CWABs to facilitate discussions and to educate attendees to the issues being addressed by the TAAHC
- To assure widest public dissemination, this presentation is also posted on the NDOW website (<http://www.ndow.org/learn/com/committee/index.shtm>) to encourage your review of the material and to encourage your participation at your local CWAB meeting. To find a meeting date and location near you, go to <http://www.ndow.org/learn/cab/>
- TAAHC recommendations motioned during the meeting of July 19, 2007, will be presented to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners during the August 10 & 11 2007 commission meeting in Ely.



Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Agenda

Agenda – Youth Topics & Bonus Points


- Category – Youth
 - Background
 - Potential Application Changes
 - Potential Draw Changes
- Category – Bonus Points
 - Background
 - Potential Application Changes
 - Potential Draw Changes



Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation & Application Topics – Agenda

Agenda – Youth Topics & Bonus Points


- **Category – Youth**
 - **Background**
 - Potential Application Changes
 - Potential Draw Changes
- **Category – Bonus Points**
 - Background
 - Potential Application Changes
 - Potential Draw Changes



Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Youth Hunts – Background

Youth Hunt Chronology:


- 1995 Junior Hunt 1107 offered for antlerless deer in the Cherry Creek Drainage
- 1996 & 1997 – Junior Hunt not offered
- 1998 – Junior Hunt for all choices and either sex deer
 - Original intent was for 4 opportunities to draw
- 2000 – Definition of Junior Hunt in regulation – tied to Junior License
- 2003 – Current language for Junior Hunt definition adopted
 - Will attain 12th birthday before the first day of each hunting season applied for
 - Will not attain 17th birthday until after the last day of each hunting season applied for



Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Youth Hunts – Late DOBs

Youth Hunter Late DOB Background:

- Must turn 12 by the earliest season they apply for
 - Typically an archery hunt
 - Typically in August or early September
- Must NOT turn 17 before the end of the latest season they apply for
 - Typically an any legal weapon hunt
 - Typically in November
 - Could be in December or even early January
- Are sportsmen with late DOBs disadvantaged by this hunt?



Nevada Board of Wildlife

Tag Allocation and Application Hunt Committee*

*Established August 2006



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Youth Hunts – Late DOBs

Youth Hunters Born in 1994, applying in 2006-2011:

← Month of DOB →

2006	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
2007	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
2008	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
2009	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
2010	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
2011	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec

5 Years **4 Years**

Can Apply

Cannot Apply

10

Can Apply, depending on BD



Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation & Application Topics – Agenda

Agenda – Youth Topics & Bonus Points


- Category – Youth
 - Background
 - **Potential Application Changes**
 - Potential Draw Changes
- Category – Bonus Points
 - Background
 - Potential Application Changes
 - Potential Draw Changes



Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Youth Hunts

Late DOB Application Ramifications:

- Change of regulation required
- A change could be difficult to define unless it was based upon a number of years eligible
- Programming may be difficult depending on approach
- Youth Hunt demand already outstrips supply



Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Youth Hunts – Late DOBs

Committee Motion: Youth will be able to apply for the youth hunt four years maximum.

Motion carried.

Fiscal Impact: To be determined.


August 10 Commission Motion: Agreed with committee recommendation.



Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation & Application Topics – Agenda

Agenda – Bonus Points & Youth Topics


- Category – Youth
 - Background
 - Potential Application Changes
 - Potential Draw Changes
- **Category – Bonus Points**
 - Background
 - Potential Application Changes
 - Potential Draw Changes



Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation & Application Topics – Agenda

Agenda – Bonus Points & Youth Topics


- Category – Youth
 - Background
 - Potential Application Changes
 - Potential Draw Changes
- **Category – Bonus Points**
 - **Background**
 - Potential Application Changes
 - Potential Draw Changes



Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Bonus Points Chronology

Bonus Points Chronology:


- 1991 legislative session Senate Bill 506 required NDOW to privatize the big game application hunt process and draw
- Spring 1992 – Commission requested the Committee on Application Hunts to review the current “preferential system”
- Fall 1992 – Commission approved the selection of the Bonus Point program to replace the preferential system
- Spring 1993 – Unsuccessful applicants in 1992 came into the bonus point program with one point
- Spring of 1996 – Partnership in Wildlife (PIW) Hunt added to the system



Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Bonus Points Chronology

Bonus Points Chronology (cont):


- Spring 1997 – Guided Draw added to the computerized drawing process and included in the bonus point program
- 1998 – Squaring of bonus points (for deer)
- 1998 – Bonus point transfer authorized if a change of residence
- 1999 – Squaring of bonus points (for big game)
- 2000 – Regulation change to categories of species occurred. This change consolidated the points into the category
 - Allowed application for more than one weapon type for a species. Prior to this the client had to select all hunter choices from one weapon type (rifle or archery or muzzleloader; not a mix)



Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Bonus Points Chronology

Bonus Points Chronology (cont):


- 2001 – PIW fee changed to \$10
 - Originally, client donated the total deer tag fee and ½ of the big game tag fee to participate in the PIW drawing
- 2002 – Regulation changed affecting rejected applications and retention of bonus points
 - Rejected applications were no longer counted as a “non-application.” A rejected application did not earn a point, but also did not count against the species category to lose points. Up to this point rejected applications were considered non-application and applied toward the 2 years that would cause loss of bonus points
- 2004 – Applying for bonus points without applying for a tag implemented



Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation & Application Topics – Agenda

Agenda – Bonus Points & Youth Topics


- Category – Youth
 - Background
 - Potential Application Changes
 - Potential Draw Changes
- **Category – Bonus Points**
 - Background
 - **Potential Application Changes**
 - Potential Draw Changes



Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Bonus Point Potential
Application Changes

Potential Bonus Point Changes

- A.1.1 Leave as is
- A.1.2 Old Preferential System for Deer
- A.1.3 Weighted Preferential System
- A.1.4 Youth Points Carry Forward
- A.1.5 Squaring of Bonus Points
- A.1.6 Party Bonus Points
 - **A.1.6.1 Party Bonus Points – Lowest Number in Party**
 - **A.1.6.2 Party Bonus Points – Round Average Down instead of up**



Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Bonus Point Potential
Application Changes

Potential Bonus Point Changes (cont)


- A.1.7 Weighted Bonus Point Draw with Point Cap
- A.1.8 Weighted Bonus Point Draw
 - **A.1.8.1 Set aside a percentage of quotas**
 - **A.1.8.2 Grouped Preference (0 to 3 points, 4 to 7, etc.)**
- **A.1.9 Accumulated Bonus Point Draw (draw those with bonus points before those with none)**
 - **A.1.9.1 Draw Applicants with most points first, if there are still tags, go to the group below**
- **A.1.10 High points/High Age to have “Advantage”**



Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Application Topics

Youth Hunt Bonus Point Carry-over:

- Residents who become non-residents or non-residents who become residents can request NDOW to transfer their bonus points
- Should youth hunters be allowed to carry-over bonus points when they have accumulated 1107 bonus points and then move on to become adults?



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Application Topics
Youth Bonus Point Carry-Over

Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*
*Established
August 2006



Hunt Application Patterns for 12 year olds (as of 2000) applying from 2000 through 2003

	Total	0	1	2	3	4
# Applications	Clients	Tags	Tags	Tags	Tags	Tags
1 of 4 years	32	10	22	---	---	---
2 of 4 years	32	2	18	12	---	---
3 of 4 years	99	8	32	46	13	---
4 of 4 years	215	5	40	120	45	5
Class of 2000 Total	378	25	112	178	58	5



Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Application Topics
Youth Bonus Point Carry-Over

**1107 Bonus Points going into 2006 for Hunters Born after
1/1/1990 and on or before 12/31/1990 (16 years old)**


Points	Clients
0	1,054
1	155
2	15
3	4
4	None
Total	1,228



Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Application Topics
Youth Bonus Point Carry-Over

Committee Motion: Youth Bonus Points will be rolled over to 1331, 1341, 1371 hunts but no more than one point per year will be rolled over. Bonus points will be automatically carried forward in the draw when the youth is no longer eligible for any youth hunt.

Motion carried.

Fiscal Impact: To be determined.


August 10 Commission Motion: Agreed with committee recommendation.



Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Are Bonus Points Working?

Are Bonus Points Working?


- Do individuals with more bonus points obtain more tags?
- Should some tags be set aside for individuals with more bonus points to insure that they will obtain them?



Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Bonus Points – How Many Draw Numbers?

Party	Bonus Points	Points Squared	+1 Point for the Application	Total Draw Numbers
Alpha	0	0	1	1
Bravo	1	1	1	2
Charlie	2	4	1	5
Delta	3	9	1	10
Echo	4	16	1	17
Foxtrot	5	25	1	26
Golf	6	36	1	37
Hotel	7	49	1	50
India	8	64	1	65
Lima	9	81	1	82
Kilo	10	100	1	101
Mike	11	121	1	122
November	12	144	1	145
Oscar	13	169	1	170
Papa	14	196	1	197
Quebec	15	225	1	226



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Bonus Point Potential Application Changes – **Elk** Points/Applicants/Tags by Year

Nevada Board of Wildlife

Tag Allocation and Application Hunt Committee*

*Established August 2006



Y	*	Max	-1	-2	-3	-4	-5	-6	-7	-8	-9	-10	-11	-12	-13	-14	Totals
2006	P	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	NA
	A	194	244	220	223	250	318	353	488	574	701	1053	1234	1334	1739	3020	11,933
	T	61	77	66	59	59	63	66	83	61	46	49	39	19	10	12	758
	%	31.4%	31.6%	30%	26.5%	23.6%	19.8%	18.7%	17%	10.6%	6.6%	4.7%	3.2%	1.4%	0.6%	0.4%	6.4%
2005	P	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	NA	NA
	A	279	368	319	319	321	398	446	602	732	846	1292	1458	1717	2725	NA	11,822
	T	75	103	83	71	58	60	71	65	56	51	33	23	9	5	NA	763
	%	26.9%	28%	26%	22.3%	18.1%	15.1%	15.9%	10.8%	7.7%	6%	2.6%	1.6%	0.5%	0.2%	NA	6.5%
2004	P	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	NA	NA	NA
	A	407	530	423	416	419	518	556	740	870	1058	1598	1946	2723	NA	NA	12,204
	T	95	106	74	55	66	48	44	42	32	21	14	13	7	NA	NA	617
	%	23.3%	20%	17.5%	13.2%	15.8%	9.3%	7.9%	5.7%	3.7%	2%	0.9%	0.7%	0.3%	NA	NA	5.1%
2003	P	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	NA	NA	NA	NA
	A	540	655	519	505	472	571	656	864	1039	1270	2058	2982	NA	NA	NA	12,131
	T	86	92	74	56	27	33	28	19	20	9	3	6	NA	NA	NA	453
	%	15.9%	14%	14.3%	11.1%	5.7%	5.8%	4.3%	2.2%	1.9%	0.7%	0.1%	0.2%	NA	NA	NA	3.7%

Points

Applicants

28

Tags

% – Success Percentage




Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Bonus Point Potential
Application Changes – **Are Bonus Point Working?**

Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Elk	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
Percent of Tags to Maximum Points	8%	10%	15%	19%	26%
Percent of Tags to Highest Three Points	27%	34%	45%	56%	62%
Percent with Maximum Points to Total Applications	1.63%	2.36%	3.34%	4.45%	6.14%
Total Applicants with Maximum Points	194	279	407	540	719
Number of Tags to Maximum Point Holders	61	75	95	86	123
Percent of Applicants with Maximum Points Receiving Tags	31.4%	26.9%	23.3%	15.9%	17.1%



Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Bonus Point Potential
Application Changes – **Are Bonus Point Working?**

Number of Unique Hunter Choices for successful and unsuccessful **Elk** applicants with max points

Drew?	1	2	3	4	5	Total
Tag	10	14	15	7	15	61
No Tag	46	32	22	7	26	133
Total	56	46	37	14	41	194

Drew?	1	2	3	4	5	Total
Tag	16.39%	22.95%	24.59%	11.48%	24.59%	100%
No Tag	34.59%	24.06%	16.54%	5.26%	19.55%	100%



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Bonus Point Potential Application Changes – **Desert Bighorn** Points/Applicants/Tags by Year

Nevada Board of Wildlife

Tag Allocation and Application Hunt Committee*

*Established August 2006



Y	*	Max	-1	-2	-3	-4	-5	-6	-7	-8	-9	-10	-11	-12	-13	-14	Totals
2006	P	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	NA
	A	156	157	141	186	150	169	243	277	364	380	628	708	690	875	1276	6,400
	T	15	17	15	15	9	9	13	12	10	8	4	6	2	0	1	136
	%	9.6%	10.8%	10.6%	8.1%	6.0%	5.3%	5.3%	4.3%	2.7%	2.1%	0.6%	0.8%	0.3%	0%	0.1%	2.1%
2005	P	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	NA	NA
	A	185	179	159	208	161	191	265	308	386	410	719	800	809	1,169	NA	5,949
	T	19	17	15	11	14	10	5	5	9	7	8	3	1	0	NA	124
	%	10.3%	9.5%	9.4%	5.3%	8.7%	5.2%	1.9%	1.6%	2.3%	1.7%	1.1%	0.4%	0.1%	0%	NA	2.1%
2004	P	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	NA	NA	NA
	A	217	208	182	232	182	222	300	364	462	505	884	1,002	1,193	NA	NA	5,953
	T	21	21	14	10	10	6	10	6	7	4	9	1	0	NA	NA	119
	%	9.7%	10.1%	7.7%	4.3%	5.5%	2.7%	3.3%	1.6%	1.5%	0.8%	1%	0.1%	0%	NA	NA	2%
2003	P	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	NA	NA	NA	NA
	A	249	238	216	245	214	234	315	404	505	658	1,080	1,311	NA	NA	NA	5,669
	T	21	19	21	10	11	9	5	6	5	4	3	1	NA	NA	NA	115
	%	8.4%	8%	9.7%	4.1%	5.1%	3.8%	1.6%	1.5%	1%	0.6%	0.3%	0.1%	NA	NA	NA	2%

Points

Applicants

31

Tags

% – Success Percentage




Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Bonus Point Potential
Application Changes – **Are Bonus Point Working?**

Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Desert Bighorn Sheep	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
Percent of Tags to Maximum Points	11%	15%	18%	18%	23%
Percent of Tags to Highest Three Points	35%	41%	47%	53%	49%
Percent with Maximum Points to Total Applications	2.44%	3.11%	3.65%	4.4%	5.8%
Total Applicants with Maximum Points	156	185	217	249	290
Number of Tags to Maximum Point Holders	15	19	21	21	28
Percent of Applicants with Maximum Points Receiving Tags	9.6%	10.3%	9.7%	8.4%	9.7%



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Bonus Point Potential Application Changes – **California Bighorn** Points/Applicants/Tags by Year

Nevada Board of Wildlife

Tag Allocation and Application Hunt Committee*

*Established August 2006



Y	*	Max	-1	-2	-3	-4	-5	-6	-7	-8	-9	-10	-11	-12	-13	-14	Totals
2006	P	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	NA
	A	224	189	149	147	143	146	192	205	246	302	506	508	524	591	875	4,947
	T	5	6	4	3	2	2	3	3	3	1	2	0	2	0	0	36
	%	2.2%	3.2%	2.7%	2%	1.4%	1.4%	1.6%	1.5%	1.2%	0.3%	0.4%	0%	0.4%	0%	0%	0.7%
2005	P	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	NA	NA
	A	239	196	158	157	149	156	201	217	265	324	546	562	588	803	NA	4,561
	T	7	1	3	3	2	4	2	6	4	0	0	0	0	0	NA	32
	%	2.9%	0.5%	1.9%	1.9%	1.3%	2.6%	1%	2.8%	1.5%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	NA	0.7%
2004	P	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	NA	NA	NA
	A	263	208	165	175	159	172	218	262	296	393	644	744	860	NA	NA	4,559
	T	10	4	1	1	3	3	0	3	2	1	1	0	0	NA	NA	29
	%	3.8%	1.9%	0.6%	0.6%	1.9%	1.7%	0%	1.1%	0.7%	0.3%	0.2%	0%	0%	NA	NA	0.6%
2003	P	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	NA	NA	NA	NA
	A	275	223	174	189	173	189	226	290	329	427	764	940	NA	NA	NA	4,199
	T	6	5	8	1	3	3	2	3	1	0	1	0	NA	NA	NA	33
	%	2.2%	2.2%	4.6%	0.5%	1.7%	1.6%	0.9%	1%	0.3%	0%	0.1%	0%	NA	NA	NA	0.8%

Points
Applicants
33
Tags
% – Success Percentage



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Bonus Point Potential
Application Changes – **Are Bonus Point Working?**

Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



California Bighorn Sheep	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
Percent of Tags to Maximum Points	14%	22%	34%	18%	34%
Percent of Tags to Highest Three Points	42%	34%	52%	58%	71%
Percent with Maximum Points to Total Applications	4.5%	5.2%	5.8%	6.5%	7.9%
Total Applicants with Maximum Points	224	239	263	275	294
Number of Tags to Maximum Point Holders	5	7	10	6	12
Percent of Applicants with Maximum Points Receiving Tags	2.2%	2.9%	3.8%	2.2%	4.1%



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Bonus Point Potential Application Changes – **Rocky Mountain Bighorn** Points/Applicants/Tags by Year

Nevada Board of Wildlife

Tag Allocation and Application Hunt Committee*

*Established August 2006



Y	*	Max	-1	-2	-3	-4	-5	-6	-7	-8	-9	-10	-11	Totals
2006	P	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	NA
	A	352	199	125	110	192	222	212	408	518	486	581	738	4,143
	T	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	5
	%	0%	0.5%	0%	0.9%	0%	0%	0%	0.5%	0.2%	0%	0%	0%	0.1%
2005	P	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	NA	NA
	A	367	206	137	119	196	241	223	446	578	558	761	NA	3,832
	T	2	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA	5
	%	0.5%	0%	0%	0.8%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	NA	0.1%
2004	P	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	NA	NA	NA
	A	391	221	149	128	222	283	265	533	723	776	NA	NA	3,691
	T	2	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	NA	NA	6
	%	0.5%	0%	1.3%	0%	0%	0%	0.4%	0%	0%	0.1%	NA	NA	0.2%
2003	P	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	NA	NA	NA	NA
	A	411	224	165	138	231	293	289	624	944	NA	NA	NA	3,319
	T	2	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	NA	NA	NA	6
	%	0.5%	0.4%	0.6%	0%	0%	0.3%	0%	0.2%	0%	NA	NA	NA	0.2%

Points **Applications** **35** **Tags** **% – Success Percentage**




Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Bonus Point Potential
Application Changes – **Are Bonus Point Working?**

Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Rocky Mountain Bighorn Sheep	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
Percent of Tags to Maximum Points	0%	40%	33%	33%	100%
Percent of Tags to Highest Three Points	20%	40%	66%	66%	100%
Percent with Maximum Points to Total Applications	8.5%	9.6%	10.6%	12.4%	16%
Total Applicants with Maximum Points	352	367	391	411	428
Number of Tags to Maximum Point Holders	0	2	2	2	3
Percent of Applicants with Maximum Points Receiving Tags	0%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.7%



Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Bonus Point Potential
Application Changes – **Are Bonus Point Working?**

Committee Motion: Keep bonus point system as is for all trophy big game animals including deer.

Motion carried.

Rationale: Maximum bonus point holders are more selective and restrictive in their choices. The committee is concerned about the number of people who are dropping out after the first few years of applying.

Fiscal Impact: None.


August 10 Commission Motion: Agreed with committee recommendation.



Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Bonus Points Potential Application
Changes – Party Bonus Points

Party Bonus Points

- The current formula:
 - Total points for all applicants in the party
 - Divide by number of applicants in the party
 - Round up if .50 points or more. I.e., if there are three people in the party and one has 3 points and two have 4, the total is 11 points. Divide 11 by 3 and you arrive at 3.667 points. This rounds up to 4 points for the party.
- Alternatives:
 - Rounding down (truncating)
 - Least number of points in party




Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Bonus Point Potential Application Changes – Party Bonus Points in 2006 by Party Size (Existing Formula)

Nevada Board of Wildlife

Tag Allocation and Application Hunt Committee*

*Established August 2006



Bonus Points By Party Size in 2006 Big Game Draw – With Points Rounded Up (Existing Formula)

# in Party	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	Total Parties
15			1													1
14		2														2
13		1														1
12	1	1														2
11	1	1														2
10	4	1	1	1												7
9	8	10	2	2												22
8	13	11	5	2												31
7	33	27	5	4												69
6	54	46	25	5	1	1										132
5	142	117	36	11	3	1										310
4	349	246	101	50	16	11	5	1								779
3	853	463	238	93	51	28	5	5	6	1						1,743
2	2,090	1,198	643	369	224	111	43	29	23	9	5	6	1		1	4,752
1	12,832	5,313	3,127	1,959	1,017	445	254	148	87	37	24	10	1	1	1	25,256
Total	16,380	7,437	4,184	2,496	1,312	597	307	183	116	47	29	16	2	1	2	33,109


Individuals Applying Alone



Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Bonus Points Potential Application
Changes – Party Bonus Points

Party Bonus Points

- Should Party Bonus Points be rounded down instead?
 - Total points for all applicants in the party
 - Divide by the number of applicants in the party
 - Round down “Utah” style. I.e., if there are three people in the party and one has 3 points and two have 4 the total is 11 points. Divide 11 by 3 and you arrive at 3.667 points. This rounds down to 3 points for the party




Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Bonus Point Potential Application Changes – Party Bonus Points in 2006 with Points Rounded Down

Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



# in Party	Bonus Points By Party Size in 2006 Big Game Draw – With Points Rounded Down															
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	Total
15			1													1
14		2														2
13	1															1
12	1	1														2
11	1	1														2
10	4	2	1													7
9	15	5	1	1												22
8	18	10	1	2												31
7	47	14	7	1												69
6	84	32	12	2	1	1										132
5	199	73	27	7	4											310
4	477	171	72	29	19	8	3									779
3	1,004	382	199	79	39	24	5	5	5	1						1,743
2	2,491	1,036	503	331	193	93	42	25	21	5	5	6				4,752
1	12,832	5,313	3,127	1,959	1,017	445	254	148	87	37	24	10	1	1	1	25,256
Round↓	17,174	7,042	3,951	2,411	1,273	571	304	178	113	43	29	16	1	1	1	33,109
Round↑	16,380	7,437	4,184	2,496	1,312	597	307	183	116	47	29	16	2	1	2	



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Bonus Point Potential Application Changes – Party Bonus Points in 2006 Rounded Down vs. Rounded Up

Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



# in Party	Bonus Points By Party Size in 2006 Big Game Draw – Comparison of Rounded Up and Down															Total
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
15																1
14																2
13	1	-1														1
12																2
11																2
10		1		-1												7
9	7	-5	-1	-1												22
8	5	-1	-4													31
7	14	-13	2	-3												69
6	30	-14	-13	-3												132
5	57	-44	-9	-4	1	-1										310
4	128	-75	-29	-21	3	-3	-2	-1								779
3	151	-81	-39	-14	-12	-4			-1							1,743
2	401	-162	-140	-38	-31	-18	-1	-4	-2	-4			-1			4,752
1																25,256
Round↓	17,174	7,042	3,951	2,411	1,273	571	304	178	113	43	29	16	1	1	2	33,109
Round↑	16,380	7,437	4,184	2,496	1,312	597	307	183	116	47	29	16	2	1	2	33,109
Change	794	-395	-233	-85	-39	-26	-3	-5	-3	-4			-1			



Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Bonus Points Potential Application
Changes – Party Bonus Points – Least Points in Party

Party Bonus Points

- Should Party Bonus Points be the least number of points amongst party members?
 - Consider all applicants in the party
 - Use the least points of any member in the party. I.e., if you had three party members and one had no points (zero) and the other two each had 10 points, then the party would end up with no points (zero), because the points would be chosen from the member with the least points



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Bonus Point Potential Application Changes – Party Bonus Points in 2006 with Least Points in Party

Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



# in Party	Bonus Points By Party Size in 2006 Big Game Draw (with Least Bonus Points in Party)															Total
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
15	1															1
14	1	1														2
13	1															1
12	2															2
11	2															2
10	6	1														7
9	20		1	1												22
8	24	4	1	2												31
7	56	11	2													69
6	101	22	6	1	1	1										132
5	244	43	16	5	2											310
4	566	110	57	23	17	5	1									779
3	1,159	308	146	62	33	23	4	3	4	1						1,743
2	2,797	890	427	291	167	84	42	21	19	4	6	3			1	4,752
1	12,832	5,313	3,127	1,959	1,017	445	254	148	87	37	24	10	1	1	1	25,256
Total	17,812	6,703	3,783	2,344	1,237	558	301	172	110	42	30	13	1	1	2	33,109




Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Bonus Point Potential Application Changes – Party Bonus Points in 2006 with Least Points in Party

Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006




# in Party	Bonus Points By Party Size in 2006 Big Game Draw Comparison with Least Bonus Points in Party)															Total
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
15	1		-1													1
14	1	-1														2
13	1	-1														1
12	1	-1														2
11	1	-1														2
10	2		-1	-1												7
9	12	-10	-1	-1												22
8	11	-7	-4													31
7	23	-16	-3	-4												69
6	47	-24	-19	-4												132
5	102	-74	-20	-6	-1	-1										310
4	217	-136	-44	-27	1	-6	-4	-1								779
3	306	-155	-92	-31	-18	-5	-1	-2	-2							1,743
2	707	-308	-216	-78	-57	-27	-1	-8	-4	-5	1	-3	-1			4,752
1																25,256
Round↑	16,380	7,437	4,184	2,496	1,312	597	307	183	116	47	29	16	2	1	2	33,109
Least	17,812	6,703	3,783	2,344	1,237	558	301	172	110	42	30	13	1	1	2	33,109
Diff	1,432	-734	-401	-152	-75	-39	-6	-11	-6	-5	1	-3	-1			



Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Bonus Point Potential Application
Changes – Party Bonus Points in 2006 with Least Points in Party

Committee Motion: Keep party bonus point system as is for deer (average points rounded up).

Motion carried.

Rationale: There isn't a significant difference between rounding up, down or going with the least number of points in the party.

Fiscal Impact: None.

August 10 Commission Motion: Agreed with committee recommendation.



Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Bonus Points Potential Application
Changes – Senior Bonus Points

Senior Bonus Points (High Points/High Age Advantage)

- The current formula:
 - Points are not considered in terms of age
 - There is a Junior Hunt with set aside quota but no Senior Hunt counterpart
- Alternatives:
 - Offer some advantage to seniors with points, i.e., a preference ahead of other bonus point holders



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Application Topics
Senior Bonus Point Advantage

Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

1331, 1341, 1371 Resident Senior Bonus Points going into 2007

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Age	1 pt	2 pts	3 pts	4 pts	5 pts	6 pts	7 pts	8 pts	9 pts	Total
50-54	1,410	723	344	147	55	12	6	1	1	2,699
55-59	1,171	553	261	115	48	15	3	5	1	2,172
60-64	968	434	204	104	25	12	5	2	2	1,756
65-69	661	362	162	71	22	7	3	1	1	1,290
70-74	376	194	100	50	12	2	1		1	736
75-79	193	90	48	22	3	5	1	1		363
80-84	88	36	21	6	1	2	1	1		156
85-89	34	19	3	4	3	2				65
90-94	4	3	1	1						9
Totals	4,905	2,414	1,144	520	169	57	20	11	6	9,246



Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Application Topics
Senior Bonus Point Advantage

Committee Motion: Seniors will not be afforded any additional advantage.

Motion carried.

Rationale: Seniors have had more opportunities in their lifetime to harvest big game than has a sportsman just entering the system.

Fiscal Impact: None.


August 10 Commission Motion: Agreed with committee recommendation.



Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

History of Old Preferential System

History of Preferential System

- Preference was for resident deer rifle and muzzleloader applicants only—to be used for the same hunt the following year
- Preference applications were processed prior to non-preference applications in the drawing
- How many units were filled with preferential applicants?
 - In **1990** there were 15 hunter choices filled by preferential applicants—37% of the hunter choices
 - Preferential units groups: 011-013, 014, 015, 021, 022, 031, 032, 033, 034, 035, 131-134, 192, 194 and 196, 195, 291
 - In **1991** there were 19 hunter choices filled by preferential applicants—47% of the hunter choices
 - Preferential units groups: 011-013, 014, 015, 021, 022, 031, 032, 033, 034, 035, 041 and 042, 081, 192, 194 and 196, 195, 221-223, 231, 241-244, 291


NOTE: Hunter choices that filled with preference applicants became units that had quota assigned to the archery deer hunt the following year.



Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Application Topics
“True” Preferential System vs. “Tiered” Preferential System”


“True” vs. “Tiered” Preferential System:

- Let’s adopt some terminology ...
- With the old NDOW Deer Preferential System, all individuals who had been unsuccessful in the previous year had “preference” over those who did not
- No additional weight was given to someone who had been unsuccessful more than one time consecutively
- Someone who had been unsuccessful three years in a row was treated the same as someone who had been successful only in the most recent year
- Let’s call this type of preferential system a “True” preferential system



Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*
*Established
August 2006



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Application Topics
“True” Preferential System vs. “Tiered” Preferential System”

“True” vs. “Tiered” Preferential System:

- Continuing with terminology ...
- With the Utah (and several other state preferential systems), all individuals who had been unsuccessful in the previous year have “Preference” over those who did not
- Additional weight is given to someone who had been unsuccessful more than one time consecutively
- Individuals with the most preference points are considered first. If there are tags left over, those with the next most points are considered, and so forth
- Let’s call this type of preferential system a “Tiered” preferential system



Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Application Topics
“True” Preferential System vs. “Tiered” Preferential System”

Consider 10 applications with the following bonus points:


Appl #	Bon Pts	Draw #
0001	3	002700413
0002	0	000009023
0003	1	000803910
0004	4	001060250
0005	0	098013056
0006	10	000006055
0007	1	080725860
0008	0	010345603
0009	0	054026407
0010	2	002350709



Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Application Topics
“True” Preferential System vs. “Tiered” Preferential System”

Let’s add a column to indicate “Preference”:

Appl #	Bon Pts	Pref	Draw #
0001	3	1	002700413
0002	0	0	000009023
0003	1	1	000803910
0004	4	1	001060250
0005	0	0	098013056
0006	10	1	000006055
0007	1	1	080725860
0008	0	0	010345603
0009	0	0	054026407
0010	2	1	002350709



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Application Topics
 “True” Preferential System vs. “Tiered” Preferential System”

Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



In the current system, apps are sorted strictly by Draw #

Appl #	Bon Pts	Pref	Draw #
0001	3	1	002700413
0002	0	0	000009023
0003	1	1	000803910
0004	4	1	001060250
0005	0	0	098013056
0006	10	1	000006055
0007	1	1	080725860
0008	0	0	010345603
0009	0	0	054026407
0010	2	1	002350709

Appl #	Pref	Draw #
0006	1	000006055
0002	0	000009023
0003	1	000803910
0004	1	001060250
0010	1	002350709
0001	1	002700413
0008	0	010345603
0009	0	054026407
0007	1	080725860
0005	0	098013056



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Application Topics
 “True” Preferential System vs. “Tiered” Preferential System”

Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



In a “True” preferential system, apps are sorted by Pref & Draw #

Appl #	Bon Pts	Pref	Draw #
0001	3	1	002700413
0002	0	0	000009023
0003	1	1	000803910
0004	4	1	001060250
0005	0	0	098013056
0006	10	1	000006055
0007	1	1	080725860
0008	0	0	010345603
0009	0	0	054026407
0010	2	1	002350709

Appl #	Pref	Draw #
0006	1	000006055
0003	1	000803910
0004	1	001060250
0010	1	002350709
0001	1	002700413
0007	1	080725860
0002	0	000009023
0008	0	010345603
0009	0	054026407
0005	0	098013056



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Application Topics
 “True” Preferential System vs. “Tiered” Preferential System”

Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



In a “Tiered” preferential system, apps are sorted by Pts & Draw #

Appl #	Bon Pts	Pref	Draw #
0001	3	1	002700413
0002	0	0	000009023
0003	1	1	000803910
0004	4	1	001060250
0005	0	0	098013056
0006	10	1	000006055
0007	1	1	080725860
0008	0	0	010345603
0009	0	0	054026407
0010	2	1	002350709

Appl #	Pts	Draw #
0006	10	000006055
0004	4	001060250
0001	3	002700413
0010	2	002350709
0003	1	000803910
0007	1	080725860
0002	0	000009023
0008	0	010345603
0009	0	054026407
0005	0	098013056



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Application Topics
 “True” Preferential System vs. “Tiered” Preferential System

Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Here is a comparison of the 3 types:

Appl #	Bon Pts	Pref	Draw #
0001	3	1	002700413
0002	0	0	000009023
0003	1	1	000803910
0004	4	1	001060250
0005	0	0	098013056
0006	10	1	000006055
0007	1	1	080725860
0008	0	0	010345603
0009	0	0	054026407
0010	2	1	002350709

“Now” Appl #	“True” Appl #	“Tiered” Appl #
0006	0006	0006
0002	0003	0004
0003	0004	0001
0004	0010	0010
0010	0001	0003
0001	0007	0007
0008	0002	0002
0009	0008	0008
0007	0009	0009
0005	0005	0005



Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Application Topics
Simulated “True” Preferential System Draw for 2006

Simulated “True” Preferential System Draw:

- We took the applications for deer in 2006 ...
- We assigned a “preference” of 1 for any application/party with bonus points (no matter how many bonus points)
- We assigned a “preference” of 0 for any application/party with no bonus points
- We re-allocated tags by considering all with a “preference” first (sorted by draw number), followed by all with no “preference” (sorted by draw number)




Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Application Topics
 “True” Preferential System 2006 Simulated 1331 Draw Results

Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Choice	Description	Res Quota	NonRes Quota	Res Pref Tags	Res Non Pref Tags	NonRes Pref Tags	NonRes Non Pref Tags
624	UNITS 011-013	154	8	154		8	
625	UNIT 014	58	5	58		5	
626	UNIT 015	36	2	36		2	
627	UNIT 021	28	2	28		2	
628	UNIT 022	49	3	49		3	
629	UNIT 031	111	6	111		6	
630	UNIT 032	89	7	89		7	
631	UNIT 033 EARLY	74	5	74		5	
632	UNIT 033 LATE	74	5	74		5	
633	UNIT 034	18	2	18		2	
634	UNIT 035	48	3	48		3	
635	UNITS 041, 042	51	3	51		3	
636	UNITS 043 - 046	172	10	172		10	
637	UNIT 051	371	35	371		35	
638	UNITS 061, 062, 064, 066 - 068 EARLY	769	44	769		44	
639	UNITS 061, 062, 064, 066 - 068 LATE	85	5	85		5	
640	UNIT 065	31	2	31		2	
641	UNITS 071 - 079	849	51	849		51	
642	UNIT 081	44	3	44		3	
643	UNITS 101 - 108 EARLY	1,717	122	1,375	342	122	
644	UNITS 101 - 108 LATE	429	31	429		31	
645	UNITS 111 - 113 EARLY	789	52	789		52	

Open

60

Closed to Non-Preference



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Application Topics

“True” Preferential System 2006 Simulated 1331 Draw Results

Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Choice	Description	Res Quota	NonRes Quota	Res Pref Tags	Res Non Pref Tags	NonRes Pref Tags	NonRes Non Pref Tags
646	UNITS 111 - 113 LATE	58	4	58		4	
647	UNITS 114, 115	158	10	158		10	
648	UNIT 121	165	7	165		7	
649	UNITS 131 - 134	263	15	263		15	
650	UNITS 141 - 145	331	26	331		26	
651	UNITS 151, 152, 154, 155	158	9	158		9	
652	UNITS 161 - 164	256	10	256		10	
653	UNITS 171 - 173	522	38	522		38	
654	UNITS 181 - 184	172	12	172		12	
655	UNIT 192	33	3	33		3	
656	UNITS 194, 196	53	4	53		4	
657	UNIT 195	32	3	32		3	
658	UNITS 201, 204	74	8	74		8	
659	UNITS 202, 205, 206	57	3	57		3	
660	UNIT 203 SPECIAL WEAPON	73	7	73		7	
661	UNITS 211, 212	37	2	37		2	
662	UNITS 221 - 223	373	23	373		23	
663	UNIT 231	167	12	167		12	
664	UNITS 241 - 245	41	2	41		2	
665	UNITS 251 - 253	45	5	45		5	
666	UNITS 261 - 268	55	6	55		6	
667	UNITS 271, 272	18	2	18		2	

Open

61

Closed to Non-Preference



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee


Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Application Topics

“True” Preferential System 2006 Simulated **1341** Draw Results

Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Choice	Description	Res Quota	NonRes Quota	Res Pref Tags	Res Non Pref Tags	NonRes Pref Tags	NonRes Non Pref Tags
709	UNITS 011-013	37	4	37		4	
710	UNIT 014	14	2	14		2	
711	UNIT 015	3	2	3		2	
712	UNIT 021	10	2	10		2	
713	UNIT 022	14	2	14		2	
714	UNIT 031	25	3	12	13	3	
715	UNIT 032	16	2	3	13	2	
716	UNIT 033	23	3	23		3	
717	UNIT 034	6	2	4	2	2	
718	UNIT 035	17	2	6	11		2
719	UNITS 041, 042	17	2	5	12	1	1
720	UNITS 043 - 046	59	7	7	52	1	
721	UNIT 051	85	9	9	60	4	5
722	UNITS 061, 062, 064, 066 - 068	249	26	25	188	15	11
723	UNIT 065	21	2	2	19	1	1
724	UNITS 071 - 079	133	13	22	96	9	4
725	UNIT 081	4	2	0	2	1	1
726	UNITS 101 - 108 EARLY	595	55	18	210	15	40
727	UNITS 101 - 108 LATE	149	14	43	106	14	
728	UNITS 111 - 113	69	8	37	32	8	
729	UNITS 114, 115	105	12	10	95	12	

Open

62

Closed to Non-Preference



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee


Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Application Topics

“True” Preferential System 2006 Simulated **1341** Draw Results

Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Choice	Description	Res Quota	NonRes Quota	Res Pref Tags	Res Non Pref Tags	NonRes Pref Tags	NonRes Non Pref Tags
730	UNIT 121	31	3	10	21	1	2
731	UNITS 131 - 134	41	5	41		5	
732	UNITS 141 - 145	84	9	7	77	2	7
733	UNITS 151, 152, 154, 155	80	9	7	70	1	8
734	UNITS 161 - 164	106	12	31	75	6	6
735	UNITS 171 - 173	229	25	14	185	10	15
736	UNITS 181 - 184	47	5		39	1	2
737	UNIT 192	23	3	12	11	1	1
738	UNITS 194, 196	10	2	10		2	
739	UNIT 195	10	2	10			2
740	UNITS 201, 204	32	4	15	17	4	
741	UNITS 202, 205, 206	10	2	3	7	1	1
742	UNIT 203	34	4	9	25		4
743	UNITS 211, 212	24	3	1	6		3
744	UNITS 221 - 223	73	8	53	20	8	
745	UNIT 231	44	5	44		5	
746	UNITS 241 - 245	16	2	15	1	2	
747	UNITS 251 - 253	5	2	2	3		
748	UNITS 261 - 268	16	2	16			
749	UNITS 271, 272	4	2	3	1		2
750	UNIT 291	17	2	17		1	1

Open

63

Closed to Non-Preference



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee


Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Application Topics

“True” Preferential System 2006 Simulated **1371** Draw Results

Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Choice	Description	Res Quota	NonRes Quota	Res Pref Tags	Res Non Pref Tags	NonRes Pref Tags	NonRes Non Pref Tags
669	UNITS 011-013	14	2	14		2	
670	UNIT 014	6	2	6		2	
671	UNIT 015	2	2	2		2	
672	UNIT 021	3	2	3		2	
673	UNIT 022	4	2	4		2	
674	UNIT 031	7	2	7		2	
675	UNIT 032	5	2	5		2	
676	UNIT 033	31	2	31		3	
677	UNIT 034	8	2	8		2	
678	UNIT 035	6	2	6		2	
679	UNITS 041, 042	5	2	5			2
680	UNITS 043 - 046	30	3	30		1	
681	UNIT 051	37	4	27	10	4	
682	UNITS 061, 062, 064, 066 - 068	76	3	76		3	
683	UNIT 065	4	2	4		2	
684	UNITS 071 - 079	118	4	87	31	4	
685	UNIT 081	4	2	4		2	
686	UNITS 101 - 108	180	9	104	76	9	
687	UNITS 111 - 113	49	3	49		3	
688	UNITS 114, 115	94	10	94		10	
689	UNIT 121	16	2	16		2	

Open

64

Closed to Non-Preference



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee


Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Application Topics

“True” Preferential System 2006 Simulated **1371** Draw Results

Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Choice	Description	Res Quota	NonRes Quota	Res Pref Tags	Res Non Pref Tags	NonRes Pref Tags	NonRes Non Pref Tags
690	UNITS 131 - 134	33	4	33		4	
691	UNITS 141 - 145	14	2	14		2	
692	UNITS 151, 152, 154, 155	23	3	23		3	
693	UNITS 161 - 164	28	3	28		3	
694	UNITS 171 - 173	94	4	94		4	
695	UNITS 181 - 184	8	2	8		1	1
696	UNIT 192	4	2	4		2	
697	UNITS 194, 196	2	2	2		2	
698	UNIT 195	5	2	5		2	
699	UNITS 201, 204	4	2	4		2	
700	UNITS 202, 205, 206	4	2	4		2	
701	UNITS 211, 212	13	2	4	9	2	
702	UNITS 221 - 223	25	3	25		3	
703	UNIT 231	17	2	17		2	
704	UNITS 241 - 245	3	2	3		2	
705	UNITS 251 - 253	6	2	6		1	1
706	UNITS 261 - 268	7	2	7		1	1
707	UNITS 271, 272	2	2	2		2	
708	UNIT 291	4	2	4		1	1

Open

65

Closed to Non-Preference



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee


Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Application Topics

“Tiered” Preferential System 2006 Simulated 1331 Draw Results

Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Choice	Description	Res Quota	NonRes Quota	Res Tags	NonRes Tags	Min Pts To Draw
624	UNITS 011-013	154	8	154	8	4
625	UNIT 014	58	5	58	5	4
626	UNIT 015	36	2	36	2	4
627	UNIT 021	28	2	28	2	4
628	UNIT 022	49	3	49	3	3
629	UNIT 031	111	6	111	6	3
630	UNIT 032	89	7	89	7	2
631	UNIT 033 EARLY	74	5	74	5	3
632	UNIT 033 LATE	74	5	74	5	4
633	UNIT 034	18	2	18	2	3
634	UNIT 035	48	3	48	3	2
635	UNITS 041, 042	51	3	51	3	3
636	UNITS 043 - 046	172	10	172	10	2
637	UNIT 051	371	35	371	35	1
638	UNITS 061, 062, 064, 066 - 068 EARLY	769	44	769	44	1
639	UNITS 061, 062, 064, 066 - 068 LATE	85	5	85	5	3
640	UNIT 065	31	2	31	2	3
641	UNITS 071 - 079	849	51	849	51	1
642	UNIT 081	44	3	44	3	2
643	UNITS 101 - 108 EARLY	1,717	122	1,375	122	0
644	UNITS 101 - 108 LATE	429	31	429	31	1
645	UNITS 111 - 113 EARLY	789	52	789	52	1

Open

66

Closed to those with “0” pts



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee


Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Application Topics

“Tiered” Preferential System 2006 Simulated 1331 Draw Results

Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Choice	Description	Res Quota	NonRes Quota	Res Tags	Non Res Tags	Min Pts To Draw
646	UNITS 111 - 113 LATE	58	4	58	4	3
647	UNITS 114, 115	158	10	158	10	2
648	UNIT 121	165	7	165	7	1
649	UNITS 131 - 134	263	15	263	15	2
650	UNITS 141 - 145	331	26	331	26	1
651	UNITS 151, 152, 154, 155	158	9	158	9	2
652	UNITS 161 - 164	256	10	256	10	2
653	UNITS 171 - 173	522	38	522	38	1
654	UNITS 181 - 184	172	12	172	12	1
655	UNIT 192	33	3	33	3	3
656	UNITS 194, 196	53	4	53	4	5
657	UNIT 195	32	3	32	3	4
658	UNITS 201, 204	74	8	74	8	3
659	UNITS 202, 205, 206	57	3	57	3	2
660	UNIT 203 SPECIAL WEAPON	73	7	73	7	1
661	UNITS 211, 212	37	2	37	2	1
662	UNITS 221 - 223	373	23	373	23	2
663	UNIT 231	167	12	167	12	3
664	UNITS 241 - 245	41	2	41	2	4
665	UNITS 251 - 253	45	5	45	5	
666	UNITS 261 - 268	55	6	55	6	
667	UNITS 271, 272	18	2	18	2	
668	UNIT 291	42	5	42	5	3

Open

67

Closed to those with “0” pts



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee


Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Application Topics

“Tiered” Preferential System 2006 Simulated 1341 Draw Results

Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Choice	Description	Res Quota	NonRes Quota	Res Tags	Non Res Tags	Min Pts To Draw
709	UNITS 011-013	37	4	37	4	1
710	UNIT 014	14	2	14	2	1
711	UNIT 015	3	2	3	2	2
712	UNIT 021	10	2	10	2	1
713	UNIT 022	14	2	14	2	1
714	UNIT 031	25	3	12	3	0
715	UNIT 032	16	2	3	2	0
716	UNIT 033	23	3	23	3	1
717	UNIT 034	6	2	4	2	0
718	UNIT 035	17	2	6		0
719	UNITS 041, 042	17	2	5	1	0
720	UNITS 043 - 046	59	7	7	1	0
721	UNIT 051	85	9	9	4	0
722	UNITS 061, 062, 064, 066 - 068	249	26	25	15	0
723	UNIT 065	21	2	2	1	0
724	UNITS 071 - 079	133	13	22	9	0
725	UNIT 081	4	2	0	1	0
726	UNITS 101 - 108 EARLY	595	55	18	15	0
727	UNITS 101 - 108 LATE	149	14	43	14	0
728	UNITS 111 - 113	69	8	37	8	0
729	UNITS 114, 115	105	12	10	12	0

Open

68

Closed to those with “0” pts



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee


Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Application Topics

“Tiered” Preferential System 2006 Simulated 1341 Draw Results

Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Choice	Description	Res Quota	NonRes Quota	Res Tags	NonRes Tags	Min Pts To Draw
730	UNIT 121	31	3	10	1	0
731	UNITS 131 - 134	41	5	41	5	1
732	UNITS 141 - 145	84	9	7	2	0
733	UNITS 151, 152, 154, 155	80	9	7	1	0
734	UNITS 161 - 164	106	12	31	6	0
735	UNITS 171 - 173	229	25	14	10	0
736	UNITS 181 - 184	47	5		1	0
737	UNIT 192	23	3	12	1	0
738	UNITS 194, 196	10	2	10	2	2
739	UNIT 195	10	2	10		0
740	UNITS 201, 204	32	4	15	4	0
741	UNITS 202, 205, 206	10	2	3	1	0
742	UNIT 203	34	4	9		0
743	UNITS 211, 212	24	3	1		0
744	UNITS 221 - 223	73	8	53	8	0
745	UNIT 231	44	5	44	5	0
746	UNITS 241 - 245	16	2	15	2	0
747	UNITS 251 - 253	5	2	2		0
748	UNITS 261 - 268	16	2	16		0
749	UNITS 271, 272	4	2	3		0
750	UNIT 291	17	2	17	1	0

Open

69

Closed to those with “0” pts



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee


Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Application Topics

“Tiered” Preferential System 2006 Simulated 1371 Draw Results

Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Choice	Description	Res Quota	NonRes Quota	Res Tags	NonRes Tags	Min Pts To Draw
669	UNITS 011-013	14	2	14	2	2
670	UNIT 014	6	2	6	2	3
671	UNIT 015	2	2	2	2	4
672	UNIT 021	3	2	3	2	3
673	UNIT 022	4	2	4	2	2
674	UNIT 031	7	2	7	2	2
675	UNIT 032	5	2	5	2	1
676	UNIT 033	31	2	31	3	3
677	UNIT 034	8	2	8	2	1
678	UNIT 035	6	2	6	2	1
679	UNITS 041, 042	5	2	5		0
680	UNITS 043 - 046	30	3	30	1	1
681	UNIT 051	37	4	27	4	0
682	UNITS 061, 062, 064, 066 - 068	76	3	76	3	1
683	UNIT 065	4	2	4	2	2
684	UNITS 071 - 079	118	4	87	4	0
685	UNIT 081	4	2	4	2	1
686	UNITS 101 - 108	180	9	104	9	0
687	UNITS 111 - 113	49	3	49	3	1
688	UNITS 114, 115	94	10	94	10	2
689	UNIT 121	16	2	16	2	1

Open

70

Closed to those with “0” pts



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee


Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Application Topics

“Tiered” Preferential System 2006 Simulated 1371 Draw Results

Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Choice	Description	Res Quota	NonRes Quota	Res Tags	NonRes Tags	Min Pts To Draw
690	UNITS 131 - 134	33	4	33	4	2
691	UNITS 141 - 145	14	2	14	2	1
692	UNITS 151, 152, 154, 155	23	3	23	3	1
693	UNITS 161 - 164	28	3	28	3	1
694	UNITS 171 - 173	94	4	94	4	1
695	UNITS 181 - 184	8	2	8	1	0
696	UNIT 192	4	2	4	2	1
697	UNITS 194, 196	2	2	2	2	2
698	UNIT 195	5	2	5	2	1
699	UNITS 201, 204	4	2	4	2	2
700	UNITS 202, 205, 206	4	2	4	2	1
701	UNITS 211, 212	13	2	4	2	0
702	UNITS 221 - 223	25	3	25	3	2
703	UNIT 231	17	2	17	2	3
704	UNITS 241 - 245	3	2	3	2	4
705	UNITS 251 - 253	6	2	6	1	0
706	UNITS 261 - 268	7	2	7	1	0
707	UNITS 271, 272	2	2	2	2	2
708	UNIT 291	4	2	4	1	0

Open

71

Closed to those with “0” pts



Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Tag Allocation and Application Topics – Application Topics
“True” or “Tiered” Preferential System

Committee Motion: Leave the system as is, do not adopt either a “true” or “tiered” preferential system.

Motion carried.

Rationale: There is already a hunter retention problem. By closing units to sportsman without preference points it will impact retention and revenues (license sales).

Fiscal Impact: None.


August 10 Commission Motion: Agreed with committee recommendation.



Nevada
Board of
Wildlife

Tag
Allocation
and
Application
Hunt
Committee*

*Established
August 2006



Tag Allocation & Application Hunt Committee

Thank You!