



Clark County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife

MEETING MINUTES

Date: January 31, 2012

Location: Clark County Government Center
Commissioner Chambers
500 S Grand Central Pkwy.
Las Vegas, NV 89155

Time: 6:00 pm

Board Members Present: Paul Dixon, Chair Jacky Holt John Sullivan
 Brian Patterson Michael J. Reese Ryan Anderson

The agenda for this meeting was posted in the following locations;

- Nevada Department of Wildlife, 4747 West Vegas Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89107;
- Clark County Government Center, 500 Grand Central Parkway, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89108;
- City of Henderson, City Hall, 240 Water Street, Henderson, Nevada, 89015;
- Boulder City, City Hall, 401 California Avenue, Boulder City, Nevada, 89005;
- Laughlin Town Manager's Office; 101 Civic Way, Laughlin, Nevada, 89028;
- Moapa Valley Community Center, 320 North Moapa Valley Road, Overton, Nevada, 89040;
- Mesquite City Hall, 10 East Mesquite Boulevard, Mesquite, Nevada, 89027.

Date: January 24, 2012

.....

1. Call to Order

- The meeting was called to order at 6:00 pm by Chairman Paul Dixon.
- Roll call of Board Members was performed by Chairman Paul Dixon. Vice Chair Tracy Truman was the only Board Member absent. A quorum was present.

2. Approval of Minutes of November 29, 2011 CCABMW Meeting - Action

- The Minutes of the Board Meeting held on November 29th, 2011 were approved unanimously.

3. Approval of Agenda for January 31, 2012– Action

- A motion was made and seconded to accept the meeting agenda as written. Motion passed unanimously.

4. CAB Member Items/Announcements/Correspondence

- Chairman Paul Dixon commented there has been a tremendous amount of negative exposure to board because of a video posted by a board member. The county advisory board has authority to work on wildlife issues under NRS. As far as the behavior or removal of a county advisory board member it's not the prevue of the advisory board Chairman to comment publically. If someone does not attend three meetings I as the Chairman can ask the Clark County Commissioners to remove that board member. NDOW is investigating the video for criminal behavior. County Commissioners, at least five of them, have spoken to Tracy Truman. Chairman Dixon has spoken to several County Commissioners and Tracy Truman. On February 21st, the County Commissioners will discuss whether or not to ask for Tracy Truman's resignation from the board. The CCABMW does not have the authority to remove Tracy Truman or ask for his resignation. Tracy Truman has been copied on all e-mails received by the Chairman relating to the video.
- Chairman Dixon will be submitting all e-mails received into the public record. Names were redacted if requested by person sending the e-mail.
- Chairman Dixon commented that at the December Commission meeting, the recommendations passed forward from the CCABMW were listened to by the Commission. The public does have a voice. The meeting this weekend will be in Las Vegas and Chairman Dixon encouraged attendance.
- Hearing no more input from Board Members, this item was closed by Chairman Paul Dixon.

5. Public Comment

- Chairman Paul Dixon opened the floor to public comment and asked that anyone who wishes to speak complete a public speaker card. This will ensure speakers are called up to speak in an orderly manner to give testimony. Chairman Dixon commented all speakers would be allowed three minutes. He asked that people are respectful of each other, comments, and listen to each other. During each agenda item, the speaker can discuss that issue prior to a vote of the board members. If the public brings up an item not on the agenda, it can be added to a list for consideration on a future agenda.
- Marell Grimes – Stated the fact that this man is not the most intelligent, he represents Clark County, the board and the people, he should have disclosed this was a training video. He never disclosed anything. That makes him a liar. It was not a training video.
- Jace Mande- Stated in light of the video it makes you wonder how much took place that wasn't videotaped. Perhaps some kind of psychological testing on people who will be involved with wildlife.
- Daryl Sneed –We have plenty of NDOW folks, CAB, and State Wildlife Commissioners here, last year there was a reduction in deer tags. The reason Daryl brought this up is they are setting deer tags numbers in May. Due to the mild winter, good populations of deer herds, deer tag numbers should come up this spring for next fall. The counts should be good from last fall. The biologists will have more information. The tag numbers should come up. NDOW will be making recommendations for the May Commission meeting, the CCABMW will be discussing seasons at the April meeting. Paul Dixon commented that NDOW did not set the tag numbers were they were for last year. NDOW will set the

numbers we don't need a huge increase, we don't want a large decrease. We just want the strongest number of tags possible. Chairman Dixon will take the comment to the Commission meeting.

- Rachel Andersen – Stated she grew up hunting and trapping. Tracy Truman is a great person and great member of CCABMW. Rachel learned a lot about trapping from Tracy. Video can be battled back and forth for a long time. Tracy is a good person and she wants to be the voice for Tracy.
- Karen Layne – President, Las Vegas Valley Humane Society. Karen stated, what you see tonight particularly for us, we are all frustrated that Tracy Truman is a member on this committee. We watched the video and we consider it harassment of wildlife. We have real concerns if this committee represents all of the members of Clark County, not just the hunters and the trappers. We're all here with our sign that says you don't speak for me. Members of the committee need to understand since we don't have fishing license or hunting license we can't be on committee, none of these people can be on this committee; yet they are just as concerned about Bobcat and the video. Karen stated we have two sides now; and she felt the two sides are not that far apart. If the members of this committee are going to represent the people of Clark County, then they need to represent all of the people of Clark County. We don't see this as a training video. If you are going to represent all of the citizens of Clark County you need to do it equally.
- Kathy McCarthy – Spoke about Tracy Truman she watched the video and stated it was hard to watch. To see an animal that is already in great distress, caught in a trap to be tormented by a member of the Wildlife Commission was appalling. If it was a training video and had been represented as such; she thinks he came up with that after the fact.
- Commissioner Chris Giunchigliani – County Commissioner District E. stated unfortunately with the actions that occurred the entire Advisory Board got lumped into one consideration; the job you have is very difficult whether you are a supporter of hunters, trappers and anglers or not, it's a very difficult decision. Commissioner Giunchigliani stated she has a home on Mt. Charleston, she doesn't believe trapping in residential areas should be permitted. She doesn't agree with trapping but it is allowed by state law. She does hope you as you consider the legislation from last session, you deal with people who are hiking through wilderness with pets and ensure they are not injured inadvertently. On February 21st, Mr. Truman will have his right to due process we will consider discussion as a Board of County Commissioners. Commissioner Giunchigliani has spoken to Mr. Truman; she is not here to judge him. Personally she does not feel this was a training video. Commissioner Giunchigliani is only speaking on behalf of herself. It brought together the view point and allowed people to see the inhuman side of this. It is time for Nevada to rethink how we do our process. Commissioner Giunchigliani spoke with an assembly person; we used to have Conservationists on the boards, which was taken away in legislation we are very restricted of not having an additional voice. On any board or commission we should have a broad breath of people that represent a wide variety of areas to advise in this case the State Wildlife Board. Commissioner Giunchigliani also commented on Item E, traps near residential areas are inappropriate.
- Stephanie Myers- lives in Lee Canyon, and spoke of a January day when her dog was caught in a trap in Lee Canyon on Max Canyon Road; it's not a county road it is a state road. She stated there must be better representation on the board than only hunters and trappers. A lot of people, conservation list want to be on the board. Ms. Myers

commented the board was all men; and that they were probably all hunters and trappers is this fair? Ms. Myers commented there is a need for more regulations in Mt. Charleston both the communities of Mt. Charleston and communities of Mountain Springs are in favor of stronger regulations for trapping. There are practically no regulations. There must be ids on all traps. Ms. Myers dog was trapped for five hours and required emergency surgery and later passed away from the injuries sustained. She also stated it is wrong that the regulation meeting is held on Friday during the Sportsmen Expo. This means we have to park at the Convention Center and walk passed all the guns and traps to attend the meeting for trapping regulation; that is wrong.

- Kes Anderson – Anyone who knows Tracy knows what a good guy he is. The video does not need a disclaimer on it; the website was the disclaimer. The video was stolen off the website and posted on You Tube illegally. Now people say the video should have a disclaimer. I would challenge anybody in this room to do as many hours of service as Tracy for Scouting and trapping. I have hunted and trapped with Tracy; Tracy is a good guy. Do I agree with the video or not agree that is up for dispute. What he did wasn't illegal or against the law. It's not fair to Tracy. We don't speak for Bobcats, they speak for themselves. I trap and do it ethically, does that make me a bad person, and it doesn't. Is Tracy a bad person? No he is not. Don't take a couple of people's opinions who don't know Tracy. Tracy is a good person.
- Clint Bentley – Recommended board to approach Commission and revitalize the work on getting the interpretive center back on line in the Overton Wildlife Management area. Clint asked for the support of the audience and stated that is for consumptive and non-consumptive use if we can get the Interpretive Center approved. We will need the County Commissioners support on this issue. Add 6L to speaker card. One other item, for the record, the previous Chairman of the Clark County Advisory Board was a woman.
- Kathy Valente – In favor of humane treatment whether for trapping of otherwise. In Ms. Valente's opinion what she saw had an egotistical aspect to it that should not be in a training video. Please be humane.
- Heather Spaniol – Video speaks for itself, he was trying to get the bobcat to fight the dog. That is her opinion, the majority of the people who watched the news and saw the videos opinion and anyone who's read about it. Tracy should not be on the board any more. Michael Vic went to jail for it and Tracy should be in trouble as well.
- Gina Greisen – Ms. Greisen asked everyone in the audience with placard to hold it up. With all due respect, Chairman Dixon and members of the board you don't speak for me. We don't feel represented. We are the 99% that don't hunt or trap. We are not against hunting. You would have never met me had the Bear Hunt not happened. There was an overwhelming response from the public that were against the Bear Hunt yet it still happened. We don't want our NV wildlife bears hunted. There were many letters sent to the Governor's Office, CCABMW & Wildlife Commission. Then, not giving water to the Wild Horses. Then the Bobcat video. She was horrified about the video. I couldn't believe it was Tracy. She spent 10 hours researching the video to ensure it was Tracy. Gina reached out to Chairman Dixon to respectfully request that something be done if it was Tracy. If a picture is worth a thousand words, that video is worth a million. We are outraged, we're done. The bear hunt, the wild horse issue, the Red Fox issue. We couldn't even get an answer as to why the Red Fox was going to be delisted as a fur bearing mammal. Why was it on an agenda? We are not represented on this board; you

don't speak for us. We represent a lot of people in the community that aren't consumptive users of wildlife. Most people don't even know trapping is being done in Nevada until the video came out. They wanted to thank Tracy Truman for that. Gina understood Tracy Truman has received threats the do not condone or endorse that. They thank Tracy Truman for posting the video in an open forum on the World Wide Web.

- Holly Haley- Nevada State Director of The Humane Society of the United States – We have heard from numerous members we have over 100,000 in Nevada alone. We understand the investigation is ongoing. We want to thank NDOW for taking this issue seriously. We would like the Commission to take appropriate action if warranted.
- Ron Lurie – Served on the Wildlife Board for 6 years the State Wildlife Commission is comprised of Ranchers, Hunters, Conservationists, Sportsman, Agriculture and General Public. Anyone who wants to serve on the Board can recommend themselves for the Board. They just took applications for the Clark County Shooting Board; it's a wide diversified board. Suggested make it known to the County Commission and State Wildlife Commission if you are interested in serving. They have had a lot of women on the Wildlife Commission who have done an excellent job.
- Adrienne Kramer – Support the humane treatment of animals; she wanted to be the voice for them.
- Chairman Paul Dixon closed public comment.

6. Discuss & make recommendations regarding the following Action Items from the Board of Wildlife Commissioners Agenda February 3rd and 4th, 2012 and items brought forth by the Clark CCABMW and public for discussion. CCABMW agenda & support materials are available upon request to Stacy Matthews (702) 455-2705 or smatthews@co.clark.nv.us. The final Commission agenda & support materials are available at www.ndow.org/learn/com/mtg/support/agenda.pdf.

A. Clark County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife CY 2012 Meeting Schedule and Locations Seasons – (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION). Discuss and determine CCABMW board meeting locations and dates for calendar year 2012 and send to NDOW for public posting on their website. After discussion amongst the board members the dates were set as the Tuesday prior to the Board of Wildlife Commissioners meetings throughout the year. A schedule has been posted at www.ndow.org.

B. Commission Regulation 11 – 03, Amendment #1, Big Game Seasons – (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION) -- A review, discussion and possible recommendation to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners to approve, deny or otherwise modify recommended changes to big game season dates and bighorn sheep indoctrination provisions.

- Motion was made and seconded to accept the season dates proposed by NDOW with the exception of hunts 1107 and 1341 where the Board recommends adding 3-5 days to the backend of
- these shortened hunts. Motion passed unanimously.

C. Commission Regulation 07 – 07, Amendment #9, Overton-Key Pittman Hunter Reservation System – (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION) -- A review, discussion and possible recommendation to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners to approve,

deny or otherwise modify regulation relating to an amendment to a portion of Commission Regulation 07-07 to address issues of concern related to the current method of awarding dove hunt reservations at the Overton Wildlife Management Area.

- Chairman Paul Dixon introduced this proposed Reservation System.
- Board discussion addressed inclusion in the new system of the requirement that the person wishing to make a reservation must have a valid hunting license. The previous system did not have that provision.
- Hearing no public comment on this item, a motion was made and seconded to support the proposed changes to Commission Regulation 07-07 Amendment #9, Overton-Key Pittman Hunter Reservation System.
- Motion passed unanimously.

D. Commission Regulation 12 – 1, Black Bear Season – (*FOR POSSIBLE ACTION*) --

A review, discussion and possible recommendation to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners to approve, deny or otherwise modify the regulation relating to the 2012 black bear season including open areas/units, dates, legal weapons, hours, and specific regulations for the hunting of black bears including proposed indoctrination provisions.

- Chairman Paul Dixon introduced this item and discussed feedback he had heard from other CABs around the State. In general, all CABs have noted their support for the regulation. He further asked that the Board consider a number of items including: continuation of the black bear season, proposed changes in hunt areas, proposed changes in season dates, the use of dogs, etc.
- Several Board Members expressed their desire to see the bear hunt continue.
- John Sullivan questioned the reasons for shortening the season.
- Pat Cummings, NDOW, answered that the beginning of the past season was too hot for using dogs, and the early start of the season (before Labor Day) introduced potential conflicts between user groups, e.g., hunters and campers.
- Chairman Paul Dixon asked the Board if it is possible to hunt bears without dogs.
- Ryan Anderson answered that it is his understanding that it is extremely difficult to hunt bears without dogs.
- Jacky Holt added that for a hunter that only hunts on the weekends, dogs are almost a necessity.
- Chairman Paul Dixon opened this topic to public comment.
- Commissioner McBeath clarified that the only topics up for discussion at the Commission Meeting are season dates and boundaries. Quotas, etc., will be set at the May Commission Meeting.
- Pat Cummings added to his previous comments on the season dates that there is a strong incentive for hunters to get a fast start at the beginning of the season since the

quotas for the hunt limit the number of sows allowed to be taken and once that number is reached the season is closed.

- Karen Layne, LVVHS, thanked Commissioner McBeath for stopping any discussion of quotas at this meeting; expressed her opposition to the black bear hunt; noted that most of the people in attendance are opposed to the hunt; called for a cost-benefit analysis of the bear hunt; proposed the season be even shorter; asked that the Commission use valid scientific data for the bear populations in the proposed hunt areas before setting any quotas; and added that if bear hunting is a sport, why do you need dogs.
- Gina Griesen, Nevada Voters for Animals, read a quote from the NDOW Website advising Nevadans to address any concerns regarding the management of wildlife at their local County Advisory Board for Managed Wildlife. She criticized the Clark County Advisory Board for not listening to the majority of Nevadans who are opposed to the bear hunt. She noted that she and her interests are not represented in the CAB or on the Commission, and she wants to see that changed.
- Jason Peterson stated that as a Nevadan and a serving member of our Armed Forces, he shares in the ownership of Nevada's wildlife, and if the law says he has a right to hunt bears, then he will hunt bears.
- Rachel Anderson explained that hunting bears with dogs allows the hunter to observe a treed bear and determine the gender and other characteristics of the bear before taking the bear, thus assuring the bear can be legally taken. She endorsed continuing to allow dogs for the bear hunts.
- Cass Anderson voiced his endorsement of continuing the bear hunt and the use of dogs. He further supports gathering more data to ensure the hunt is managed well, and supports the shortened season for the reasons Pat Cummings mentioned.
- Heather Spaniels questioned why someone would hunt bears. Chairman Paul Dixon answered that while some people hunt bears for trophy, the vast majority also consume the meat. Heather further noted that as the bears belong to all Nevadans, hunters should not have the right to kill a bear that belongs to everyone, and if you can't get a bear without a dog, then you shouldn't be hunting.
- Paul Harris stated that the previous speakers, supposedly expressing the views of the majority of Nevadans, do not speak for him. He also noted that all western states that have a hunt able population of bears have bear seasons. He added that NDOW is responsible for managing all wildlife, from frogs to songbirds, to birds of prey, and bears, and that if NDOW determines there is a huntable population of bears in the State of Nevada, and then there should be a bear season.
- Commissioner McBeath stated that there will be a full report on the results of the bear season that ended December 31, 2011, at the March Commission meeting.

- Kathy Valenti voiced her concerns for the humane aspects of a bear hunt: Do dogs ever get hurt by a bear? Do hunters strive for a clean kill?
- Chairman Paul Dixon commented that every hunter he has known tries to be as humane as possible when harvesting an animal. Most hunters do so for the meat. Some like to mount a trophy head to remember the event. He also noted that in some situations, dogs can get hurt. Dogs are important to the hunters, but there are risks when hunting wild animals both to hunters and their dogs.
- With no further Public Comment, Chairman Paul Dixon addressed the Board to provide input on the proposed season dates, boundaries, and use of dogs in hunting bears.
- A motion was made and amended to recommend support of CR 12-01 for hunts 6151 and 6251 with the season dates being September 15 thru December 31, 2012, or until the harvest limits are met, whichever comes first, and to recommend allowing the use of dogs. Motion was seconded. Motion passed unanimously.

E. Trapping Regulation Committee Report – (*FOR POSSIBLE ACTION*) -- A discussion and possible recommendation to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners on the draft regulation governing the trapping of furbearing mammals in residential areas of a county whose population is 100,000 or more per the 2011 Legislative Session requiring the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners to adopt regulations by December 31, 2012.

- Chairman Paul Dixon highlighted the issues and discussion points coming from the Trapping Regulation Committee Report and SB226. He then asked the Board for input on what the “exclusion zone” should be for trapping in Clark County: the firearms restriction zone, 1000 yards, or 1000 feet.
- Brian Patterson asked how big the firearms restriction zone is. From a map supplied by Karen Layne, it is clear that the area is considerably larger than the proposed 1000 yards limit.
- Several Board Members discussed their recollection of recommendations from previous CAB meetings on this topic as to whether the 1000 yards or 1000 feet was within the firearms restriction zone or not. Ryan Anderson read from the minutes of the November 29, 2011 CCABMW meeting:
 - The Board voted “to recommend the Commission accept the proposed Draft with the exception that in Section 2, the specification of 1000 yards be changed to 1000 feet, and instead of specifying 1000 feet of a residence in the County the wording should state 1000 feet of a residence in a no-shooting zone of a County with a population of 100,000 or more.”
- John Sullivan cautioned that care must be taken in setting the regulations because there are neighborhoods of rural Clark County where coyotes, for example have

become very aggressive and trapping is one means of controlling aggressive predators.

- Chairman Paul Dixon opened the floor to public comment.
- State Assembly Woman Maggie Carlton, one of the sponsors of SB226, provided some background on the wording of SB226 and cautioned the CAB and Commission against trying to minimize the restricted trapping area. She want to make sure that there was not a conflict with the concept of a “residential area” as stated in the legislation. She noted that while the firearms restriction zone may seem too extensive, perhaps the best approach would be to start with that and work to refine it down to an acceptable definition.
- Ryan Anderson voiced his concern that comparing a stationary trap with a firearm that can fire a projectile a mile or more is wrong. Using the firearm restriction zone for trapping is wrong.
- John Sullivan added his similar view citing a conversation he had had with the Chief Game Warden of Nevada regarding the notion of traps being a public safety issue. To the Warden’s knowledge, no child or animal had been trapped or harmed in a trap 1000 feet or more from a residence.
- Stephanie Meyers representing the Spring Mountain Advisory Board and the Mount Charleston Advisory Board noted that both Boards support the language of SB226. Further, she stated that no trap should be placed less than 1000 feet from a trail or residence, traps need to be marked in some way to identify the owner of the trap, and traps need to be inspected more often than is currently required. She also advocated having metal signs posted to inform residents and visitors that there are traps in the area.
- Karen Layne, LVVHS, expressed her frustration with the appearance that the trappers seem to be getting everything they want while the citizens who feel threatened by traps within the city limits are being ignored.
- Jason Peterson recounted encounters with coyotes near his neighborhood well within the no-shoot zone south of CC215 near Aliante Station Casino. He added that setting the trapping boundary too far out will enable an increase in coyote presence within the city neighborhoods.
- Jean Perry-Jones, Mt. Charleston resident, would like to see curtailment of trapping near roads, trails, and buildings in the Mt. Charleston area, Red Rock, and the Spring Mountains areas frequented by visitors.
- Cass Anderson voiced his reasons why 1000 yards is unreasonable and unenforceable, while 1000 feet is easily measured and enforced.

- Chairman Paul Dixon noted that while there are different accounts of incidents where pets are caught in traps, there are no real records kept state-wide that would reflect the seriousness of this problem. He suggested that NDOW look into gathering such information where possible.
- Commissioner McBeath acknowledged that the current regulations do not go far enough, that the Commission and the Board need to seriously consider the Red Rock and Mt. Charleston areas and all of the hiking trails in those areas when making recommendations.
- Gina Griesen, Nevada Voters for Animals, addressed the Board and asked the Board Members who are trappers to identify themselves. None did. Michael Reese answered that lack of response is because none of the Board hold a valid trapping license. Ms. Griesen further noted that BLM and USFS should be involved in discussions around trapping as they manage much of the affected lands, and as traps are typically hidden, a leashed dog could still be caught in a trap when too close to trails, etc.
- Heather Spaniels stated that the proposed signage mentioned earlier should be paid for by the trappers, that she was amused by a previous speaker who was afraid of coyotes but not afraid of bears, and that the 96 hour rule was inhumane for any animal caught in a trap in 120 degree heat.
- Stacia Newman, Nevada Political Action for Animals, noted that trapping is done primarily for profit from pelts, and thus trappers should have to have a business license to sell pelts at auction, etc. She further stated her belief that the no-shoot zone should be the boundary for trapping.
- Chairman Paul Dixon made a motion to recommend an exclusion zone for trapping of 1000 feet from roads or trails and 500 yards or 1000 yards from any building.
- John Sullivan countered that too much time has been taken discussing this matter, and as there is no clear definition as to what constitutes a trail, he proposed that this issue be tabled and addressed at a future date.
- Following further Board discussion, Chairman Paul Dixon amended his motion to say “500 yards or greater”.
- The motion was seconded and failed with a vote of 2 for and 4 against.
- Motion was made and seconded to table this item. Motion passed unanimously.

F. Commission General Regulation 403, LCB File No. R078 – 11, Unit Boundary Description Changes to NAC 504.210 – (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION) -- A review, discussion and possible recommendation to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners to approve, deny or otherwise modify proposed changes relating to wildlife management area and unit descriptions.

- Due to lack of time, this item was not addressed and will potentially be added to a future meeting agenda.

G. Commission Regulation 11 – 06, Amendment #2, 2012 Wildlife Heritage, Silver State, and Partnership in Wildlife Tags – (*FOR POSSIBLE ACTION*) -- A review, discussion and possible recommendation to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners to approve, deny or otherwise modify an amendment to annual regulation regarding the 2012 Silver State Tag species and seasons by adding a Nelson Bighorn Sheep tag and other matters related thereto.

- Chairman Paul Dixon summarized the proposed amendment with clarification from Commissioner McBeath.
- Paul Harris, Fraternity of Desert Bighorn, strongly endorsed the proposed amendment.
- Clint Bentley requested a change to the wording that would close the hunt area where the heritage sheep was taken for the next year. Since there will be two tags issued, there should be closure only if both heritage sheep were taken in the same hunt area.
- Jason Peterson is against the Dream Tag.
- Chairman Paul Dixon made a motion to recommend approval of the amendment for 2012 Silver State Tags.
- Motion was seconded and passed unanimously.

H. Commission Regulation 12 – 03, 2013 Heritage Tag Regulation – (*FOR POSSIBLE ACTION*) -- A review, discussion and possible recommendation to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners to approve, deny or otherwise modify an annual regulation relating to the 2013 Heritage Tags, species, seasons, unit groups and other matters related thereto.

- Motion was made and seconded to recommend approval of the proposed 2013 Heritage Tag regulation including the proposed season dates of August 1 through November 15.
- Motion passed unanimously.

I. Commission Regulation 12 - 04, 2012 Dream Tag Regulation – (*FOR POSSIBLE ACTION*) -- A review, discussion and possible recommendation to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners to approve, deny or otherwise modify recommendations to an annual regulation relating to Dream Tag seasons, unit groups, legal weapons, hunting hours and other matters related.

- Motion was made and seconded to recommend support of the Dream Tag regulation.
- Motion passed unanimously.

J. HERITAGE Regulations and Policies: Reallocation of Heritage Project #12 – 27, Maxell Global Tech moneys – (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION) -- A review, discussion and possible recommendation to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners to approve, deny or otherwise modify recommendations to consider reallocating \$47,400 from project #12 – 27 Maxell Global Tech to any other 2012 project proposal previously considered by the Commission.

- Chairman Paul Dixon summarized this item.
- Motion was made and seconded to recommend support of the reallocation of Heritage funds.
- Motion passed unanimously.

K. Request for Antelope from the Yakama Nation in Washington State and Request for Desert Bighorn Sheep from the Utah Division of Wildlife Resources – (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION) -- A review, discussion and possible recommendation to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners to approve, deny or otherwise modify a request from the Yakama Nation for up to 100 antelope for release on tribal lands in Washington State, and a request from the State of Utah for desert bighorn sheep for release in Utah.

- Motion was made and seconded to recommend approval of the transfer of 100 antelope to the Yakima Nation in Washington and transfer of bighorn sheep to Utah.
- Motion passed unanimously.

L. Commission General Regulation 402, LCB File No. R055 – 11, Bighorn Sheep and Swan Changes to NAC 502.345 Boundary – (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION) -- A review, discussion and possible recommendation to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners to approve, deny or otherwise modify amendments to recommend changes relating to the proposed changes to provisions pertaining to miscellaneous requirements related to bighorn sheep hunting including elimination of mandatory indoctrination requirements, elimination of mandatory possession of certain optics while hunting bighorn sheep, plugging of skull/horns of harvested bighorn sheep, removing or altering the seal, plug or branded identification number once permanently attached, elimination of provision to notify the Department upon transfer of ownership of a bighorn skull, and changes to swan permit eligibility to allow youth and senior hunter access to permits consistent with state and federal waterfowl/migratory license and stamp requirements.

- Motion was made and seconded to recommend support of the proposed language changes with the exception that omitted wording regarding the requirement for a mandatory indoctrination course be reinstated. All wording regarding optics, seals and plugs, and swan permits should be supported.
- Motion passed unanimously.

M. Commission General Regulation Number 396 LCB File No. R051-11 – Internet Contingency Boundary – (*FOR POSSIBLE ACTION*) -- A review, discussion and possible recommendation to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners to approve, deny or otherwise modify amendments to recommend changes relating to the permanent regulations relating to authorizing the Director of the Department of Wildlife to extend the period for applying for a tag or bonus point under certain circumstances; and providing other matters related thereto.

- Due to lack of time, this item was not addressed and will potentially be added to a future meeting agenda.

7. Authorize the Chairman to prepare and submit any recommendations from today's meeting to the Commission for its consideration at its February 3rd and 4th 2012 meeting in Las Vegas. (*FOR POSSIBLE ACTION*)

- Motion made to authorize the Chairman to prepare and submit recommendations from the meeting held January 31, 2012 to the Commission for their consideration.
- Motion passed unanimously.

8. The next Clark County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife meeting is scheduled for March 20th, 2012 in Las Vegas, Nevada to support the scheduled Wildlife Commission meeting on March 23rd and 24th in Reno, Nevada.

9. Adjournment

- Motion made to adjourn. Motion seconded.
- Meeting adjourned at 8:59 pm.