

# Action Report

CABMW recommendations for the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners Meeting on:  
August 14, 2020.

---

## Carson City County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife (CCCABMW)

---

Submitted by Chairman: Rob Boehmer

To the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners, c/o Executive Assistant Brandy Arroyo: [bdarroyo@ndow.org](mailto:bdarroyo@ndow.org) and  
Administrative Assistant III Megan Manfredi: [mmmanfredi@ndow.org](mailto:mmmanfredi@ndow.org)

CABMW Members Present: 5 Total- Rob Boehmer, Chair; Kirk Stewart, Vice Chair; Gene Green; Daniel Thompson; Corbett Fleming

Others: Tamar Warren, CC Senior Deputy Clerk

### Commission Agenda Action Items:

1) Agenda item- 9-A (Commission General Regulation 493, NAC 488- Simplification)

**Recommendation**

In Support \_\_X\_\_ In Opposition \_\_\_\_\_ See comment below \_\_\_\_\_

Other comments, including a dissenting viewpoint (different than the majority) or issue brought up during discussion: **The CCCABMW supports the Department's Recommendation.**

# of public signed in/testified for this item: 0

2) Agenda item- 10-A (Commission General Regulation 490, Party Bonus Points and First Come First Serve LCB File No. 103-19)

**Recommendation**

In Support \_\_X\_\_ In Opposition \_\_\_\_\_ See comment below \_\_\_\_\_

Other comments, including a dissenting viewpoint (different than the majority) or issue brought up during discussion: The CCCABMW supports all aspects of the proposed regulation except the proposed language regarding the Youth being permitted to purchase a bonus point if they turn 12 years old before the start of the first day of the last season for that species. We discussed this and received a single public comment on this issue. The CABMW concluded that Commission should consider simplifying this by merely amending the regulation to allow for a youth who turns 12 anytime within the established hunting year to be able to purchase a bonus point.

Members of the public signed in: 1

3) Agenda item - 10-B (Commission General Regulation 491, Notification of Draw Results, LCB File No. R058-20)

**Recommendation**

In Support \_\_X\_\_ In Opposition \_\_\_\_\_ See comment below \_\_\_\_\_

Other comments, including a dissenting viewpoint (different than the majority) or issue brought up during discussion: **The CCCABMW supports the Department's Recommendation**

Members of the public signed in: 0

## Action Report

- 4) **Agenda item - 10-C (Commission General Regulation 492, Termal Imaging Optics, LCB File No. R035-20)**

### Recommendation

In Support   X   In Opposition \_\_\_\_\_ See comment below \_\_\_\_\_

Other comments, including a dissenting viewpoint (different than the majority) or issue brought up during discussion: **The CCCABMW discussed this item in length and although we did receive two calls in opposition, we felt that the position the members of the public conveyed did not support the fair chase principles and ethics standards established as best practices in the North American Model of Wildlife Conservation. The two members of the public voiced concern that the Department was attempting to over regulate and suppress sportsman's rights. The CCCABMW supports the Departments Recommendation established in adopting this proposed regulation.**

Members of the public signed in: 0; two members of the public called the Chair to discuss this regulation

- 5) **Agenda item - 11-D (Update on the Fallon Naval Range and Training Center and Nevada Test and Training Range)**

### Recommendation

Neutral Position   X   In Opposition \_\_\_\_\_ See comment below \_\_\_\_\_

Other comments, including a dissenting viewpoint (different than the majority) or issue brought up during discussion: **The CCCABMW is assuming a neutral position on this item and again would like to voice concerns regarding the potential safety, capture, and relocation of existing wildlife, mainly Desert Bighorn Sheep, in these areas. Additionally, regarding any existing wildlife management equipment or infrastructure installed and maintained in these areas: Would the department put a plan in place to remove these and potentially relocate them, or will the Department abandon these items??? Has the Federal Government conducted an impact study and determined the impact of existing Wildlife and Habitat in these areas??? Will the Federal Government assume the management of existing and future wildlife and habitat???**

Members of the public signed in: 0

- 6) **Agenda item - 12 (Mule Deer Enhancement Program)**

### Recommendation

In Support   X   In Opposition \_\_\_\_\_ See comment below \_\_\_\_\_

Other comments, including a dissenting viewpoint (different than the majority) or issue brought up during discussion: **The CCCABMW supports and commends the Department's**

## Action Report

**direction with the implementation and rollout of this Program, but was a little unclear on the proposed organization, logistics, and management regarding the creation of the Mule Deer Enhancement Program Committees in Action 4 and 5. It seems like continuing to seek support and coordination from CABMW member might be advantageous.**

Members of the public signed in: 0

### 7) Agenda item - 13 (Big Game Season Setting)

#### Recommendation

In Support   X   In Opposition \_\_\_\_\_ See comment below \_\_\_\_\_

Other comments, including a dissenting viewpoint (different than the majority) or issue brought up during discussion: **The CCCABMW supports the Department's Recommendation as we feel this process would allow more time for CAB's to be able to gather info. and data from Department Staff prior to making recommendations and streamline the process for the Department. As a reminder, we would encourage the Commission to take a position on all CABMW recommendations, and per NRS 501.181(4)(a) make sure that if a CABMW recommendation is reject, for whatever reason, provide a written explanation to CABMW as to the Commission's decision to reject the recommendations.**

Members of the public signed in: 0