



# Clark County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife

## MEETING MINUTES

---

Date: October 29, 2019

Location: Clark County Government Center  
500 S. Grand Central Parkway Pueblo Room  
Las Vegas, NV 89155

Time: 5:30 pm

Board Members Present: Paul Dixon, Chairman John Michael Reese, Vice Chair  
Brian Patterson John Hiatt

The agenda for this meeting was posted in the following locations;

- Nevada Department of Wildlife, 3373 Pepper Ln Las Vegas, NV 89120;
- Clark County Government Center, 500 Grand Central Parkway, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89108;
- City of Henderson, City Hall, 240 Water Street, Henderson, Nevada, 89015;
- Boulder City, City Hall, 401 California Avenue, Boulder City, Nevada, 89005;
- Laughlin Town Manager's Office; 101 Civic Way, Laughlin, Nevada, 89028;
- Moapa Valley Community Center, 320 North Moapa Valley Road, Overton, Nevada, 89040;
- Mesquite City Hall, 10 East Mesquite Boulevard, Mesquite, Nevada, 89027.

### 1. Call to Order – Roll call of Board Members for determination of quorum

- The meeting was called to order at 5:30pm by member John Hiatt.
- Roll call of Board Members was performed by the Secretary, Julia Ontiveros. Members Molly DiBlasi, Dan Gilbert and John Talaga were absent/excused. A quorum was present. 4 members present (Paul Dixon and John Michael Reese on phone).

### 2. Pledge of Allegiance

- John Hiatt requested all stand and asked Brian Patterson to lead the attendees in the Pledge of Allegiance.

### 3. Approval of Minutes of the August 6, 2019 CCABMW Meeting (*FOR POSSIBLE ACTION*)

- John Hiatt asked the Board and attendees for any comments or corrections to the Minutes of the September 17, 2019 CCABMW Meeting.
- Board Comments: None
- Public comment: None
- **A motion was made and seconded to approve the minutes of September 17, 2019 CCABMW Meeting, as presented.**
- Motion passes 3:0 with one abstention (Brian Patterson)

4. **Approval of Agenda for October 29, 2019 (*For Possible Action*)** Unless otherwise stated, items may be taken out of the order presented on the agenda, and two or more items may be combined for consideration. The Board may also remove an item from the agenda or delay discussion relating to an item at any time.
- John Hiatt introduced this topic.
  - Board comments: None
  - Public Comments: None
  - **A motion was made and seconded to approve the Agenda for October 29, 2019 as presented.**
  - Motion passes 4:0
5. **CCABMW Member Items/Announcements/Correspondence: (*Informational*)** CCABMW members may present emergent items. No action may be taken by the CCABMW. Any item requiring CCABMW action will be scheduled on a future CCABMW agenda. CCABMW board members may discuss any correspondence sent or received. (CCABMW board members must provide hard copies of their correspondence for the written record).
- John Hiatt introduced this topic.
  - Chairman Dixon informed the board that he rode with Congresswoman Jackie Rosen from Vegas to Washington DC on Monday and sat next to each other and spent most of the trip discussing the wild horses and burro problems in Nevada. Sent email to her with statistics that have been put out by the equestrian societies. One congresswomen has been educated and how she decides to use this education will be up to her but she has been given the facts.
  - John Hiatt interjected and asked for clarification on whether it was Jackie Rose or Susie Lee
  - Chairman Dixon clarified it was indeed Susie Lee and not Jackie Rosen. Susie lee advised Chairman Dixon to speak to Jackie Rosen on this matter.
  - Vice Chair Reese had no emergent issues
  - Brian Patterson advised read newsletter from wildlife services, Trap Line. On two occasions in Lincoln County they have experienced feral hogs as on issue. Something to put on radar as discussion point and wants to put on for future discussion. Texas and all southern states from Texas to Florida have huge problems with feral hogs and pigs. Possible people turning loose domestic stock. In the report, they were holding up in some corn fields in Lincoln County and wildlife services removed 12 pigs from one property and another property they removed a few more and photos have been taken. Not sure if that's NDOW or wildlife services can give more updates on but first time as seen something publically presented that shows there is a feral pig population that is destructive in the state.
  - Chairman Dixon added that John Hiatt traveling up to represent us and could make this noted when takes trip to during CAB member announcements with facts given from Brian. Could request from Clark County that Department of Wild life in the future meeting to give us future status of wild pigs in Nevada. Understands that there was a fair amount of wild pigs in the Virgin Valley and with the last couple years floods they were taken out. Due to Agriculture thinks it is domestic pigs that have been let loose. Issue has been kept under wraps for the last 10 years and finds it interesting that they are having wildlife service's come out which makes it seem there is a bigger pig problem than we think.
  - Vice Chair Reese also added has talked to wildlife services in Panaca has taken care of this issue and it will be closely monitored. Wild life there for two days under close monitoring and took out most of the feral hogs and pretty confident it has been reduces to zero.
  - John Hiatt added in there were feral wild boars in Littlefield several years ago and migrated in the Overton wildlife management area became eradicated this issue in the past. Does not want to make them a game animal like California. Recommends complete eradication and will bring this up in the meeting.
  - John Hiatt read interesting article published in High County News regarding chronic wasting disease and once it's established what will hunters say? Will they consume or not? This could

show loss of revenue and show a decline in managing wildlife because hunters will pull out. Do not have chronic wasting disease in Nevada but its moving West and is now in eastern Utah. Need to recognize potential down sides with this to include animals that cannot be harvest but also overall impact to the hunting community and wildlife management in general. Should hunters consider funding research on chronic wasting disease, similar to mad cow disease? Recommends to discuss this topic further.

- Brian added the legislature passed that you can't bring any spinal column or brain cavities matter from Colorado, Wyoming and Utah that have known populations with CWD. Its matter of when not if.
- John added is this something that is transmittable to man, since we don't know that yet we need to do the research.
- Paul added the article of "zombie deer" and should they be worried about harvesting animals due to chronic wasting disease. Nevada doesn't have any cases of Chronic wasting disease. Agrees with John Hiatt that research should be done.
- John Hiatt stated will mention at the Wildlife Commission meeting.
- Closed agenda item.

**6. Recap of September 20<sup>th</sup> and 21<sup>st</sup>, 2019 Commission Meeting Actions (*Informational*)** A recap of actions taken by the Wildlife Commission will be compared to Clark CABMW Recommendations will be presented by Chair Paul Dixon.

- John Hiatt introduced this topic.
- Chairman Dixon did recap of the fishing season, changed regulation to a two year time period that starts March 1<sup>st</sup> and goes to February 29<sup>th</sup>- this was approved. In addition, the fishing season regulations that they reviewed for the time period were also approved. Also, added Clark County was the only CAB that has given input on the waterfowl zones so far. Russell Wallesthume gave thanks for Clark County Advisory Board for providing the feedback first.
- Closed agenda item.

**7. Action Items:**

**Discuss & make recommendations regarding the following Action Items from the Board of Wildlife Commissioners November 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2019 meeting agenda, as well as additional items brought forth to the CCABMW from the public for discussion.** CCABMW agenda & support materials are available upon request to Julia Ontiveros or [julia.ontiveros@clarkcountynv.gov](mailto:julia.ontiveros@clarkcountynv.gov). The final Commission agenda & support at [http://www.ndow.org/Public\\_Meetings/Commission/Agenda/](http://www.ndow.org/Public_Meetings/Commission/Agenda/).

**A. Commission General Regulation 485, Tag Transfer, Deference, and Return Program, LCB File No. R022-19 (*For Possible Action*)** The CCABMW Board will review, discuss and make recommendations to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners about a regulation relating to amending Chapter 502 of the Nevada Administrative Code (NAC). This regulation would provide direction for allowing the transfer, deference or return of tags under certain extenuating circumstances after the passage of Assembly Bill 404 of the 80<sup>th</sup> Legislative Session.

- Board Comments:
- Chairman Dixon stated the three things that wanted to see happen were transferor and transferee to lose bonus points and waiting periods, extenuating circumstances and hunter to give 1 day prior for notification. What he found interesting was the big push at the commission level regarding the tag holder who incurs an extenuating circumstance "before legal shooting hours on the first day of his or her hunt." Allows you to the day of the hunt an hour before legal shooting hours to say you are not going to use your tag, transfer or return it along with sign affidavit. Trying to decide on an applicable time period to turn in the tag.

- Brian added the applicable time period to return the tag was on page 3- shows 14 days to turn the tag into NDOW.
- Chairman Dixon added you have to notify them sooner within 24 -48 hours but is not in the language. Although they can take 14 days to return, the time to notify the department needs to be listed and discussion was noted and missing from the language to include timeframe to notify and the affidavit to accompany the notification.
- John Hiatt added opening day of your hunt season should be stated instead, versus what is stated now on the back up
- Chairman Dixon agreed with John Hiatt's point of the language
- John Hiatt added if a sworn affidavit is needed you have 14 days to get notarized. Can possible phone in and let NDOW know they are returning the tag and then take 14 days to turn in tag.
- Brian added either you turning your tag in or you don't, could potentially hunt then turn in the tag. Loop holes could be made by hunters could take advantage
- Chairman Dixon added the way section (3.1) reads you could hunt the first 3 weeks then turn in your tag- wrong language written.
- John added you could do it on the last day of the season too, makes no sense
- Brian added (3.1.b) unclear on the deferral of the tag; could be bookkeeping nightmare to keep track. People could wait for the last minute, who drew a great tag but doesn't want to use and fakes injury and leads to too much potential to abuse with this current language. Turn it in or don't
- Vice chair Reese agreed with Brian regarding wrong language for (3.1.b) Commission wants it to be the morning of the hunt.
- Chairman Dixon doesn't agree with language and would like that entire part stricken
- John Hiatt brings up the language regarding (3.2.b), "the holder or a family member of the holder incurs an unanticipated, severe, catastrophic event, injury or illness, and doesn't agree with it. The way it's written you can just phone in and defer the tag for not feeling well.
- Chairman Dixon agreed doesn't like how they hyphenated (3.2.b) and should get rid of the word "event".
- Vice Chair Reese added to input "medical" because it needs to be medically oriented.
- Chairman Dixon added doesn't account for loss of life from family member, say your spouse passes most wouldn't be able to hunt and use the tag.
- Vice Chair Reese added you can always turn in your tag before the season this is only for medical necessity and to be given to a relative.
- Brian added this is more to transfer to another hunter the way it reads rather than turning it in
- Vice Chair Reese added this statute address first hand relative
- Chairman Dixon went on to address page 2 section (3.3); addresses both parties losing their bonus points and applicable waiting periods
- Brian agreed with that section
- Chairman Dixon will add the issues from the board to the action report
- John Hiatt added (2.d) needs to be spelled out
- Brian added for example, if you are in an auto accident can plead your case
- John Hiatt asked what the process is for this. Timeframe? For these "other extenuating circumstances?" Who is the point of contact?
- Brian added not fond of the 14 business days to turn in tag and would rather see it written as before the season within 24-48 hours you notify would be best.
- John Hiatt added if it takes a person 14 days to turn in their tag, may not be serious circumstance

- Vice Chair Reese recommended should have to write VOID on the tag, take a picture and send it to NDOW to show proof of not using to avoid any hunting during that season.
- Public Comments:
- Jana Wright once again brought ab404 that was enabling legislation and commission shouldn't be doing anything- no need for total grey area on what you can and cannot do. If do move forward should not be able to delay, encourages the CAB to not support the regulation.
- Therese Campbell asked if someone turns their tag in do they get a refund? Or do they carry over into next year?
- Paul Dixon answered if medical you get your tag fee back and bonus points. This regulation would allow the transfer of the tag. Death of family member, catastrophic event, or serving in the armed forces.
- **A motion was made and seconded to recommend CCABMW recommends adopting Commission General Regulation 485, Tag Transfer, Deference, and Return Program, LCB File No. R022-19 as presented but with the following recommendations 1) needs to be language put in this that the tag holder needs to notify NDOW within 24 hours that they are returning or transferring the tag before the 1st day of the season and the tag should be voided in the field 2) Section 3.1, language should be written "In accordance with the requirements of this section, the holder of the tag who incurs an extenuating circumstances, before legal shooting hours on the 1st day of the season." Section 3.1.b; whole sentence should be struck entirely. Section 3.2.b; should be written "The holder incurs an unanticipated, severe or catastrophic event, injury or illness. Section 3.2.d- CAB request clarification on this section as it is unclear how this will be implemented.**
- Motion: Passes 4:0

**B. Commission General Regulation 486, Veteran and Active Military Waterfowl Season, LCB File No. R027-19 (For Possible Action)** The CCABMW Board will review, discuss and make recommendations to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners about a regulation to amend Chapter 502 of the Nevada Administrative Code (NAC). A Federal statute adopted in 2019 allows for veterans and active military to exclusively hunt waterfowl for a period of two days within the existing season. If adopted, the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners may establish such a season.

- Board Comments:
- Chairman Dixon lots of discussion if we will we be adding days to the season. Removing 2 days – by pairing up with youth or removing 2 days from the general population. Has issue with the way it's written, as duck hunters will lose 2 days of their season. Unclear what they are actually changing in the regulation and reduces the normal days from a hunter by saying Vets get two special days.
- Brian added why do vets need a special 2 days to hunt waterfowl? Is Pro vet but doesn't see the need to set aside two special days to hunt waterfowl. Why waterfowl? Seems odd and knows there is big push to have separate days but doesn't see the need to have separate days.
- Vice chair- personally – no data on how many people this will affect if we do these special days how many will actually use it. Perhaps, add incentive with these two days and give preferential status when drawing a blind.
- Chairman Dixon added at this point we need to decide do we support this or not and take away additional days away from the general public.
- Vice chair would like to go this avenue but without any real data it makes it tough to make a decision.

- Brian added tough to enforce in the field for NDOW because can a non-veteran be with a veteran? Can they both hunt? Seems this could be an issue with enforcement.
- Chairman Dixon stated larger impact if taking away days from hunters
- Vice Chair agrees
- Chairman Dixon stated is for not for adopting this regulation; just not a lot of interest
- Public Comments:
- Jana Wright when listened to the video from the September meeting and the Department lead her to believe that it was a cleanup of the NAC so that if the commission wanted to propose a season it was just a clean up to be in compliance. Does not agree with the regulations and the two extra day for the veterans.
- Mark Transue asked if the veterans hunt for free for two days. Or do they need a license. If has to buy a license then what is the benefit? Doesn't see why they can't set aside two days
- Therese Campbell is in favor of adopting at the time of turning in your tag give them a break on the price and help the veterans
- Vice Chair Reese added it's not more than two days. The way the regulation is written it's not an option. Either we support or we don't
- Chairman Dixon also added he doesn't agree with regulation and won't be utilize to the level where makes a difference
- Vice Chairman recommended Chairman Dixon put that in the motion
- **A motion was made and seconded to recommends not adopting Commission General Regulation 486, Veteran and Active Military Waterfowl Season, LCB File No. R027-19 because we do not feel the season is needed and it will be underutilized.**
- Motion: Passed 4:0

**C. Commission General Regulation 487, Use of Live Bait Fish and Tackle**

**Restrictions, LCB File No. R060-19 (For Possible Action)** The CCABMW Board will review, discuss and make recommendations to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners about recommend amendments to Chapter 503 of the Nevada Administrative Code (NAC). This regulation is intended to update and simplify the use of live bait fish and other bait and fishing tackle in NDOW's Western Region and Southern Region.

- Board Comments:
- Chairman Dixon explained this regulations states where you can and can't use live bait. Based on different populations. Little discussion on this at the commission level and doesn't see anything substantial from the last discussion
- Brain Patterson stated the chart on page 9 didn't fully understand.
- Chairman Dixon added of the bait you can catch which ones are permitted in the various regions and which ones are not.
- Public Comment:
- None
- **A motion was made and seconded to recommend to support Commission General Regulation 487, Use of Live Bait Fish and Tackle Restrictions, LCB File No. R060-19, as presented.**
- Motion: Passed 4:0

**D. Commission General Regulation 488, Landowner Compensation Tags, LCB File No. R083-19 (For Possible Action)** The CCABMW Board will review, discuss and make recommendations to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners about a regulation relating to amending Chapter 502 of the Nevada Administrative Code (NAC). This regulation would provide direction for allocating landowner deer and antelope compensation tags if owner applicants cumulatively qualify for compensation tags in excess of the statutory limit.

- Board Comments:
- Chairman Dixon understands that the change based on feedback from the community recommended the process by with the first compensation tags would be awarded to all cooperators that had any 50 deer or antelope causing damage, then they will keep increasing the number for example; 51, 52, etc., until you can make your tag count.
- Vice chair agreed with this regulation and added it will help grow more deer
- Chairman Dixon agreed with Vice Chair's remark
- Brian Patterson added it's the most simple and easy formula of all the 19-20 ideas that everyone can understand. Keeps us under our statutory number, and all for how it's written.
- John Hiatt agreed and should keep it simple
- Public Comments:
- Mark Transue asked if he is understanding correctly and we aren't changing the number to 50. Or are they changing the number?
- Brian Patterson responded with yes
- John Hiatt added well, yes and no
- Brain stated for John Hiatt to go ahead and further explain
- John Hiatt explained the purpose is avoid exceeding the statutory limit (which is 2.5% of the total tags issued) and it's not the number of tags issued it's the number of tags issued per land owner, if the number of tags exceeds the 2.5% they will use this formula. If the number of potential tags exceeds 2.5% the 50 will continue to increase until we reduce the number of tags available.
- **A motion was made and seconded to recommend to support Commission General Regulation 488, Landowner Compensation Tags, LCB File No. R083-19, as presented.**
- Motion: Passes 4:0

**E. Commission General Regulation 489, Shed Antler Regulation, LCB File No. R082-19 (*For Possible Action*)** The CCABMW Board will review, discuss and make recommendations to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners to consider amending Chapter 503 of the Nevada Administrative Code (NAC). This regulation would amend the current shed antler regulation to increase penalties, change season dates, and provide for an educational program for shed antler hunters.

- Board Comments:
- John Hiatt introduced this topic
- Chairman Dixon advised they are keeping the closure dates as Jan 1<sup>st</sup> -April 30<sup>th</sup> -. Big change brought up by Cory Lyle ,that a person 12 years and older between the dates May 1<sup>st</sup> - June 30<sup>th</sup> participating in shed antler collecting on public land in Elko County, Eureka County, Lincoln County and White Pines must have taken a shed antler online course at no charge by April 30<sup>th</sup> of that year. If you are going to collect shed antlers in that two month period you need to have had taken the course if you are 12 and older. Also added if caught illegally and haven't taken the class that 15 demerits. And could lead to revoking the a license and loss of your privileges in the state of Nevada
- Brian Patterson corrected Chairman Dixon and stated the back up only reads it was only 9 demerits
- Chairman Dixon corrected and clarified he was combining -6 demerits from not taking the course and for possessions the shed antler at -9 demerits for total of -15 demerits
- Brady Philips from NDOW clarified if caught on two different occasions yes would total 15 demerits but will not add them together on same day to get the 15 must be accumulative (will only take the highest demerit)
- Brian Patterson then added at 12 demerits you lose your license
- Brady Phillips stated that was correct

- Brian Patterson then asks even you are nonresident and get the 9 demerits or get two violations to max out at 12 and from Utah, and Nevada and Utah are in the same coalition , and its retroactive -then they would lose their hunting license in their home state as well
- Brady Philips clarified there are 40 some odd states in the coalition and it's all based on what you are revoked for based on that state.
- Brain Patterson agrees with the regulation, has some teeth to it
- Chairman Dixon liked the fact it's during the first 60 days when people can collect shed antlers and that's when about 90% of collection occurs
- Brain Patterson also liked the education component and educate about 4 wheelers being taken of road that tears up the landscape. It exposes them to the wrong and right ways of collection
- Public Comments:
- Mark Transue asked if the required online class is required for collecting commercially or personally. What if he's with his grandkids and they find a shed antler and they take it home but didn't take the course?
- John Hiatt asked Chairman Dixon if had to take a class before April 30<sup>th</sup> if you are collecting in May or June? May be unfair if on a trip and pick up a shed antler and decide need to take the online course but it's after April 30<sup>th</sup>.
- Chairman Dixon stated the way the Reg NAC 503 171 is written if you pick up /collect a shed antler between May or June you must have taken the online course by April 30<sup>th</sup>.
- Brain Patterson doesn't agree with only being able to take the online course before April 30<sup>th</sup>, feels should be able to take the online course year round and it be good for 12 months
- Chairman Dixon pointed out the April 30<sup>th</sup> date may have been to allow law enforcement the ability to have the needed documentation to check on those who collect shed antler during the two months of May and June. If someone were to take the course after or same day may not necessarily be in the system yet and could cause issues with law enforcement.
- Brain Patterson added it may not be the same weight one antler versus many being taken
- Chairman Dixon agrees but is at law enforcement discretion
- John Hiatt added are you supposed to take the course before your hunting season starts if so this regulation is consistent to what is currently in effect and makes sense how it is written
- Chairman Dixon added good point
- Brian Patterson added would need the online course and education prior to hunting
- Mark Transue added not sure on this topic – does this apply to kids just picking up a shed
- Chairman Dixon reiterated NAC 503 171 and that if 12 years or older need to take shed antler online course prior to April 30<sup>th</sup> if going to pick up a shed between May and June.
- **A motion was made and seconded to recommend support Commission General Regulation 489, Shed Antler Regulation, LCB File No. R082-19, as presented**
- Motion: Passed 4:0

**F. Predation Management Fiscal Year 2019 Report (*For Possible Action*)** The CCABMW Board will review, discuss and make recommendations to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners about the 2019 Predation Management Report.

- Board Comments:
- John Hiatt introduced this topic and asked for boards feedback on the report
- Chairman Dixon liked the report and sees the progress in them as presented
- John Hiatt went over the two charts. Some mountain lions are making use of feral horses as a food source. Leading him to believe maybe removing mountain lions in that area may have unintended effect of increasing the number of horses. Data on ravens- again not clear reducing the number of ravens has that much of an impact lead counts of males in the subsequent year.

- Chairman Dixon brought up project 21- removed 1765 ravens and spent 87k and 97% went to wildlife service and there was no change in population. Sage Grouse were more impacted by Fires and habitat has more of an impact to the ravens.
- John Hiatt looking at the chart that most important thing effecting the number of sage grouse was the number days looking for them. need to follow up on these documents and studies for understanding what the predation management plan are
- Chairman Dixon stated not an action item for the commission only informational at this point. Wants cab to be aware and be able to give a comment on what was read and if we like how it's written or if items need to be added as feedback.
- John Hiatt stated in terms of the report data especially tabular data showing trends over time is very useful
- Vice Chair Reese added there has been a 100k to now 200k increase in ravens over the last 10 years. Ravens have the biggest effect on tortoises and sage grouse.
- John Hiatt added difficult to know without a time intensive study. One of the things he took way from the report from the tabular data- prior year participation seems to be the biggest factor.
- Vice Chair Reese agrees with John Hiatt. But disagrees that the number of doe is not included in the data because from his own observations regarding fawns he has seen an 80% mortality rate of fawn. There are twice as many ravens in the air. Biologist brag about there being 40 fawns per year but still coming up short when we are still short 150- 160 doe. Need to manage all wildlife based on different parts of the state (northern versus southern). Wants to see more data on why there is less mule deer as well.
- Brian Patterson added liked project 40 and they have been putting collars on some deer and surprised that the mountain lions are getting the most of them.
- Vice Chair Reese might be due to more participation. May want to do more studies with more collars on deer in Nevada Utah border but we don't have access to the studies. How many were lost to coyotes.
- John Hiatt stated the action item is opportunity to give input on the report and they are asking do we like the info, format or recommendation for improving or changing the report
- Brian Patterson added likes the format and easier to read
- John Hiatt also agrees likes the report, its useful
- Vice chair Reese report still can't answer the question why the mule deer are the only one of the 5 that are drastically declining
- Chairman Dixon noted if look across the west where there is large habitat loss and dry conditions mule deer is the most impacted by the dry states.
- John Hiatt stated in 2005 burned close to million acres, as a result the burn area recovery shows heavy shrub areas and will again have increase in mule deer population. If you look at the number of fawn and does then the coyote removal and number of fawns there are no correlation.
- Vice Chair Reese added if we have that shrub and the deer population does continue to regrow that regrowth area would be ideal for study.
- Public Comments:
- None
- Chairman Dixon added no need for motion wanted to discuss and unless there are specific recommendations would not need to make a motion at this time
- Vice Chair stated if doing a side bar/tabling would like to have John Hiatt add to discussion when meets with commission to ask to include a fawn mortality study.
- John Hiatt stated would communicate Vice Chair recommendation to the wild life commission board.
- Chairman Dixon advised to table no motion needed at this time
- Motion: None

**G. Schedule for 2020 Meetings (*For Possible Action*)** The CCABMW Board will discuss and set meeting dates for the 2020 calendar year.

- Board Comments:
- John Hiatt introduced this topic
- Chairman Dixon recommend table for now because Secretary needed to re-confirm the 2020 dates and that it's posted on the NDOW website. Julia will get with Brandy Arroyo to have it posted and will review the 2021 meeting calendar in June of 2020 to confirm dates.
- Public Comments:
- None
- Motion: None

**8. Public Comment -Members of the public may provide public comment (*Informational*)**

Comments will be limited to three minutes. Any item requiring Board action not on this agenda may be scheduled on a future agenda.

- None

**9. Authorize the Chairman to prepare and submit any recommendations from today's meeting to the Wildlife Commission for its consideration at its November 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2019 meeting in Reno, NV. (*For Possible Action*)**

- Board Comments:
- John Hiatt introduced this topic
- Public Comments:
- None
- **A motion was made and seconded to recommend to authorize the Chairman to prepare and submit any recommendations from today's meeting to the Wildlife Commission for its consideration at its November 1st and 2nd, 2019 meeting in Reno, NV.**
- Motion: Passes 4:0

**10. The next Clark County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife meeting is scheduled for *January 21, 2020 in the Clark County Government Center Pueblo Room, 500 S. Grand Central Parkway, Las Vegas. This meeting will be in support of the January 24<sup>th</sup> and 25<sup>th</sup>, 2020, Commission meeting in Las Vegas, Nevada.***

**11. Adjournment**

*This agenda was posted on or before 9:00 a.m. on the third working day before the meeting in accordance with NRS 241.020 at the following locations:* Nevada Department of Wildlife, 3373 Pepper Lane, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89120; Clark County Government Center, 500 Grand Central Parkway, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89108; City of Henderson, City Hall, 240 Water Street, Henderson, Nevada, 89015; Boulder City, City Hall, 401 California Avenue, Boulder City, Nevada, 89005; Laughlin Town Manager's Office; 101 Civic Way, Laughlin, Nevada, 89028; Moapa Valley Community Center, 320 North Moapa Valley Road, Overton, Nevada, 89040; and Mesquite City Hall, 10 East Mesquite Boulevard, Mesquite, Nevada, 89027.

Reasonable efforts will be made to assist and accommodate persons with physical disabilities desiring to attend the meeting. Please call Julia Ontiveros at least 48 hours prior to the meeting (702) 455-1612 so that arrangements may be conveniently made.