

CABMW Recommendations for the November 2016 Wildlife Commission Meeting
(Month/year)

Clark County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife meeting results

Submitted by Chairman: Dr. Paul R. Dixon

To the Wildlife Commission, c/o Executive Assistant to Director Suzanne Scourby, sscourby@ndow.org

CABMW Members Present: Paul Dixon, J. Michael Reese, John Hiatt, Howard Watts III and Brian Patterson

CABMW member absent: William Stanley and Joe Luby III

Commissioners Present: Paul Valentine

NDOW Present: Doug Nelson, Joe Barnes, Steve Kimble, Jess Brooks and Chris Tomlinson

NDOW Game Warden Present: None

Members of the public signed in: 12

Commission Agenda Action Items:

1) Commission Regulation 17-01, Taking of Raptors for Falconry for 2017- 2018

Recommendation

In Support In Opposition See comment below

Other comments, including a dissenting viewpoint (different than the majority) or issue brought up during discussion:

In a unanimous vote (5-0) the Clark CABMW supports Commission Regulation 17-01 as presented.

2) Commission Regulation 17-02 Noncommercial Collection of Reptiles and Amphibians for 2017 - 2018

Recommendation

In Support In Opposition See comment below

Other comments, including a dissenting viewpoint (different than the majority) or issue brought up during discussion:

In a unanimous vote (5-0) the Clark CABMW supports Commission Regulation 17-02 as presented.

3) Commission General Regulation 466, Partnership in Wildlife (PIW) Drawing and Restricted Nonresident Guided Deer Draw Applicant Eligibility, LCB File No. R140-16

Recommendation

In Support In Opposition See comment below

Other comments, including a dissenting viewpoint (different than the majority) or issue brought up during discussion:

In a unanimous vote (5-0) the Clark CABMW supports the three questions asked about Commission Regulation 466 (see attached PIW Action Report for details).

4) Nevada Department of Wildlife License Simplification Strategy Update

Recommendation

In Support X In Opposition _____ See comment below X

Other comments, including a dissenting viewpoint (different than the majority) or issue brought up during discussion

In a split vote (4-1) the Clark CABMW supports Wildlife License Simplification Strategy as presented. The dissenting opinion felt that the resident should always get the fee reduction benefit over the nonresident.

5) Nevada Department of Wildlife Update of Guidelines for Harvest Management in Nevada

Recommendation:

In Support X In Opposition _____ See comment below X

Other comments, including a dissenting viewpoint (different than the majority) or issue brought up during discussion:

In a unanimous split vote (3-2) the Clark CABMW supports Guidelines for Harvest Management in Nevada as presented. The dissenting opinions were to change the bobcat seasons to 120 days as standard season, 105 as restrictive season and 90 days as super restrictive season.

6) Predation Management Fiscal Year 2016 Report

Recommendation

In Support X In Opposition _____ See comment below X

Other comments, including a dissenting viewpoint (different than the majority) or issue brought up during discussion:

In a unanimous vote (5-0) the Clark CABMW supports Predation Management Fiscal Year 2016 Report as presented, with the exception that on a multi-year projects there should be yearly status reports. In addition, the plan and the reports should be more tightly linked for easier review.

7) Development of a Commission Policy Regarding Wildlife Contests

Recommendation

In Support _____ In Opposition X See comment below _____

Other comments, including a dissenting viewpoint (different than the majority) or issue brought up during discussion:

In a unanimous vote (5-0) the Clark CABMW does not support the current draft of the Commission Policy Regarding Wildlife Contests. The language is vague, unenforceable and lacks any stake holder support. Is there a difference between a bounty and a contest?

8) Commission General Regulation 465, Antelope and Elk Waiting Periods, LCB File R141-16

Recommendation

In Support **X** In Opposition _____ See comment below _____

Other comments, including a dissenting viewpoint (different than the majority) or issue brought up during discussion:

In a unanimous vote (5-0) the Clark CABMW supports Commission Regulation 465 LCB File R141-16 as presented.

9) Commission General Regulation 463, Duties of Person Transporting Vessel or Conveyance, LCB File No. R093-16

Recommendation

In Support **X** In Opposition _____ See comment below _____

Other comments, including a dissenting viewpoint (different than the majority) or issue brought up during discussion:

In a unanimous vote (5-0) the Clark CABMW supports Commission Regulation 463 LCB File R093-16 as presented.

10) Commission General Regulation 464, Appeals, LCB File No. R074-16

Recommendation

In Support **X** In Opposition _____ See comment below _____

Other comments, including a dissenting viewpoint (different than the majority) or issue brought up during discussion:

In a unanimous vote (5-0) the Clark CABMW supports Commission Regulation 464 LCB File R074-16 as presented.

11) Commission Policy 2, Publications, Second Reading

Recommendation

In Support **X** In Opposition _____ See comment below _____

Other comments, including a dissenting viewpoint (different than the majority) or issue brought up during discussion:

In a unanimous vote (5-0) the Clark CABMW supports the repeal of Commission Policy 2 as presented.

12) Commission Policy 33, Fisheries Management Program, First Reading

Recommendation

In Support X In Opposition _____ See comment below ____

Other comments, including a dissenting viewpoint (different than the majority) or issue brought up during discussion:

In a unanimous vote (5-0) the Clark CABMW supports Commission Policy 33 changes as presented.

13) Commission Policy 31, Lahontan Cutthroat Trout Management Guidelines, Second Reading

Recommendation

In Support X In Opposition _____ See comment below ____

Other comments, including a dissenting viewpoint (different than the majority) or issue brought up during discussion:

In a unanimous vote (5-0) the Clark CABMW supports Commission Policy 31 changes as presented.

14) Commission General Regulation 470, Miscellaneous Petitions, LCB File No. R095 -16

Recommendation

In Support X In Opposition _____ See comment below ____

Other comments, including a dissenting viewpoint (different than the majority) or issue brought up during discussion:

In a unanimous vote (5-0) the Clark CABMW supports Commission Regulation 470 LCB File R095-16 as presented.

15) Commission General Regulation 471, Closure of Truckee River to Motorized Vessels, LCB File No. R139-16

Recommendation

In Support X In Opposition _____ See comment below ____

Other comments, including a dissenting viewpoint (different than the majority) or issue brought up during discussion:

In a unanimous vote (5-0) the Clark CABMW supports Commission Regulation 471 LCB File R139-16 as presented.

Action Report on PIW

Partnership in Wildlife (PIW) Drawing and Draw Application Eligibility for Restricted Nonresident Guided Deer Draw Applicants

CABMW recommendations for the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners November 2016 Meeting Clark County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife

Submitted by Chairman: Paul R. Dixon

To the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners, c/o Executive Assistant Suzanne Scourby, sscourby@ndow.org and Administrative Assistant Ashley Sanford, asesanford@ndow.org.

Which method is preferred?

Method 1 – No change to method of application but move the PIW drawing programmatically.

Method 2 – Change the method of application and move the PIW drawing programmatically.

Select **Method 1** or Method 2:

Other comments, including a dissenting viewpoint (different than the majority) or issue brought up during discussion: N/A

Question #1-What is the PIW fee terminology to be considered?

Participation fee?

Application fee? (with all other associated fees)

Select One:

Participation fee

Other comments, including a dissenting viewpoint (different than the majority) or issue brought up during discussion: N/A

Question #2

Should the Department reinstate NRGH eligibility for the main drawing, PIW drawing and Silver State drawing?

Yes or No?

Select One:

YES

Other comments, including a dissenting viewpoint (different than the majority) or issue brought up during discussion: N/A