



Clark County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife

MEETING MINUTES

Date: September 20, 2016
Location: Clark County Government Center
500 S. Grand Central Parkway Pueblo Room
Las Vegas, NV 89155

Time: 5:30 pm

Board Members Present: Paul Dixon, Chair J. Michael Reese, Vice Chair
William Stanley Howard Watts III John Hiatt

The agenda for this meeting was posted in the following locations;

- Nevada Department of Wildlife, 4747 West Vegas Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89107;
- Clark County Government Center, 500 Grand Central Parkway, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89108;
- City of Henderson, City Hall, 240 Water Street, Henderson, Nevada, 89015;
- Boulder City, City Hall, 401 California Avenue, Boulder City, Nevada, 89005;
- Laughlin Town Manager's Office; 101 Civic Way, Laughlin, Nevada, 89028;
- Moapa Valley Community Center, 320 North Moapa Valley Road, Overton, Nevada, 89040;
- Mesquite City Hall, 10 East Mesquite Boulevard, Mesquite, Nevada, 89027.

Date: September 13, 2016

1. Call to Order

- The meeting was called to order at 5:30 pm by Vice Chairman J. Michael Reese.
- Roll call of Board Members was performed by the Secretary, Stacy Matthews. A quorum was present. Brian Patterson and Joe Luby, excused.

2. Pledge of Allegiance

- Vice Chair Reese requested all stand and led the Pledge of Allegiance.

3. Approval of Minutes of August 9, 2016 CCABMW Meeting (*FOR POSSIBLE ACTION*)

- Vice Chair Reese asked the Board and attendees for any comments or corrections to the Minutes of August 9, 2016 CCABMW Meeting.
- A motion was made and seconded to that the minutes of August 9, 2016 CCABMW Meeting be approved as written.
- No public comment.
- Motion passed unanimously.

4. Approval of Agenda for September 20, 2016 – (*FOR POSSIBLE ACTION*) Unless otherwise stated, items may be taken out of the order presented on the agenda, and two or more items may be

combined for consideration. The Board may also remove an item from the agenda or delay discussion relating to an item at any time.

- Vice Chair Reese introduced this topic.
- Howard Watts III indicated that there is no back up for Item 8.H and should be taken off.
- Vice Chair Reese suggested that the topic could be tabled due to lack of backup.
- Public Comment
- Jana Wright stated that Item 8.G - License Simplification Strategy, has no back up on the Commission Agenda either.
- A motion was made and seconded to approve the Agenda for the September 20, 2016 Board Meeting as written. The motion passed unanimously. 4-0

Unless otherwise stated, items may be taken out of the order presented on the agenda, and two or more items may be combined for consideration. The Board may also remove an item from the agenda or delay discussion relating to an item at any time.

5. **CAB Member Items/Announcements/Correspondence: (*Informational*)** Clark County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife (CCABMW) members may present emergent items. No action may be taken by the CCABMW. Any item requiring CCABMW action will be scheduled on a future CCABMW agenda. CCABMW board members may discuss any correspondence sent or received. (CCABMW board members must provide hard copies of their correspondence for the written record).
 - Howard Watts III shared that the Air Force proposing to annex an additional portion of the Desert National Wildlife Refuge that would limit public access to a big part of the Sheep Mountains. Sportsmen should speak up. Scoping meeting October 20th at the Aliante Hotel. Public comment from 5pm to 7pm, a presentation 7 to 8, and more public comment 8-9. If you wish to preserve public access for any number of uses, hunting, wildlife observation, OHV use, speak up.
 - Vice Chair Reese noted that this past weekend, the Nevada State Shoot was held at the CC Shooting Park. 200 people from Western United States attended. A total of 125K targets used. 11% tax on shells.
 - Nevada Sportsmen Caucus met today at the Shooting Park with political candidates. The event was fairly well attended.
6. **Recap of August 12th and 13th, 2016 Commission Meeting Actions (*Informational*)** – A recap of actions taken by the Wildlife Commission will be compared to Clark Recommendations.
 - Chairman Paul Dixon shared that Grant Wallace was elected new Chairman of the Wildlife Commission and Brad Johnston was elected Vice Chair.
 - Wildlife Contest Policy continues language clean up. Policy was sent back to committee for further modification.
 - Policy 10 and 11 approved as we voted on.
 - On the Special Assistance Permit, our recommendation was that both the shooter and assistant can shoot. But the Legislature only allowed the assistant for wounded animal recovery.
 - Big Game Seasons hunt unit 091 were approved as recommended. Turkey Hunt was approved. Rest had to do with specialty tags in closed units. CCABMW leaned towards option 1 Commission leaned towards option 2.
 - Tackle restriction on the Truckee River and the Bonus points proposal are coming back for 2nd read.
 - Vice Chair Reese asked if there were any announcements regarding filling the openings on the Commission.
 - Chairman Paul Dixon replied that there was mention of Tom Barnes for one opening and an unknown person for Chad's position. September meeting will be first meeting.

7. **Announcement:** The Clark CABMW will have a vacancy as Board Member Brian Patterson term expires in November 2016. The position will be advertised and filled in November 2016. If you are interested in applying please see CCABMW secretary Stacy Matthews.

- Vice Chair Reese noted that Brian Patterson's term ends in November. Brian has applied for reinstatement in a new term. Anyone wishing to apply, please contact Stacy Matthews.
- Stacy Matthews interjected that the announcement, information, and applications are posted on the Clark County and Air Quality websites.

8. **Action Items:**

Discuss & make recommendations regarding the following Action Items from the Board of Wildlife Commissioners September 23rd and 24th, 2016 meeting agenda, as well as additional items brought forth to the Clark CCABMW from the public for discussion. CCABMW agenda & support materials are available upon request to Stacy Matthews (702) 455-2705 or smatthews@co.clark.nv.us. The final Commission agenda & support at http://www.ndow.org/Public_Meetings/Commission/Agenda/

A. Commission Regulation 16 - 02, Amendment #1, Fishing Seasons and Bag Limits for March 1, 2016 through February 28, 2018 (*For Possible Action*) The Clark CABMW Board will review, discuss and make recommendations to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners about Amendment #1 of the Fishing Seasons and Bag Limits for March 1, 2016, through February 28, 2018. The Department is proposing an amendment to the harvest limit for fish in that portion of the Truckee River from 1,000 feet below Derby Dam to the boundary of the Pyramid Lake Indian Reservation to be three game fish of which zero (0) may be trout (catch and release only) from February 1 through May 31, in Storey and Washoe Counties, and an amendment to change the harvest limit for trout in Lake Mohave and the Colorado River below Davis Dam to be five trout in Clark County.

- Vice Chair Reese introduced this item.
- Howard Watts III noted that this item is very straight forward, and that the limits for the Colorado River changed to be in line with the changes Arizona has made.
- A motion was made and seconded to recommend approval of Commission Regulation 16 - 02, Amendment #1, Fishing Seasons and Bag Limits for March 1, 2016 through February 28, 2018
- Motion passed 5.0.
- William Stanley arrived 5:45 pm.

B. Commission General Regulation 458, Electronic Rifle Triggers, Caliber and Cartridge Length, and Smokeless Powder Restrictions, LCB File No. R144-15 (*For Possible Action*) The Clark CABMW Board will review, discuss and make recommendations to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners about adopting an amendment to Chapter 503 of the Nevada Administrative Code (NAC). The proposed regulation amendment contains several changes. The first change will clarify the use of black powder substitutes that are considered smokeless powder. The second change would make it legal to hunt big game mammals with a handgun if the handgun uses a centerfire cartridge of .22 or larger [and has a barrel length of 4 inches or more]. The third change would make it unlawful to hunt a big game mammal with a rifle using a centerfire cartridge larger than .50 caliber or with a case length longer than 3 inches. The amendment would also make it unlawful to hunt a big game mammal with any firearm equipped with any sighting system using a computer or electronically controlled firing mechanism.

- Vice Chair Reese introduced this topic.

- Howard Watts III stated that he had reviewed the proposed Regulation. The key components are the same as proposed earlier. Cartridge length and caliber handgun use. Pretty much the same proposal as before.
- Vice Chair Reese declared that he agrees there needs to be a regulation regarding electronic triggers. He is not in favor of the caliber and cartridge length regulation, and he sees the smokeless powder changes as adequate.
- Chairman Paul Dixon said he feels it is probably not a good idea to have one motion to cover all aspects of this proposed regulation. Each should be discussed and voted separately.
- Public Comment;
- Robert Guadet, speaking on behalf of himself, noted that he believes the caliber, centerfire cartridge, and cartridge length is meant to address the waste of edible meat. It makes no sense. There are Guides out there who quarter, back strap, and tenderloin and leave the rest. Make law everyone has to make all that back. He further noted that no rational hunter is going to carry a 50 caliber to hunt with. When are they going to stop taking our rights away? What about electric scope can't use it spent \$1300.
- Richard Pabst stated that the challenge is not in debate of certain caliber or cartridge length will do more damage or reduce value. Taking away more rights. No factual societal benefit. Unless looking to take away rights. Concept of meat damage is childish and unproven. This is yet another attempt to look at taking away hunting and outdoors. Electronic trigger is good for a handicapped person. A fully able body person should use regular trigger. Vote no. This is unneeded and unfounded and one more step to limit our rights.
- Mark Transue stated that he goes along with these two gentlemen. To him, this is just another form of gun control. Too much government control. They need to back off. Gun control is the main thing. They start with the 50, they will come down, and gun will be useless. Vote no. Electronic trigger for the handicapped is fine, healthy hunter no. Pistol on small game is good.
- Howard Watts III voiced his support for common sense gun control, but feels the Caliber and cartridge length restriction is not common sense. Electronic trigger takes all skill out of shooting. Able bodied hunter should have no access to electronic trigger. Technology takes away fair chase. The 50 caliber was developed in the 1910's. It's not new. If there are other items that come along we need to be proactive. He hopes the Board supports everything but the upper limits for caliber.
- Bill Stanley this keeps coming back from the board. Why does "fair chase" keep coming back and what is driving it.
- Chairman Paul Dixon responded that Commissioner Robb asked what is ethical hunting supposed to be. Should you be restricting for ethical reasons, fair chase? Shorter length can shoot 1.5 miles. Penalizing a large caliber cartridge length rifle. Personally do not think should be hunting with large caliber rifles.
- Bill Stanley stated that everyone can shoot 1.5 miles and shoot an Elk. It can take more skill to shoot one 100 yards away. Closer can be harder. Have an issue around individuals who take unethical shots. Don't know how you regulate that. Unfortunately there are unethical hunters. He feels it has more to do with Hunter Education than the caliber of rifle. We have always limited the type of rifle and type of cartridge you use in the field. Don't allow hunting with 22's. Those caliber rifle does not give you the opportunity to take an animal in an ethical way. He rejects the idea that this is infringing further on people's ability to possess a firearm.
- John Hiatt stated that he agrees with Bill. It really is about fair chase. Cartridge is hard to regulate. We probably do not want to regulate it. Electronic control trigger for able bodied people ridiculous cannot support that. Automatic shotguns limited to three cartridges has been accepted for 50 years.
- Vice Chair Reese 80% of our calibers came from military. Best caliber to use at time. Argument about 50 caliber does not hold any merit. You regulate the scope if you do not want the distance to be long. Range finders can be used on scope. In favor of shooting 50

calibers. Talked to three Game Wardens no data behind anyone shooting 50 caliber. Electronic Triggers should be abolished. Smokeless powder agree to use that.

- Chairman Paul Dixon had no comments at this point and time, and he agreed with electronic trigger and smokeless powder. Somewhere we need to focus on the fair chase of the animal. The long range shot should not be allowed. We should limit a larger caliber cartridge only if it is related to fair chase. (i.e limit large calibers when it is used for long range shots on the order of 1.5 miles.)
- Bill Stanley read BDR NAC 503.142 Section 5A. If you have site with range finder built in based on CPU, using a computerized range finder. This language will not allow the use of the rifle.
- Chairman Paul Dixon
- Bill Stanley reading LCB File No. R144-15 Section 5. It is unlawful to hunt a big game mammal with: Any firearm that is equipped with any sighting system using a computer or electronically controlled firing mechanism. Strike word or and add "and".
- Motion was made about electronic triggers to accept the regulation as written with caveat of item 5A to change word "or" to "and". Withdraw 2nd. Withdraw motion.
- A motion was made and seconded to accept recommendation Commission general as written except for striking that portion of the recommendation that limits the caliber or case length of a rifle and further amend the electronic trigger and sighting system amendment LCB File No. R144-15 section 5 paragraph A to strike the word "or" and replace with "and". Further, adopt the smokeless powder provision as documented in regulations.
- Motion passed 5-0.

C. 2016 Fire Related Emergency Antlerless Deer Hunts for Portions of Units 067 and 068 (*For Possible Action*) The Clark CABMW Board will review, discuss and make recommendations to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners about plans to use antlerless deer hunts during fall 2016 to reduce deer abundance and subsequent deer mortality on the winter range and also lessen potential impacts to the remaining habitat in Units 067 and 068 north of Battle Mountain.

- Vice Chair Reese introduced this topic.
- Howard Watts III summarized the proposed hunt stating that the mule deer winter range in Elko County was badly damaged by the Hot Pot Fire. NDOW is looking to put out 1000 tags in Nov. and Dec. to help reduce herd sizes that winter in that area. A lot of mortality is expected in the area. He asked if you have Doe Tag are you eligible to put in for hunt?
- Vice Chair Reese replied yes.
- John Hiatt asked if there would be a proportionate increase in antlered mule deer tags. He said it makes sense to reduce herd, both females and males are part of the herd, bucks and does.
- Vice Chair Reese predicted given the normal success rate that would mean the harvest would be about 250 for each hunt.
- John Hiatt accepted that prediction, but argued that both bucks and does should be included in the hunt.
- Chairman Paul Dixon added that the amount of fire and burn areas was extensive. The winter range was about 90% destroyed by fire. There are 4000 to 8000 animals that winter in that area. NDOW needs to remove 1000-1500 animals to reduce the impact to the already limited resources.
- Public Comment
- Vicki Werner stated that she recently asked Tony Wasley if the mule deer are going to die, why not thin the herd straight across, both does and bucks. Tony explained that they want to open up for does to reduce the number of births. The idea is to keep the bucks there for a healthy herd next year. Less chance of twins.

- John Hiatt responded that if you want to keep the buck to doe ratio in the area, you should do a proportioned reduction of bucks.
- Richard Pabst stated that in this case it makes sense to disregard the number of bucks per 100 does. Does are easiest to hunt. You want to keep the younger males in the herd. Those are the bucks that will get shot if you open the hunt to bucks. Down the road, you won't get quality bucks.
- John Hiatt pointed out that they should put that in background material.
- Robert Gaudet said he is opposed to Doe Hunt except for youth hunt. Animals will find feed. Some will perish. They will not all stay there and die. One doe usually has 2 babies a year. Take away 1000 Does means 2000 fawns. He stated that he does not hunt does.
- Chairman Paul Dixon noted that when the fire damaged Area 10, Tony Wasley was the chief biologist. He showed a strong correlation that when you have a depredation of food source, some of the does fail to reproduce, do not have babies for the season, and in some cases become permanently barren. In that area, 60-70% of population are does. Animals will go find food source, they do not know when migrating that there is no food. They are hiking through tremendous burn areas with barren land. If we have bad weather we could have entire annihilation if deer in the area.
- A motion was made and seconded to recommend approval of the 2016 Fire Related Emergency Antlerless Deer Hunts for Portions of Units 067 and 068.
- Motion passes 5-0

D. Commission General Regulation 463, Duties of Person Transporting Vessel or Conveyance, LCB File No. R093-16 (*For Possible Action*) The Clark CABMW Board will review, discuss and make recommendations to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners about amending Chapter 488 of the Nevada Administrative Code (NAC). The change amends Chapter 488 of NAC by adding a new section that requires the owner, operator or person in control of any vessel or conveyance that is launched on any body of water in this State to drain the water from the vessel or conveyance and any equipment on the vessel or conveyance and also requires the owner, operator or person in control of a vessel or conveyance that has been taken out of any body of water in this State ensure that the drain plugs, drain valves and any other devices used to control the draining of water remain open while transporting the vessel or conveyance on public roads in this State. The proposed regulation also amends language in NAC 488.520 to accommodate the changes above and remove repetitive language.

- Vice Chair Reese introduced this item
- Howard Watts III pull drain plug and get 100% of water out of boats.
- Paul Dixon noted that this is closing a loop hole. Boaters will drain the boat and bilges, but put the drain plug back in which can keep contaminated water from drying out. If you keep plug out, the water will dry up and tend to kill the quagga mussels and other invasive species.
- Public Comment
- Richard Pabst stated that this is a common sense item. But, from the boater's perspective, they want to drain boat and put in plug in so they do not forget to put the plug back in when the launch the next time, so boat does not take on water next time they put boat in water.
- A motion was made and seconded to recommend support for Commission General Regulation 463, Duties of Person Transporting Vessel or Conveyance, LCB File No R093-16.
- Motion passes 5-0.

E. Commission General Regulation 467, Special Assistance Permit, LCB File No. R105-16 (*For Possible Action*) The Clark CABMW Board will discuss and make recommendations about amending Chapter 503 of the Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) through Commission General regulation 467 prescribing the circumstances under which a person may assist a licensed hunter with certain disabilities in the killing and retrieval of a big game mammal.

- Vice Chair Reese introduced this item.
- Chairman Paul Dixon reminded attendees that this Board had recommended that the Regulation be stated such that the hunter or the assistant can take first shot. This was strongly argued by Joe Luby and Brian Patterson. Unfortunately, the Legislature wanted to allow assistant to only help with a wounded animal. So unless the Legislature makes the proposed change, the Regulation will remain as written.
- Public Comment: None
- A motion was made and seconded to accept language as written in CGR 467, Special Assistance Permit, LCB File No. R105-16.
- Motion passes 5-0.

F. Commission General Regulation 465, Antelope and Elk Waiting Periods, LCB File R141-16

(For Possible Action) The Clark CABMW Board will review, discuss and make recommendations to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners about amending Chapter 502 of the Nevada Administrative Code (NAC). Existing regulation allows a person to apply in any year for an antelope tag. If they receive an antelope tag for horns longer than ears and harvest an antelope they are in a waiting period of five years before they are eligible to apply again. Existing regulation for antlered elk allows a person to apply in any year if they did not receive a tag in the previous five years and did not harvest, it also stipulates a person is eligible to apply after 10 years if they harvest an antlered elk. The amendment to the regulation would standardize the waiting period for a person to be eligible to apply for each of the species after receiving a tag. Antelope waiting period after receiving a tag would be three years. Antlered elk waiting period after receiving a tag would be five years.

- Vice Chair Reese introduced this item noting that this change is long overdue changing Elk waiting period. Good move in right direction. Glad to see it come forward.
- John Hiatt added that one way to help out situation to give people more opportunities to hunt areas that are harder to get to or where Elk are harder find, is to reduce the waiting period in those areas.
- Vice Chair Reese stated that people would rather not harvest and wait five years then take a 5x5. With this change, take the 5x5. This State has some beautiful 5x5's.
- Public Comment:
- Robert Gaudet stated he is in favor of this change. He asked for clarification that the proposal is dropping the waiting period if you harvest bull from 10 to 5 years.
- Vice Chair Reese replied that is correct, adding that the wait is five years whether successful or unsuccessful.
- Richard Pabst asked if time served counts. He harvested a bull in 2014, does that mean that in 2019 he can apply again? As it sits now since I did not shoot wait three years for Antelope. Support the concept of holding out for some folks.
- Vice Chair Reese read from the backup materials for attendees.
- CGR.
- A motion was made and seconded to recommend approval of 465 Antelope & Elk Waiting Periods, LCB File R141-16 as written.
- Motion passes 5-0.

G. Nevada Department of Wildlife License Simplification Strategy Update *(For Possible Action)* The Clark CABMW Board will review, discuss and make recommendations to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners about license structures along with suggestions for bundled privileges and fees.

- Vice Chair Reese introduced this topic.

- Howard Watts III stated that the Board should not take action on this item since there is no back up. He did note the following from a Power Point that was posted around the time of the last Commission Meeting. NDOW is trying to cut down licensing and make it simpler. Resident hunting license \$40 includes upland game, duck stamp, etc. Fishing license \$40 all included. Combo License for resident \$75. Trying to keep it close to revenue neutral. For locals, it would be a savings. Since we have no backup we cannot take action.
- Bill Stanley said we need licenses to be electronic.
- Howard Watts III noted experiences with the Colorado River Stamp reprint. He supports electronic licenses.
- Agenda items on agenda with no back up, why is the backup not provided.
- Vice Chair Reese reaffirmed that back up should be there for the consumptive user or non-consumptive user. He is frustrated that without backup there is no meat, we do not know what the problem is or what are they trying to solve. The concise materials need to be available to the CABs and to the Public. Sportsmen and members of the public are here to discuss these items.
- Robert Gaudet noted that he attended the Town Hall where this was discussed. The way he read it is revenue neutral. They had the information there. It is a good system.
- Richard Pabst revenue neutral benefits some folks who want to buy fishing or hunting license. People might buy the combo and possibly make some extra revenue. Most of the states have a Sportsmen package. When you do finally vote endorse an electronic edition.
- Mark Transue asked if there was any mention of Military or Senior Citizens. Since he doesn't hunt ducks or fish the Colorado River, he can do without the all inclusive feature.
- Support presentation August 9th wildlife meeting electronic.
- A motion was made and seconded to table Nevada Department of Wildlife License Simplification Strategy Update due to lack of current backup material.
- Motion passes 5-0.

H. Nevada Department of Wildlife Update of Guidelines for Harvest Management in Nevada (*For Possible Action*) The Clark CABMW Board will review, discuss and make recommendations to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners about an update on the status and process of refining the draft harvest guidelines.

- Vice Chair Reese introduced this item
- Bill Stanley stated that there is no backup material for this item also.
- Paul Dixon noted that he received back up, in the mail at his home.
- Vice Chair Reese affirmed that as there is no backup available to the Board or the Public, no action can be taken on this item.
- A motion was made and seconded to table Nevada Department of Wildlife Update of Guidelines for Harvest Management in Nevada due to no updated back up on their website.
- Motion passes 5-0.

I. Commission Policy 2, Publications, First Reading (*For Possible Action*) The Clark CABMW Board will review, discuss and make recommendations to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners about repealing Commission Policy 2, Sale of Publications. The policy is outdated and redundant with the Public Record fee schedule and requirements applicable to Executive Branch agency's limiting fee.

- Vice Chair Reese introduced this item.
- Howard Watts III noted that this appears to be a clean-up, very straight forward.
- A motion was made and seconded to recommend approval of changes to Commission Policy 2 as written.
- Motion passes 5-0.

J. Commission Policy 33, Fisheries Management Program, First Reading (*For Possible Action*)
The Clark CABMW Board will review, discuss and make recommendations to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners about changes to Commission Policy 33.

- Vice Chair Reese introduced this item.
- Howard Watts III commented that this also appears to be clean up of the Policy. He sees nothing controversial.
- Vice Chair Reese agreed that his is very concise, and hopes that the Commission adopts this model for Predator Management.
- John Hiatt noted that this allows certain species of fish in closed systems. Closed systems hard to keep confined in one body of water. People take fish in a bucket and transplant to another body of water, or floods happen.
- No public comment.
- John Hiatt motion to accept Commission Policy 33, Fisheries Management Program, First Reading except if you want species of fish prohibited there is no such thing as a closed system. You cannot have fish private property. If you outlaw a fish it is outlawed period.
- Richard Pabst asked does that make you a criminal.
- John Hiatt responded that if you have prohibited fish in a fish tank and let them loose.
- Richard Pabst that's adding a heavy penalty.
- John Hiatt – if you want to keep fish out of State of Nevada they can be turned loose because they do not want to kill it. Ground Zero is Hawaii and Florida where people import it and then they turn it loose.
- Vice Chair Reese mentioned peacock bass from South America. They could live if someone brought it in.
- John Hiatt we are spending a lot of money on non-native fish. Non-game fish in the Colorado River.
- Chairman Paul Dixon asked for clarification on Non-game prohibited species needs to prohibited if you do not want to see in bodies of water as defined by the State of Nevada.
- Brandon Sanger, NDOW, stated that Grass Carp Triploid fish are for all intents and purposes sterile. Need certification from vendor Triploid potential flood washes. They are sterile so they cannot reproduce. Non Triploid are prohibited.
- Rescind 2nd
- A new motion was made and seconded to recommend support Commission Policy 33, Fisheries Management Program, First Reading as written with the caveat that non-native Non-Game species, as defined by the State of Nevada, should be totally prohibited in Nevada with the exception of Triploid Grass Carp.
- Motion passes 5-0

K. Commission Policy 31, Lahontan Cutthroat Trout Management Guidelines, First Reading (*For Possible Action*)
The Clark CABMW Board will review, discuss and make recommendations to the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners about changes to Commission Policy 31.

- Vice Chair Reese introduced this item.
- Howard Watts III stated that this seems very straight forward.
- Public Comment: None.
- A motion was made and seconded to recommend approval of Commission Policy 31, Lahontan Cutthroat Trout Management Guidelines, First Reading as written.
- Motion passed 5-0

9. Public Comment: Members of the public who wish to address the Board may speak on matters within the jurisdiction of the Clark County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife. No action may be

taken on a matter not listed on the posted agenda. Any item requiring Board action not on this agenda may be scheduled on a future agenda. Public comments on posted agenda items will be allowed at the time the agenda item is considered before the Board takes any action on the item. Comments will be limited to three minutes; unless you represent a group then you will be limited to six minutes.

NOTE: Please complete the Public Comment Interest - Card and submit to Vice Chair Reese.

- Vikki Werner stated that on the Fishing, she does not agree with the restrictions on fish species because people import exotic fish and you are taking away their rights. Be more restrictive need to know more. She also mentioned that her Son wants to come to a meeting. Her son is interested in opening up fishing in wetlands for catch and release for education purposes. As a way to show the proper way to be an angler. The Wetlands have more restrictions. How do we bring that to the board or to NDOW?
- Bryon Sanger, NDOW, responded that the Wetlands fishing closure was at the request of Clark County. Vikki' son needs to discuss with Clark County Wetlands to see if they can reopen.
- Richard Pabst stated that nonnative non game species: New Zealand trying to remove all tar, and red stag, at one point prior to English and other species coming over. Catalina Island tried to remove. Generally, these animals have been there for several centuries. Things to look at in back of your mind think of how that can be taken. Opposed of consumptive use of animals.
- Closed public comment.

10. Authorize the Chairman to prepare and submit any recommendations from today's meeting to the Commission for its consideration at its September 23rd and 24th, 2016 meeting in Las Vegas, Nevada. (*For Possible Action*)

- No public comment.
- A motion was made and seconded to authorize the Chairman to prepare and submit any recommendations from today's meeting to the Commission for its consideration at its September 23rd and 24th, 2016 meeting in Las Vegas, Nevada.
- Motioned passed unanimously 5-0.

11. The next Clark County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife meeting is scheduled for November 15th, 2016 in the Clark County Government Cent Center Pueblo Room, 500 S. Grand Central Parkway to support the scheduled Wildlife Commission meeting on November 18th and 19th, 2016 meeting in Reno, Nevada.

12. Adjournment

- Meeting was adjourned at 7:30 pm.