

DOUGLAS COUNTY ADVISORY BOARD TO MANAGE WILDLIFE
Minutes of the March 22, 2016 Meeting

The Douglas County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife meeting was scheduled for 5:30 pm on Tuesday, March 22, 2016 in the Douglas County Community Center, Carson Valley Medical Center Room, 1329 Waterloo Lane, Gardnerville, Nevada.

MEMBERS PRESENT: Craig Burnside, Chairman
Mike Turnipseed (arrived at 5:44 pm)
Robert Rittenhouse
Chad Foster

MEMBER ABSENT: Bob Cook, Vice Chairman

OTHERS PRESENT: Jeremy Drew, Chairman, Nevada Board of
Wildlife Commissioners
Ed Partee, NDOW Game Biologist/Humboldt
County
Carl Lackey, NDOW Biologist

CALL TO ORDER

Chairman Burnside called the meeting to order at 5:35 pm and determined a quorum was present. Noted was the absence of Members Cook and Turnipseed.

ROLL CALL AND INTRODUCTIONS

Chairman Burnside introduced the NDOW staff in attendance and recognized Chairman Drew. He thanked all for attending.

PUBLIC COMMENT

There was no public comment.

FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

No public comment.

MOTION by Rittenhouse/Foster to approve the agenda as presented; carried with Cook and Turnipseed absent.

FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

- **January 25, 2016**

No public comment.

MOTION by Foster/Rittenhouse to approve the minutes as presented; carried with Cook and Turnipseed absent.

1. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON THE ELECTION OF A CHAIRMAN AND A VICE CHAIRMAN OF THE DOUGLAS COUNTY ADVISORY BOARD TO MANAGE WILDLIFE.

Due to the absence of two members, this item was tabled to the May meeting.

2. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. PRESENTATION BY DOUG MARTIN ON THE MAISON ORTIZ YOUTH OUTDOOR SKILLS CAMP.

Doug Martin provided information on the focus of the camp, outdoor skills training provided, and specifics regarding the age group of the participants, location of the camp, cost, and the partnering agencies that make this camp possible. He feels this experience provides a great introduction and background to wildlife and hunter safety. The hope is the children who attend will be inspired to love the outdoors and be good stewards of the land. Mr. Martin requested the Board members recommend candidates for the program.

Member Foster asked how the participants are selected if more than 64 apply and Mr. Martin responded a scoring and ranking process then takes place weighing opportunity and skills.

Commissioner Jeremy Drew, Nevada Wildlife Commission, talked about the efforts made to get rural area applicants.

This was a presentation only.

3. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION REGARDING THE ACTIVITIES OF THE TRI-COUNTY WILDLIFE WORKING GROUP.

The group has not met so no report was provided. Once again Chairman Burnside stated this group has value and he would like to see it become active again.

Doug Martin agreed. He feels issues such as wild horses, bears, and urban deer are common to the three County Advisory Boards given all three share the same mountain range.

Chairman Burnside added that this is another avenue to be involved in what is happening in the field and dovetails with the other things being done by the CABs.

This was a discussion only.

4. UPDATE (INFORMATIONAL ONLY) ON THE BI-STATE SAGE GROUSE.

Member Rittenhouse stated the issue is back in the courts because the BLM management options presented were not embraced by some of the conservation groups.

This was an update only.

- 5. The following items, 5a through 5l, are items that will be heard before the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners at the next meeting, March 24 & 25, 2016, at the Lyon County Administrative Complex, 27 South Main Street, Yerington, Nevada. The Douglas County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife may take the following action, or a variation thereof, on each item: support the item, not support the item or not take a position on the item. Public Comment will be allowed on each item.**

5a–5l. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING WHETHER THE DOUGLAS COUNTY ADVISORY BOARD SHOULD TAKE A POSITION ON:

5a. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON THE FIRST READING OF THE HUMBOLDT COUNTY ELK SUB-PLAN. The Commission will be presented with the draft Humboldt County Elk Sub-plan for review and possible action. Subsequent to this presentation, the draft Humboldt County Elk Sub-plan will be brought before the Humboldt County Commission for their approval and the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners for final adoption. The Commission may forward questions or needed clarifications to the local sub-plan committee for their feedback prior to final approval.

Member Foster questioned some of the GIS methodology used for the area calculations of the potential elk numbers. He asked why the water holes were buffered for the study.

Ed Partee, NDOW Game Biologist/Humboldt County, stated the committee decided what to remove for each of the areas. The buffering from water was done to allow for cattle use in those areas. Once all the other uses on the landscape were removed, the number of elk that the land could support was great.

He identified the stakeholders/participants of the committee and stated eight meetings have been held since June. Correspondence was received both for (37) and against (13) having elk in Humboldt County. The plan was modeled after other sub-plans in the state and then tailored to Humboldt County. He requested the counties read through this plan and provide input on it.

Chairman Burnside asked how this plan is different than other state sub-plans. Mr. Partee answered this plan includes a coordination oversight team, this plan was done while the elk are still at low levels in Humboldt County, and it covers all the county instead of mountain range to mountain range. This study deals with pioneering elk only and no release is planned.

A brief discussion took place on monitoring the movement of the elk to determine habitat use areas.

No public comment.

MOTION by Turnipseed/Foster to support; carried with Cook absent.

5b. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON THE SECOND DRAFT OF THE WILDLIFE DAMAGE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE REPORT AND FISCAL YEAR 2017 DRAFT PREDATION MANAGEMENT PLAN. The Commission will consider permanent adoption of a regulation relating to The Commission will hear a report from the Wildlife Damage Management Committee chairman, the second draft of the proposed Fiscal Year 2017 Predator Management Plan will be presented, and the Commission may take action to provide recommendations for modification of the second draft for the May Commission meeting.

Member Rittenhouse asked if the poison eggs used for raven control are being consumed by other animals.

Ed Partee, NDOW Game Biologist/Humboldt County, believes they are being consumed by the targeted species only.

Commissioner Jeremy Drew, Nevada Wildlife Commission, added the eggs are monitored closely and are only left out for a controlled period of time.

No public comment.

MOTION by Turnipseed/Foster to support the Wildlife Damage Management Plan; carried with Cook absent.

5c. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON THE FIRST READING OF THE WILDLIFE DAMAGE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE'S APPROVED REVISION TO EXISTING POLICY #23 ON PREDATION MANAGEMENT. On behalf of the Wildlife Damage Management Committee (WDMC), the Department will present suggested amendments to existing Commission Policy #23 on Predation Management approved by the WDMC on Jan. 28, 2016, at their meeting in Las Vegas. The amendments suggested are generally to make the existing policy compliant with Assembly Bill 78 (adopted during the last legislative session) and to make the policy consistent with adopted practice. In accordance with Commission Policy #1, this will serve as the first reading of Policy #23. The second reading will occur at the May Commission meeting and the Commission may adopt the revised policy at that meeting.

Commissioner Jeremy Drew, Nevada Wildlife Commission, said the policy was being revised to be in compliance with NRS. He outlined the changes to the policy.

No public comment.

MOTION by Turnipseed/Foster to support the revision to existing Policy #23; carried with Cook absent.

5d. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON LICENSE SIMPLIFICATION, DEVELOPMENT OF HARVEST MANAGEMENT GUIDELINES, AND AMERICA'S WILDLIFE VALUE QUESTIONS. The Department will provide the Commission with three reports on ongoing processes for license simplification, development of new harvest management guidelines, and a report on the America's Wildlife Value Questions.

- A License Simplification –The Commission will hear a report on the processes being worked on with contractor Loren Chase regarding license simplification.**
- B Harvest Management Guidelines – The Department will update the Commission on its progress of updating harvest management guidelines for Nevada hunting seasons.**
- C America's Wildlife Value Survey and Questions – A report on America's Wildlife Value Survey and Questions will be provided.**

(This item is agendized as an Informational Item on the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners' Agenda)

Commissioner Jeremy Drew, Nevada Wildlife Commission, explained this item is intended to provide the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners an update on the activities associated with the above three processes.

License Simplification: this effort will streamline the license process. Right now there are myriad combinations of how licenses can be bought; this will streamline and simplify the number of options available.

Harvest Management Guidelines: this is a framework for how seasons are established.

America's Wildlife Value Survey and Questions: U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service conducts this and asks each state if there are specific questions they would like asked when the nationwide polling is done.

Chairman Burnside related an instance about the difficulty in obtaining a Nevada temporary fishing license for a child. Commissioner Drew said that issue stemmed from having to have a Social Security number to get the license but now a group fishing license is offered.

Member Foster thought simplifying the process could result in a much greater revenue stream for wildlife. If the process is complicated, people will not bother. He suggested a licensing change in that if you put in for a big game tag and are successful and you check the option that you are going to buy your hunting license if successful, then you obviously have both and shouldn't need to carry both pieces in the field. Also he is frustrated with the actual tag Nevada has established.

Commissioner Drew suggested CABs agendize their ideas and suggestions to discuss them and then submit their comments to the Department.

No public comment.

The consensus was to take no action on this item.

5e. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES, REGULATIONS, AND POLICY COMMITTEE (APRPC) REPORT, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND COMMISSION GUIDANCE.

- A **APRPC Report – Commissioner and Committee Chairman McNinch will report on the committee meetings held in January and February and present an anticipated schedule for moving forward with a comprehensive Commission Policy Review.**

(This item is agendized as an Informational Item on the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners' Agenda

- B **APRPC Recommendations and Request for Commission Guidance on Policy Numbering and Policy Review Delegation to Various Committees - Commissioner McNinch will report the Committee's recommendation and request the Commission's guidance to retain the current policy numbering format, and to delegate the review of Commission Policy #24, "Hunting Opportunities Among Weapons and Hunter Groups" to the Tag Allocation and Application Hunt Committee, and to delegate the review of Commission Policies #60 through #67 related to habitat and publically owned lands to the Commission's Public Lands Committee.**

(This item is agendized as an Action Item on the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners' Agenda

- C **Rules of Practice Review, Status, and Commission Guidance – Commissioner McNinch will provide a status report on the Rules of Practice review, including Commission Policies #1 "General Guidelines for the Commission;" #3 "Appeals;" #4 "Petition Process and Regulatory Adoptions Policy," and NAC 501.195 related to petitions, and NAC 501.140 - 190 related to appeals. The committee is seeking discussion and feedback from the Commission regarding concepts to modify the Rules of Practice that the committee has discussed.**

(This item is agendized as an Action Item on the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners' Agenda

Commissioner Jeremy Drew, Nevada Wildlife Commission, said a comprehensive review is being done on all the policies since many have not been reviewed in quite some time. Policies 1, 3, and 4 are the top priorities. Any recommended revisions to policies will be agendized individually and will have two readings before adoption.

Member Foster thought Policy 9 should be high on the list since it deals with ADA. He

supports incorporating ADA by reference instead of adding more language and policies.

No public comment.

The consensus was to take no action.

5f. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON COMMISSION REGULATION 14-11 AMENDMENT #3, 2015-2016 UPLAND GAME, MIGRATORY UPLAND GAME AND FURBEARER SEASONS AND LIMITS AMENDMENT. The Commission will consider amendment #3 to the 2015 – 2016 season dates and length for the third Mason Valley Wildlife Management Area wild turkey hunt period. Amendment #3 would extend the wild turkey hunt for this period from two days to its original, intended 9-day length extending from April 23 through May 1, 2016 rather than the current two day hunt period of April 30 through May 1, 2016.

Commissioner Jeremy Drew, Nevada Wildlife Commission, stated this amendment will accurately reflect the dates approved by the Commission.

No public comment.

MOTION by Turnipseed/Rittenhouse to support the 9 day turkey hunt; carried with Cook absent.

5g. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON COMMISSION REGULATION 16-11 MIGRATORY GAME BIRD SEASONS, BAG LIMITS, AND SPECIAL REGULATIONS FOR WATERFOWL AND WEBLESS MIGRATORY GAME BIRDS; PUBLIC HUNTING LIMITED ON WILDLIFE MANAGEMENT AREAS AND DESIGNATED STATE LANDS 2016-2017 SEASON. The Commission will consider recommendations for seasons, bag limits, and special regulations for migratory game birds for the 2016 – 2017 season and adopt regulations that comply with the proposed regulations framework for the 2016 – 2017 hunting seasons on certain migratory game birds established by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. The Commission will also consider rules regulating public hunting on Wildlife Management Areas and designated state lands.

Commissioner Jeremy Drew, Nevada Wildlife Commission, said these seasons are being adopted in March because of the change to the Pacific Flyway meeting.

No public comment.

MOTION by Foster/Turnipseed to recommend approval for the migratory game bird seasons, bag limits, and special regulations for waterfowl and webless migratory game birds; carried with Cook absent.

5h. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON COMMISSION GENERAL REGULATION 456, SPECIAL INCENTIVE ELK ARBITRATION PANEL, LCB FILE NO. R031-15. The Commission will hold a workshop on the temporary regulation which expired Nov. 1, 2015, relating to amending Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) 502.42283 by which the Commission may facilitate decisions by appointing or serving as the arbitration panel should arbitration of

elk incentive tag awards become necessary.

During adoption of the permanent NAC, the Legislative Counsel Bureau (LCB) and the Legislative Commission have questioned specific language choices recommended by the Department that directly influence the application of the Administrative Procedures Act (APA). The primary concern expressed by the Legislative Commission deals with the ability of an arbitration panel to issue a final and binding decision without providing a means for appeal. In short, an appointed panel may be able to provide such a decision, yet when the Commission acts in this capacity it may be deemed an administrative act that must be consistent with the provisions of the APA. The attempts by the Department to recommend acceptable language to adequately describe this nuance have thus far been unclear. *(This item is agendaized as a Workshop/Informational Item on the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners' Agenda)*

Commissioner Jeremy Drew, Nevada Wildlife Commission, said this language has been vetted and cleared by the LCB attorneys. He identified the most recent language change.

No public comment.

MOTION by Turnipseed/Rittenhouse to support the Special Incentive Elk Arbitration Panel language; carried with Cook absent.

5i. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON COMMISSION GENERAL REGULATION 460, DEFINITION OF "SPIKE ELK," LCB FILE NO. R013-16. The Commission will consider amending NAC 502.104 and 502.1045 to define "Spike Elk" in a manner that limits inadvertent errors in the field. The intent is to consider and possibly broaden the definition of spike in a manner that is consistent with the management objective of defining a spike while reducing the likelihood of errors in harvest identification. *(This item is agendaized as a Workshop/Informational Item on the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners' Agenda)*

Chairman Burnside stated he received correspondence on this issue from Darin Elmore and that remains part of the record. He requested a clarification on whether the elk is still a spike if there is branching on only one side of the ears. Commissioner Jeremy Drew, Nevada Wildlife Commission, said at least one antler without branching above the ears is a spike. The intent here is to reduce harvesting errors when hunting the younger bulls.

Chairman Burnside thinks this will clear up some of the confusion and help prevent making criminals out of honest people.

No public comment.

MOTION by Foster/Rittenhouse to recommend approval of Commission General Regulation 460, Definition of Spike Elk; carried with Cook absent.

5j. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON COMMISSION GENERAL REGULATION 458, ELECTRONIC RIFLE TRIGGERS, CALIBER AND

CARTRIDGE LENGTH, AND SMOKELESS POWDER RESTRICTIONS, LCB FILE NO. R144-15. The Commission will hold a second workshop to consider a regulation relating to amending Chapter 503 of the Nevada Administrative Code. The proposed regulation amendment contains two changes. The first change will clarify the use of black powder substitutes that are considered smokeless powder. The second change would make it unlawful to hunt a big game mammal with a rifle using a centerfire cartridge of caliber .46 or larger or an overall loaded cartridge length of 3.8 inches or longer. The amendment would also make it unlawful to hunt a big game mammal with any firearm equipped with an electronic or computer-controlled trigger.

A workshop was held in Las Vegas on January 29, 2016. The Commission directed the Department to hold a second workshop to consider additional changes, including: changing the term “big game mammal” to “any game animal” and either adjusting the “overall loaded cartridge length” to something that wouldn’t impact custom reloads for popular hunting cartridges or changing the terminology to account for casing length rather than overall loaded length. Additional information was requested to show which rifles may be prohibited under either scenario. *(This item is agendized as a Workshop/Informational Item on the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners’ Agenda)*

Chairman Burnside stated he received correspondence from Backcountry Hunters & Anglers on this item. They support electronic or computer controlled trigger ban, they are neutral on caliber and cartridge length, and support the smokeless powder language. This letter has been placed in the record.

Commissioner Jeremy Drew, Nevada Wildlife Commission, referred to the spreadsheets showing which calibers would be restricted under the scenarios of bullet diameter, cartridge length, or case length.

Chairman Burnside supports using case length.

Commissioner Drew reviewed the input they have received to date. He feels the spreadsheets show exactly which cartridges would be affected by each option. He reviewed the suggested language change to “any game animal.”

Chairman Burnside asked what precipitated the restriction of caliber size; has there been issue with that? Chairman Drew is not aware of a specific issue but if we want to restrict the big military grade caliber then it should be done with a caliber restriction. There is a potential issue from a perception standpoint as to how the sport of hunting is perceived. He supports having these discussions and seeing where it leads. Chairman Burnside feels sportsmen need to be conscious of the fact that non-hunters can become anti-hunters. We should not alienate non-hunters.

Member Foster talked about the different distances that hunters choose to sight their rifles. He prefers to get as close as possible to the animal but not everyone wants to hunt that way. He does not want to tell others how far they should be; everyone has their own idea of how to be a sportsman. As long as they make the kill and not waste the meat then he feels people should be able to shoot whatever they want.

Commissioner Drew feels doing away with certain calibers will not prevent people from taking that 1000 yard shot.

Member Foster wonders if we want to be proactive or reactive.

Commissioner Drew personally feels this regulation will not solve acts of stupidity but it is important to have this discussion. He hunts the way he hunts and he wondered to what extent he should be dictating what other people do. However, there is a fine line between doing your own thing and doing something that could potentially harm hunting for the future of all Nevadans.

MOTION by Turnipseed/Burnside to support the electronic trigger provision and the smokeless powder provision and take no action on the caliber and cartridge length provision;

No public comment.

Member Rittenhouse thinks you cannot legislate right and wrong. He asked if there is any advantage to the hunter who uses smokeless powder versus black powder.

Chairman Burnside said the smokeless powder does not foul the weapon as much; there is no field advantage. It does not raise the level of the weapon.

Member Foster feels this advisory board is responsible to provide direction but it is more palatable to him to take no action rather than supporting or opposing it. He asked what the difference between "big game mammal" and "any game animal" is. Commissioner Drew does not feel the language change is an issue because the only animals being hunted with a rifle is big game.

Chairman Burnside does not want to infringe on what others do but non-hunters can become anti-hunters quickly. Sportsmen needs to patrol themselves and do the things that help promulgate the things we like to do and keep them going for our kids and grandkids. He does not feel that anyone needs to have a fully automatic weapon but he is not a gun enthusiast-he is a hunter. He does not want to curtail or support it.

Member Foster commented that supporting the restriction will mean that hunters with a 50 caliber rifle will choose another weapon. This hunter will still be able to hunt but will drop down to the next legal sized weapon. He asked if a precedent would be set by banning these five cartridges.

Member Turnipseed did not think so.

No public comment.

MOTION; carried with Foster voting Nay and Cook absent.

Member Foster stated support for the electronic rifle trigger provision and the smokeless powder provision.

5k. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON COMMISSION GENERAL REGULATION 459, UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES (DRONES), LCB FILE NO. R145-15. The Commission will hold a second workshop to consider a regulation relating to amending Chapters 501 and 503 of the Nevada Administrative Code. The proposed regulation amendment contains two changes. The first change would make the prohibition of using aerial devices for the purpose of hunting year-round rather than the current prohibition of 48-hours prior to any open season and during any open season. The second change is to clarify existing language that prohibits the use of unmanned aerial vehicles for the purpose of hunting, locating, or observing big game animals. The changes would extend aerial scouting prohibitions and clarify the existing regulation by explicitly listing unmanned aerial vehicles.

A workshop was held in Las Vegas on January 29, 2016. The Commission directed the Department to hold a second workshop to consider additional changes, including: changing the term “big game mammal” to “any game animal” and adding terminology to Section 2 to clarify that the regulation is specific to “real time” satellite imagery rather than commercially available imagery products such as Google Earth. *(This item is agendaized as a Workshop/Informational Item on the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners’ Agenda)*

Commissioner Jeremy Drew, Nevada Wildlife Commission, reviewed the language change to “any game animal” and the recommended insertion of the language “real time” images in Section 2, subsection 1d.

Chairman Burnside stated he received correspondence from Backcountry Hunters & Anglers on this item. This letter has been placed in the record.

No public comment.

MOTION by Turnipseed/Foster to support the prohibition of unmanned aerial vehicles including the insertion of the language “real time images” in Section 2, subsection 1d; carried with Cook absent.

5I. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON COMMISSION GENERAL REGULATION 440, TRAIL CAMERAS AND OTHER DEVICES, LCB FILE NO. R012-16. The Commission will hold a workshop to consider a regulation relating to amending Chapter 503 of the Nevada Administrative Code. The proposed regulation change is intended to restrict the use of motion and heat sensing cameras that are left for a period of time, and not held in the hand. The proposed language would prohibit the use of trail cameras to locate or observe big game mammals for the purpose of hunting from August 1 to December 31. The Commission directed the Department to develop this regulation at the September 2013 meeting. *(This item is agendaized as a Workshop/Informational Item on the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners’ Agenda)*

Commissioner Jeremy Drew, Nevada Wildlife Commission, said the language was drafted in the manner approved by the Commission in September 2013.

Chairman Burnside stated he received correspondence from Backcountry Hunters & Anglers on this item. This letter has been placed in the record.

No public comment.

MOTION by Turnipseed/Foster to support the provision to prohibit trail cameras between August 1st and December 31st for the purposes of hunting; carried with Cook absent.

6. CORRESPONDENCE OR COMMUNICATIONS BOARD MEMBERS HAVE RECEIVED.

Chairman Burnside received correspondence from Backcountry Hunters & Anglers and from Darin Elmore. Those have been placed in the record.

7. DISCUSSION ON THE RESULTS OF THE JANUARY 29 & 30, 2016 NEVADA BOARD OF WILDLIFE COMMISSION MEETINGS. There will be no action taken.

Member Rittenhouse provided a written summary of the results and that has been placed in the record.

8. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION REGARDING ADVISORY BOARD MEMBER COMMITMENTS TO ATTEND UPCOMING WILDLIFE COMMISSION MEETINGS AND TO REPRESENT THE FINDINGS OF THE DOUGLAS COUNTY WILDLIFE ADVISORY BOARD. ONE MEMBER WILL BE DESIGNATED AS A SPOKESMAN FOR THE DOUGLAS COUNTY ADVISORY BOARD.

Member Turnipseed will attend the Yerington meetings.

Member Foster may attend the Elko meetings.

9. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION TO SCHEDULE THE NEXT WILDLIFE ADVISORY BOARD MEETING.

The next Douglas County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife meeting is tentatively scheduled for Tuesday, May 10, 2016.

10. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

- Tri County Wildlife Working Group
- Election of officers
- License Simplification

MOTION by Turnipseed/Rittenhouse to adjourn the meeting; carried with Cook absent.

There being no further business to come before the DCABMW, the meeting adjourned at 7:58 p.m.

The minutes of the March 22, 2016 meeting of the Douglas County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife are so approved this 10th day of May, 2016.

Respectfully submitted:

Chairman