

**DOUGLAS COUNTY ADVISORY BOARD TO MANAGE WILDLIFE**  
**Minutes of the November 14, 2016 Meeting**

The Douglas County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife meeting was scheduled for 5:30 pm on Tuesday, November 14, 2016 in the Douglas County Community Center, Carson Valley Medical Center Room, 1329 Waterloo Lane, Gardnerville, Nevada.

**MEMBERS PRESENT:** Craig Burnside, Chairman  
Bob Cook, Vice Chairman  
Robert Rittenhouse  
Chad Foster

**MEMBER ABSENT:** Mike Turnipseed

**OTHERS PRESENT:** Carl Lackey, NDOW, Wildlife Biologist

**PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE** – Held.

**CALL TO ORDER**

Chairman Burnside called the meeting to order at 5:32 pm and determined a quorum was present. Noted was the absence of Member Turnipseed.

**ROLL CALL AND INTRODUCTIONS**

Chairman Burnside recognized the members in attendance and thanked Carl Lackey for attending.

**PUBLIC COMMENT**

There was no public comment.

**FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. APPROVAL OF AGENDA**

No public comment.

**MOTION** by Cook/Rittenhouse to approve the agenda as presented; carried with Turnipseed absent.

**FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. APPROVAL OF MINUTES:**

- **September 20, 2016**

No public comment.

MOTION by Cook/Foster to approve the minutes as presented; carried with Turnipseed absent.

**1. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION REGARDING THE ACTIVITIES OF THE TRI-COUNTY WILDLIFE WORKING GROUP.**

The group has not met.

**2. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON USING TRAINED TRACKING DOGS FOR BIG GAME RECOVERY.**

Member Rittenhouse distributed information regarding the use of trained tracking dogs including examples of other state's regulations regarding the use of this method for game recovery. He talked about his son's experience with hunting and hitting a deer but being unable to locate it. He feels being able to contact a blood tracker to assist with locating a wounded or dead animal is a very ethical approach to fair chase and recovery of game.

When considering this he feels the dogs need to be leashed and under control in order to prevent them from harassing or hunting the game so the use is not abused. The AKC does not have a policy for tracking of wounded game; they have tracking for other purposes however. His dog club has a training program for tracking big game and the dogs are successful even when tracking wounded big game up to 40 hours later. If this was available, it would be the most ethical way to find the game.

Chairman Burnside asked about the availability of these dogs within the State of Nevada and pointed out that oftentimes hunting takes place in remote areas where there is no cell service so contacting one of these services would be difficult and would result in a long delay before one could reach you.

Member Rittenhouse thought trained dogs could become a discipline in itself and he said dachshunds are excellent tracking dogs. If the rule were changed, the people that were available to train or participate in tracking would surface. He talked about the success of this service in Utah.

Chairman Burnside questioned the charge for using this type of service and Member Rittenhouse stated the charge varies based on location. Chairman Burnside asked if this idea had been brought to the Department before and Carl Lackey, NDOW Wildlife Biologist, stated not to his knowledge. Mr. Lackey said dogs are legal when used for bears and lions.

Member Rittenhouse would like to have a discussion on this and see how it turns out. This could save some animals and prevent them from being wasted. The downside to this would be the person who does not use trained dogs.

Member Foster supports beginning the discussion but he feels the verbiage and language will be important components of the regulation. He called attention to the language in the Idaho regulation regarding use of a dog only within the legal hunting hours and feels that could be a problem. He too thought the response time would be an issue when a person is in a wilderness area. This is not a bad law to have on the books for people who would want to take advantage of it.

Vice Chairman Cook suggested some people may already have dogs that could be used for this purpose. He would like to see information on dog clubs and the types of dogs that could be trained to do this.

Chairman Burnside suggested inviting Tyler Turnipseed, NDOW Chief Game Warden, to the next DCABMW meeting to discuss this further.

No public comment.

The consensus was to keep this on the agenda for further discussion.

- 3. The following items, 3a through 3p, are items that will be heard before the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners at the next meeting, November 18 & 19, 2016, at the Nevada Senate Capitol Building, Capitol Assembly Chambers, Second Floor, 101 North Carson Street, Carson City, Nevada. The Douglas County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife may take the following action, or a variation thereof, on each item: support the item, not support the item or not take a position on the item. Public Comment will be allowed on each item.**

**3a–3p. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING WHETHER THE DOUGLAS COUNTY ADVISORY BOARD SHOULD TAKE A POSITION ON:**

**3a. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON COMMISSION REGULATION 17-01, TAKING OF RAPTORS FOR FALCONRY FOR 2017-2018.** The Commission will consider and may take action to approve the 2017 - 2018 season dates, species, quotas, limits, closed areas, application procedures and deadlines, and take of raptors for falconry. (*Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 7*)

Chairman Burnside stated no changes are being recommended from the previous period by the Department.

No public comment.

MOTION by Rittenhouse/Cook to recommend approval of Commission Regulation 17-01, Taking of Raptors for Falconry for 2017-2018 as written; carried with Turnipseed absent.

**3b. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON COMMISSION REGULATION 17-02, NONCOMMERCIAL COLLECTION OF REPTILES AND AMPHIBIANS FOR 2017-2018.** The Commission will consider and may take action to approve

**2017 - 2018 season and limits for noncommercial hobby collecting of live, unprotected reptiles and amphibians. (*Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 8*)**

Chairman Burnside stated no changes are being recommended by the Department.

Member Rittenhouse asked if these are collected for commercial use and Carl Lackey, NDOW Wildlife Biologist, stated some are collected and sold to pet stores but a permit is required to do that.

No public comment.

MOTION by Cook/Foster to recommend approval of Commission Regulation 17-02, Noncommercial Collection of Reptiles and Amphibians for 2017-2018 as presented; carried with Turnipseed absent.

**3c. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON COMMISSION GENERAL REGULATION 470, MISCELLANEOUS PETITIONS, LCB FILE NO. R095-16.** The Commission will hold a workshop to consider amending Chapter 501 of the Nevada Administrative Code (NAC). The regulation was developed by the Wildlife Commission's APRPC after several public meetings incorporating relevant suggestions from the public, legal counsel, the Department and the committee. The amendments will simplify petition form requirements and the petition process overall. (*Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 9*) (*This item is agendaized as a workshop on the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners' Agenda.*)

No public comment.

MOTION by Foster/Rittenhouse to recommend approval of Commission General Regulation 470, Miscellaneous Petitions, LCB File No. R095-16 as written; carried with Turnipseed absent.

**3d. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON THE TAG ALLOCATION AND APPLICATION HUNT COMMITTEE (TAAHC) PARTNERSHIP IN WILDLIFE (PIW) DRAWING AND RESTRICTED NONRESIDENT GUIDED DEER DRAW APPLICANT ELIGIBILITY.** The Tag Allocation and Application Hunt Committee (TAAHC) conducted public meetings on two related amendments to Chapter 502 of the Nevada Administrative Code (NAC). The first amendment is to change the PIW drawing to precede the main drawing. The change would allow all applicants who choose to apply for the PIW program to participate in the PIW drawing. The second amendment is allowing restricted nonresident guided deer hunt applicants to also apply for deer tags in the Silver State tag, PIW drawing and the main drawing if eligible. The Department has prepared a document that explains fees, tag eligibility, and the implementation of proposed changes. The Department is requesting the Commission to provide guidance to the Department for the drafting of possible regulation changes. (*Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 10B*)

Chairman Burnside pointed out the PIW drawing currently occurs after the main drawing.

Carl Lackey, NDOW Wildlife Biologist, added it gives an optional second draw for someone who is unsuccessful in the main draw.

Chairman Burnside reviewed the proposed methods/options/questions which the Advisory Boards should provide input on. Each section was reviewed at length and discussed. Below is the way the discussion took place before reaching a final decision.

Method 1:

- Chairman Burnside thought this method was confusing as to how the fee would be paid if it is not invoiced by the contractor but this could be the easiest way to change the draw order while charging in the same manner.
- Vice Chairman Cook stated support for this method because it would be the easiest way to handle this.
- Member Foster could support Method 1 if the PIW applications are invoiced by the contractors.

Method 2:

- Member Foster reviewed the Las Vegas Commission meeting discussion on waiting periods.
- Chairman Burnside likes Option 1 of Method 2 because the PIW drawing goes first and the contractor would collect the fee. However, this would be a totally separate application process. He cannot support Method 2/Option 2.
- Vice Chairman Cook stated he could support Option 1 of Method 2 in order to keep this simple.

Question 1 - Application fee or participation fee:

- Member Foster asked if either fee would be refunded if they do not draw and Vice Chairman Cook stated PIW is not refunded. Member Foster thought calling it an application fee would give them more doors for other fees.
- Chairman Burnside said input should be provided on whether all the other associated fees should be charged if this is determined to be a separate application.
- Member Foster said yes.
- The Board discussed the fees that could be charged whether this is an application fee or a participation fee.

Question 2 – Reinstate NRGH eligibility for drawings:

- Chairman Burnside pointed out there are only so many tags available so nonresidents should be able to put in as many times as they want.
- Vice Chairman Cook does not support this because he does not want to escalate the numbers of tags that could go to nonresidents. He asked if this would increase revenue for the Department. Chairman Burnside thought it would. Vice Chairman Cook supports increasing revenues but not increasing nonresident chances. If this does not increase chances and only increases revenues, he could support this.
- Member Foster agreed with Vice Chairman Cook. Increased revenues are good but outsiders should not have unfair advantages over residents.
- Chairman Burnside feels this question would not change that. During the quota setting the number of nonresident tags would be set and it would be a finite number. This is asking whether nonresidents would be eligible in more than one pool.

- Member Rittenhouse clarified whether there is a finite number of tags and Chairman Burnside stated yes.
- All members agreed they could support this as long as it does not increase the number of nonresident tags available.

No public comment.

MOTION by Burnside/Foster to have the Action Report reflect the DCABMW recommends: the preferred Method is Method 2/Option 1; the PIW fee should be an application fee and all associated fees should be collected; and the Department should reinstate NRGH eligibility for the main drawing, PIW drawing, and Silver State drawing as long as it does not increase the number of nonresident tags available; carried with Turnipseed absent.

**3e. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF WILDLIFE LICENSE SIMPLIFICATION STRATEGY UPDATE.** The Department has conducted substantial data analysis and public input since the effort to simplify hunting and angling license structures was initiated just over one year ago. The Department will provide an overview of the information obtained to date, along with suggestions for bundled privileges and fees. The Commission may vote to support the Department's license structure proposal. (*Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 11*)

Looking at the projections, Vice Chairman Cook is concerned about how they will break even.

Member Foster supports this because it is being made easier. He pointed out that they are basing the numbers on what people have purchased over the last 20 years plus a cost savings may be realized by reduced printing.

Chairman Burnside said this is being presented as revenue neutral.

No public comment.

MOTION by Cook/Foster to recommend the Commission vote to endorse the Nevada Department of Wildlife License Simplification Strategy Update; carried with Turnipseed absent.

**3f. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF WILDLIFE UPDATE OF GUIDELINES FOR HARVEST MANAGEMENT IN NEVADA.** The Department will provide an update on the status and process of refining the draft harvest guidelines for consideration by the Commission. The Department will provide a briefing on revisions since the September 2016 Commission Meeting. The Commission may provide the Department with direction regarding further development. (*Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 12*)

Member Foster asked if ten years of data is needed before anything is done with the Black Bear Management Plan. Carl Lackey, NDOW Wildlife Biologist, responded that was correct but there have been requests to change the number of tags. However, Mr. Wakeling does not want to change anything until the guidelines are approved.

Chairman Burnside pointed out the memo provided refers to analysis of a 10-year population trend for the Black Bear Management Plan. Mr. Lackey reviewed the memo and stated he would look into that.

Mr. Lackey provided an update on the bear hunt season to date.

No public comment.

MOTION by Cook/Rittenhouse to recommend approval of the October 20, 2016 draft of the Nevada Department of Wildlife Update of Guidelines for Harvest Management in Nevada; carried with Turnipseed absent.

**3g. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON THE SECOND READING OF POLICY 2, PUBLICATIONS.** The Commission will conduct a second reading of Commission Policy 2, Publications, and may take action to officially repeal the policy as recommended by the APRPC. (*Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 13*)

No public comment.

MOTION by Rittenhouse/Cook to recommend approval of the second reading of Policy 2, Publications; carried with Turnipseed absent.

**3h. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON THE SECOND READING OF POLICY 31, LAHONTAN CUTTHROAT TROUT MANAGEMENT GUIDELINES.** The Commission will conduct a second reading of Policy 31 with edits incorporated from the first reading on September 23, 2016. The Commission may take action to approve the policy. (*Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 14*)

Member Foster stated additional language cleanup took place during the Las Vegas Commission meeting. He reviewed the discussion that took place during that meeting on this item.

Vice Chairman Cook talked about the effect the mackinaw have had in Yellowstone National Park. He said the Lake Tahoe Federal Advisory Committee has put in an application for \$2 million for the LCTs.

No public comment.

MOTION by Foster/Cook to recommend approval of the second reading of Policy 31, Lahontan Cutthroat Trout Management Guidelines as written; carried with Turnipseed absent.

**3i. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON THE SECOND READING OF POLICY 33, FISHERIES MANAGEMENT PROGRAM.** The Commission will conduct a second reading of Policy 33 with edits incorporated from the first reading on September 23, 2016. The Commission may take action to approve the policy. (*Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 15*)

Chairman Burnside wished Travis Hawkes had attended this meeting as he has a question concerning the language under Fishery Rehabilitation regarding the use of toxicants to control fish population. The language states "The use of fish toxicants to control fish populations is an important fisheries management tool to control and remove undesirable nonnative fish species, for the conservation and recovery of native fish species including native sportfish...." When Comins was poisoned it was not for a native sportfish species; it was to get the pike out so they could plant other fish therefore he feels the language should specify using the toxicants for nonnative sportfish species too.

Member Foster updated the Board members on the discussion that took place at the Las Vegas Commission meeting.

No public comment.

MOTION by Foster/Rittenhouse to recommend approval of the second reading of Policy 33, Fisheries Management Program, with a language change under the subsection Fisheries Rehabilitation to read ".....fish toxicants to control fish populations is an important fisheries management tool to control and remove undesirable nonnative fish species, for the conservation and recovery of native fish species including native and non-native sportfish,....." and approve the rest of the Policy as written; carried with Turnipseed absent.

**3j. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON THE FALLON NAVAL AIR STATION AND NELLIS AIR FORCE BASE PUBLIC LANDS WITHDRAWALS. The Commission will have a presentation on proposals being analyzed through the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) process for legislative action to extend the current administrative withdrawals and to consider additional areas for withdrawal at both Fallon Naval Air Station and the Nevada Test and Training Range. The Commission will discuss the land withdrawal proposals and may take action to develop a letter with the Department's assistance stating the Commission's position. (*Wildlife Commission Agenda Items 21A & 21B*)**

The Board discussed the types of military activities that take place in some of the areas. The navy is claiming that the current size of the ranges is not sufficient for today's technology.

Member Foster asked if the areas are desirable hunting locations.

Carl Lackey, NDOW Wildlife Biologist, said the Department has been doing work, such as putting in guzzlers for sheep, in some of those areas. Part of what is being considered is bighorn sheep habitat.

Member Foster thought it could be difficult to go against the federal government if they really want to take the land.

Member Rittenhouse asked if anything will be gotten in return for these areas. Mr. Lackey said mitigation could be requested as is done when development occurs.

Vice Chairman Cook asked if the animals could be removed first and Mr. Lackey said no.

Member Foster expressed dismay that Fallon Naval Air Station and Nellis got around providing support material for this item. Chairman Burnside pointed out that they have held public meetings and have solicited public comment.

No public comment.

MOTION by Burnside/Cook that the Douglas County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife supports whatever comment the Commission makes in response to how these expansions affect sportsmen opportunity in the State of Nevada; carried with Turnipseed absent.

**3k. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON THE PROPOSED WASHOE COUNTY FEDERAL LANDS BILL. The Commission will discuss the proposed Washoe County Federal Lands bill and may take action to develop a letter with the Department's assistance stating the Commission's position. (Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 22B)**

Chairman Burnside explained the proposed boundaries and he pointed out that 495,000 acres in northern Washoe County are being proposed to be designated as wilderness areas. He hunts in some of those areas and while he still could hunt, he would no longer have vehicle access and would have to hike in if those areas become wilderness areas.

Member Foster enjoys hiking into wilderness areas because less people tend to hunt in those areas.

Carl Lackey, NDOW Wildlife Biologist, expressed concerns about, as the document is currently written, the inability of the Department to do maintenance, habitat work, fire manipulation, big game captures, etc. The Department is recommending inserting language that would allow them to maintain management options, do water development and habitat work, cherry stem roads so there would still be access, and things like that.

The Board spent time reviewing the proposed maps.

No public comment.

MOTION by Foster/Cook that the Douglas County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife takes a stance against the proposed Washoe County Federal Lands Bill based on the material that has been presented to the CAB that looks like it is a win for Washoe County and the federal lands and a loss for the sportsmen of Nevada; carried with Turnipseed absent.

**3l. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON DEVELOPMENT OF A COMMISSION POLICY REGARDING WILDLIFE CONTESTS. The Commission will discuss a prior draft of a Wildlife Contest Policy forwarded by the Administrative Procedures, Regulations, and Policy Committee, which was discussed by the Commission at their August 12, 2016 meeting. The Commission may choose to discuss the merits of the policy and may amend, forward, discard, or reinstate development of a policy that articulates the Commission's perspective regarding contests of take of wildlife. (Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 25)**

Chairman Burnside talked about the desire of the non-hunters to have the Commission put some type of regulation in place that will prevent these contests from happening but the Commission does not have the power to do that. Knowing they have no power to stop this activity, the Commission is trying to write something that takes a stand against this. He reminded everyone that coyotes are not a protected species.

Member Rittenhouse stated this is trying to legislate ethics.

Vice Chairman Cook read from the proposed policy regarding the contests the Commission supports and does not support.

No public comment.

MOTION by Cook/Foster to support development of a Commission Policy regarding Wildlife Contests; carried with Turnipseed absent.

**3m. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON COMMISSION GENERAL REGULATION 463, DUTIES OF PERSON TRANSPORTING VESSEL OR CONVEYANCE, LCB FILE NO. R093-16.** The Commission will consider amending Chapter 488 of the Nevada Administrative Code (NAC). The change amends Chapter 488 of NAC by adding a new section that requires the owner, operator or person in control of any vessel or conveyance that is launched on any body of water in this State to drain the water from the vessel or conveyance and any equipment on the vessel or conveyance and also requires the owner, operator or person in control of a vessel or conveyance that is transported on a public road in this State or has been taken out of any body of water in this State ensure that the drain plugs, drain valves and any other devices used to control the draining of water remain open while transporting the vessel or conveyance on public roads in this State. The proposed regulation also amends language in NAC 488.520 to accommodate the changes above and remove repetitive language.

The Commission held a workshop on September 23, 2016, and no changes were recommended by the Commission. (*Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 26A*)

No public comment.

Chairman Burnside hopes whoever is enforcing this would go by the intent because he puts his boat on top of his truck and will lose his drain plug if it just hangs there while he is driving.

MOTION by Cook/Rittenhouse to recommend the Commission adopt, including the modified language approved by the Commission on September 23, 2016, Commission General Regulation 463, Duties of Person Transporting Vessel or Conveyance, LCB File No. R093-16; carried with Turnipseed absent.

**3n. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON COMMISSION GENERAL REGULATION 471, TRUCKEE RIVER MOTORIZED VESSEL CLOSURE, LCB FILE NO. R139-16.** The Commission will consider adopting an amendment to Chapter 488 of the Nevada Administrative Code (NAC). Existing regulations set

forth certain bodies of water on which only vessels without motors are allowed and certain bodies of water on which only vessels without motors and vessels powered by electric motors are allowed. This regulation adds to the list of waters with such a restriction, the Truckee River from the California-Nevada state line to the point where the river enters the Pyramid Lake Indian Reservation. This regulation also extends the exception to the restrictions to all such specified waters and to any vessel that is owned, operated and used for official purposes by a federal, state or local governmental entity, or any vessel operating pursuant to a permit for a marine event that is requested by a federal, state or local governmental entity.

The Commission held a workshop on September 23, 2016, and no changes were recommended by the Commission. (*Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 26B*)

No public comment.

MOTION by Cook/Foster to recommend the Commission adopt Commission General Regulation 471, Truckee River Motorized Vessel Closure, LCB File No. R139-16; carried with Turnipseed absent.

Member Foster reviewed the discussion on this topic that took place at the Las Vegas Commission meeting.

**3o. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON COMMISSION GENERAL REGULATION 464, APPEALS, LCB FILE NO. R074-16.** The Commission will consider amending Chapter 501 of the Nevada Administrative Code (NAC). This regulation revises provisions relating to practice before the Commission. It provides more efficiency in scheduling appeals, will define that "calendar" days are used for calculation of deadlines, and more clearly notify the appellant in advance of a hearing that the Commission has limited jurisdiction. It will also provide for two, separate Attorneys General (one for the Commission and one for the Department) to avoid conflicts with one attorney advising two sides of the appeal. It also requires the appellant to give the agency advance notice of legal representation to improve scheduling for a separate lengthier time needed on agendas.

These changes were approved at the May 12, 2016, meeting of the Wildlife Commission's APRPC which included relevant suggestions from the public and legal counsel. The Commission held a workshop in Reno on August 12, 2016, where the Commission asked to include information regarding the notice to the appellant and also to insert language that if a party fails to file certain information within 14 days they may waive their right to a hearing on the appeal. A revision of the regulation was requested from the Legislative Counsel Bureau (LCB); which, contained additional edits. (*Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 26C*)

No public comment.

MOTION by Cook/Rittenhouse to recommend the Commission adopt Commission General Regulation 464, Appeals, LCB File No. R074-16 as presented; carried with Turnipseed absent.

**3p. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON COMMISSION GENERAL REGULATION 465, ANTELOPE AND ELK WAITING PERIODS, LCB FILE NO. R141-16.** The Commission will consider amending Chapter 502 of the Nevada Administrative Code (NAC). The Tag Allocation and Application Hunt Committee (TAAHC) recommended the amendment to the regulation to standardize the waiting period for a person to be eligible to apply for each of the species antelope and elk after receiving a tag. Regardless of harvest, the antelope waiting period after receiving a tag would be three years, and the antlered elk waiting period after receiving a tag would be five years.

The Commission held a workshop on this regulation on September 23, 2016. The Commission did not have any recommended changes. (*Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 26D*)

Member Foster provided information on the discussion that took place at the Las Vegas Commission meeting.

Chairman Burnside thinks this will give sportsmen more opportunity.

Vice Chairman Cook cannot support this.

No public comment.

MOTION by Burnside/Foster to recommend approval of Commission General Regulation 465, Antelope and Elk Waiting Periods, LCB File No. R141-16; carried with Cook voting Nay and Turnipseed absent.

**4. CORRESPONDENCE OR COMMUNICATIONS BOARD MEMBERS HAVE RECEIVED.**

Vice Chairman Cook talked about his role on the Lake Tahoe Federal Advisory Committee and the grants being applied for relating to fish species management.

**5. DISCUSSION ON THE RESULTS OF THE SEPTEMBER 23 & 24, 2016 NEVADA BOARD OF WILDLIFE COMMISSION MEETINGS. There will be no action taken.**

Beside the updates provided during the meeting, Member Foster updated the Board on the discussions regarding:

- DCABMW's position on bear tags
- Sage Grouse translocation
- Blue Tilapia found in Muddy Lake
- Gila monster presentation
- Antelope and Elk waiting period
- Special Assistant permits

This was an update only.

**6. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION REGARDING ADVISORY BOARD**

**MEMBER COMMITMENTS TO ATTEND UPCOMING WILDLIFE COMMISSION MEETINGS AND TO REPRESENT THE FINDINGS OF THE DOUGLAS COUNTY WILDLIFE ADVISORY BOARD. ONE MEMBER WILL BE DESIGNATED AS A SPOKESMAN FOR THE DOUGLAS COUNTY ADVISORY BOARD.**

Chairman Burnside will attend Friday and Member Rittenhouse will attend the Saturday November Commission meeting.

**7. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION TO SCHEDULE THE NEXT WILDLIFE ADVISORY BOARD MEETING.**

The next Douglas County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife meeting is tentatively scheduled for **Monday, February 6, 2017.**

**8. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS.**

- Tri County Wildlife Working Group
- Trained Tracking Dogs for Big Game Recovery
- Election of Chair and Vice Chair

**CLOSING PUBLIC COMMENT.**

There was no public comment.

There being no further business to come before the DCABMW, the meeting adjourned at 8:18 p.m.

The minutes of the November 14, 2016 meeting of the Douglas County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife are so approved this 6th day of February, 2017.

Respectfully submitted:

---

Chairman