

**DOUGLAS COUNTY ADVISORY BOARD TO MANAGE WILDLIFE**  
**Minutes of the January 25, 2022 Meeting**

The Douglas County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife meeting was scheduled for 5:00 pm on Tuesday, January 25, 2022, in the Douglas County Community Center, Carson Valley Medical Center Room, 1329 Waterloo Lane, Gardnerville, Nevada.

**MEMBERS PRESENT:** Craig Burnside, Chairman  
Mike Porter  
JT Chevallier (present by telephone)

**MEMBER ABSENT:** Mike Turnipseed

**CALL TO ORDER**

Chairman Burnside called the meeting to order at 5:02 pm and determined a quorum was present.

**PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE** – Member Porter led the Pledge of Allegiance.

**ROLL CALL AND INTRODUCTIONS**

Chairman Burnside called the roll and noted that Member Chevallier was present by telephone. Introduced were the members of the public in attendance: Ellie Waller, Gary Frei, Carlo Luri, and Wildlife Commissioner Ron Pierini.

**PUBLIC COMMENT**

Ellie Waller, Alpine View Estates resident, stated she was interested in hearing the report on the Mule Deer Enhancement Program Subcommittee as there is a proposed development in her area, which could negatively affect the current mule deer migration pattern. She is seeking information on ways to help preserve their current migration corridor, if the development does occur.

Carlo Luri, representing the Carson Valley Trails Association, discussed a new eight-mile multiuse trail being constructed from Clear Creek trailhead to James Lee Park. Once the trail is completed they hope to decommission the social trails that have developed over time.

Public comment closed.

**FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. APPROVAL OF AGENDA**

No public comment.

MOTION by Chevallier/Porter to approve the agenda as presented; carried with Turnipseed absent.

## FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

- **November 2, 2021**

No public comment.

MOTION by Chevallier/Porter to approve the minutes as presented; carried with Turnipseed absent.

- 1. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION TO RECOGNIZE THE ACCOMPLISHMENTS AND ACHIEVEMENTS OF LONG TIME DOUGLAS COUNTY ADVISORY BOARD TO MANAGE WILDLIFE MEMBER ROBERT COOK.** The members will discuss and may take action to publicly recognize the efforts and contributions Mr. Robert Cook made to sportsmen and to the betterment of wildlife around the State of Nevada. This recognition may be in the form of a letter to the local newspaper.

Chairman Burnside indicated he would like to send a letter to the Record Courier acknowledging the contributions made by Robert 'Bob' Cook. He presented a draft letter for submission to the newspaper and the members felt it was a great tribute to Bob.

Chairman Burnside thought Bob was a truly great man.

Member Porter appreciated Bob for his welcoming attitude, helpful communications, and quick responses to questions. He loved him and will miss him.

Member Chevallier strives to be half the person Bob was. He was compassionate, empathic, passionate, supportive, and dedicated to the community. He was honored to interact with Bob and there was never a cross word said. He was a north star and left an immortal legacy. Member Chevallier will carry his spirit with him and will always miss him.

### PUBLIC COMMENT

Ron Pierini, Wildlife Commissioner, provided a bit of background on Bob Cook and was in awe of Bob's knowledge, community involvement, and kindness toward others. He made this world a better place. A celebration of life will be held in the spring.

On behalf of Robert Rittenhouse, the recording secretary relayed Mr. Rittenhouse's appreciation for all the help Bob gave him when he first joined the Board and for providing input on issues Mr. Rittenhouse needed help sorting out. Bob Cook was a tremendous asset to the community.

Public comment closed.

MOTION by Chevallier/Porter to approve the draft letter and submit it to the Record Courier on behalf of the Douglas County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife; carried with Turnipseed absent.

- 2. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON THE ELECTION OF A CHAIRMAN AND A VICE CHAIRMAN OF THE DOUGLAS COUNTY ADVISORY BOARD TO MANAGE WILDLIFE FOR THE 2022 CALENDAR YEAR.**

No public comment.

MOTION by Chevallier/Porter to retain Craig Burnside as Chairman for the 2022 calendar year;

Chairman Burnside indicated his willingness to continue in the role but stated he was more than willing to give another member the opportunity to serve as Chair.

MOTION; carried with Turnipseed absent.

MOTION by Porter/Burnside to elect JT Chevallier as Vice Chairman for the 2022 calendar year;

Member Chevallier stated he would be happy to serve as Vice Chairman.

MOTION; carried with Turnipseed absent.

**3. DISCUSSION ON THE RESULTS OF THE NOVEMBER 5, 2021 NEVADA BOARD OF WILDLIFE COMMISSION MEETING. There will be no action taken.**

This item was continued to the March meeting.

**4. DISCUSSION AND UPDATE ON THE ACTIVITIES AND ACTIONS OF THE MULE DEER ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM SUBCOMMITTEE.**

Due to the time of year and the holiday season, no meetings have been held.

Referring to the earlier comments made by Ellie Waller, Chairman Burnside referred Ms. Waller to Carl Lackey, Nevada Department of Wildlife Biologist, to address her concerns because of his extensive knowledge.

Chairman Burnside recalled the Committee's submission of a project for funding for a kiosk for the redesigned trail system in Ms. Waller's area. He understands many mule deer use that area, which is understandable because of the great habitat that exists there.

Ms. Waller said she has many deer in her backyard. She talked about the requirement for her to maintain a pathway for the deer to move into the Alpine View Estates area and beyond. While not opposed to development, she would like to make sure this new development is done right.

Chairman Burnside advised of the Board's desire to get language into the Douglas County Development Code that addresses wildlife, specifically migration corridors. Washoe County's Development Code contains such an element, but Douglas County's and Carson City's do not. When the shopping center on Topsy Lane was built, it blocked most of the Carson Range to the Pine Nut Range migration corridor. This also affects winter habitat. He feels developers should be forced to consider that when doing development plans.

Ms. Waller referred to a report done for one of the developments in Washoe County and found it helpful for writing and implementing a migration plan. She will use that as a baseline going forward.

She is looking for the best possible result for both sides of this issue. She intends to provide input on this when Title 20 is updated.

5. **The following items, 5a through 5w, are items that will be heard before the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners at the next meeting, January 28 & 29, 2022.**

***The meeting will be broadcast live at the NDOW Commission YouTube page:***

<https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCrFHgHLM0MZa2Hx7og8pFcQ>

**The Douglas County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife may take the following action, or a variation thereof, on each item: support the item, not support the item or not take a position on the item. Public Comment will be allowed on each item.**

- 5a–5w. **DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING WHETHER THE DOUGLAS COUNTY ADVISORY BOARD SHOULD TAKE A POSITION ON:**

**5a. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON THE SECOND READING OF COMMISSION POLICY 1, GENERAL GUIDELINES FOR THE COMMISSION.** The Commission will have a second reading of Commission Policy 1, General Guidelines for the Commission, and may take action to repeal, revise or adopt the policy. *(Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 7A)*

No public comment.

MOTION by Porter/Chevallier to recommend the Commission approve the second reading of Commission Policy 1, General Guidelines for the Commission; carried with Turnipseed absent.

**5b. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON THE SECOND READING OF COMMISSION POLICY 10, HERITAGE TAGS AND VENDORS.** The Commission will have a second reading of Commission Policy 10, Heritage Tags and Vendors, and may take action to repeal, revise or adopt the policy. *(Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 7B)*

Member Porter called attention to the Procedure section of the policy, item 1, and suggested “whichever occurs first” be stricken because the “first meeting of the calendar year” is specifically called out in the language. He also pointed out that item 6 under the same section was stricken yet the numbering of the remaining items did not change. That formatting error should be corrected also.

No public comment.

MOTION by Porter/Chevallier to recommend the Commission approve the second reading of Commission Policy 10, Heritage Tags and Vendors with the stated language and formatting changes; carried with Turnipseed absent.

**5c. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON THE FIRST READING OF COMMISSION POLICY 31, LAHONTAN CUTTHROAT TROUT MANAGEMENT** The Commission will have a first reading of Commission Policy 31, Lahontan Cutthroat Trout Management, and may take action to repeal or revise the policy. The Commission may advance the policy to a second reading for possible adoption at a future meeting. *(Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 7C)*

Chairman Burnside said this is a language and grammar cleanup in the existing policy.

The members discussed the changes to Nevada Department of Wildlife's website and the difficulty in finding and reading the meeting and supporting materials. Accessing agenda items on the previous website was much easier and more user friendly.

Member Chevallier believes a public body has a legal responsibility to provide information regarding decision making on the public record. It should be easy and accessible to the public. The new website is difficult to navigate to the Commission's link and the supplemental material. The support material is not broken down by agenda item and that makes it very cumbersome. The public outreach is terrible.

Member Porter called out a typo in item 5, line 3. It reads "toprotect" and should read "to protect."

No public comment.

MOTION by Porter/Chevallier to recommend the Commission approve the first reading of Commission Policy 31, Lahontan Cutthroat Trout Management with the correction to the stated typo; carried with Turnipseed absent.

**5d. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON THE FIRST READING OF COMMISSION POLICY 33, FISHERIES MANAGEMENT PROGRAM.** The Commission will have a first reading of Commission Policy 33, Fisheries Management Program, and may take action to repeal or revise the policy. The Commission may advance the policy to a second reading for possible adoption at a future meeting. *(Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 7D)*

No public comment.

MOTION by Porter/Chevallier to recommend the Commission approve the first reading of Commission Policy 33, Fisheries Management Program; carried with Turnipseed absent.

**5e. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON THE FIRST READING OF COMMISSION POLICY 63, PROTECTING WILDLIFE FROM TOXIC PONDS.** The Commission will have a first reading of Commission Policy 63, Protecting Wildlife from Toxic Ponds, and may take action to repeal or revise the policy. The Commission may advance the policy to a second reading for possible adoption at a future meeting. *(Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 7E)*

Member Porter submitted a rewrite to items 2, 3, 4, and 5 as he feels their language is redundant and inconsistent. He, as a private person, will submit them directly to the Department.

No public comment.

MOTION by Burnside/Porter to recommend the Commission approve the first reading of Commission Policy 63, Protecting Wildlife from Toxic Ponds; carried with Turnipseed absent.

**5f. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON THE FIRST READING OF COMMISSION POLICY 64, INPUT ON LAND, SALES, TRANSFERS, AND EXCHANGES.** The Commission will have a first reading of Commission Policy 64, Input on Land, Sales, Transfers, and Exchanges, and may take action to repeal or revise the policy. The Commission may advance the policy to a second reading for possible adoption at a future meeting. *(Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 7F)*

No public comment.

MOTION Porter/Chevallier to recommend the Commission approve the first reading of Commission Policy 64, Input on Land, Sales, Transfers, and Exchanges; carried with Turnipseed absent.

**5g. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON THE FIRST READING OF COMMISSION POLICY 67, FEDERAL HORSES AND BURROS.** The Commission will have a first reading of Commission Policy 67, Federal Horses and Burros, and may take action to repeal or revise the policy. The Commission may advance the policy to a second reading for possible adoption at a future meeting. *(Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 7G)*

Chairman Burnside summarized the policy as the Board of Wildlife Commissioners showing support to Path Forward, which tells Congress to make the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) start following the direction of the 1971 Wild Horse and Burro Act.

#### PUBLIC COMMENT

Carlo Luri, representing the Bently Family, believes the policy is misguided. One of the biases in the policy is referring to the horses as “feral” but these horses are born, live, and die in the wild. There is evidence in fossil records that the horses are related to the horses that were here many of thousands of years before the Spaniards introduced them.

The BLM studies dictate that the Fish Springs herd should only be 20 horses, which is not a viable genetic population for that herd. Their science is not absolute, and that area can easily support more than 20 wild horses. It seems like a good policy but the way the BLM currently interprets the Wild Horse and Burro Act and their actions in trying to enforce it do not agree with the science.

Removal of the horses does not solve the problem. Currently there are 50,000 horses in federal captivity and the taxpayers are burdened with feeding them. There are not enough people to adopt these horses. Also removing horses will cause the remaining horses to breed more aggressively.

The policy does not recognize or support work being done by volunteers. The volunteers in the Pine Nuts are darting the mares with fertility control to help manage reproduction of the horses. The BLM should be supporting these fertility control programs and prioritizing it over removal and inventory of the horses.

The Bently family has proposed to develop some water sources on historical stock ponds in the Pine Nuts. The state water engineer will approve it if BLM signs off on those water sources, but BLM is opposing it because they do not support horses being there. Those water sources are on private land using private water rights. The horses are part of our cultural heritage and should be managed at appropriate levels just as is done with other wildlife.

What are the appropriate management levels and how are they determined? What are the resources of the range? Is the situation as dire as being presented? The policy does not address these questions so he cannot support it the way it is written.

Public comment closed.

Chairman Burnside pointed out the Department of Wildlife does not have any say in how the federal government manages the horses. This policy is urging the federal government to get horse numbers down to the appropriate management levels determined in 1971.

Member Chevallier believes there is power in collaboration and consensus building. He understands all sides of this issue. All animals on the natural environment, from predator to prey, need to be managed. He supports sound management practices based on science.

MOTION by Porter/Burnside to recommend the Commission approve the first reading of Commission Policy 67, Federal Horses and Burros; carried with Turnipseed absent.

**5h. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON COMMISSION GENERAL REGULATION 504, E-TAG REGULATION.** The Commission will review language amending NAC 502 that would allow the Department to offer game tags in an electronic format, as well as, utilize and validate tags in the field on an electronic device. *(This item is agendized as a workshop on the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners' Meeting) (Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 8A)*

Member Porter summarized the intent of this item.

No public comment.

MOTION by Porter/Chevallier to recommend the Commission approve Commission General Regulation 504, E-Tag Regulation; carried with Turnipseed absent.

*Items 5i, 5j, and 5k were read into the record and considered with one discussion.*

**5I. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON THE POSSIBLE ADOPTION OF COMMISSION GENERAL REGULATION 495, LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL BUREAU (LCB) FILE R176-20, NEVADA ADMINISTRATIVE CODE (NAC) 502 SIMPLIFICATION.** The Commission will consider adopting changes to NAC 502 recommended by the Regulation Simplification Committee. This regulation was considered during a workshop at the September and November 2020 and January 2021 meetings. *(Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 9A)*

No public comment.

MOTION by Porter/Chevallier to recommend the Commission approve the adoption of Commission General Regulation 495, Legislative Counsel Bureau File R176-20, Nevada Administrative Code 502 Simplification; carried with Turnipseed absent.

**5j. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON THE POSSIBLE ADOPTION OF COMMISSION GENERAL REGULATION 496, LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL BUREAU (LCB) FILE R009-21, NEVADA ADMINISTRATIVE CODE (NAC) 503 SIMPLIFICATION.** The Commission will consider adopting changes to NAC 503 recommended by the Regulation Simplification Committee. This regulation was considered during a workshop at the November 2020 and January and March 2021 meetings. *(Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 9B)*

No public comment.

MOTION by Porter/Chevallier to recommend the Commission approve the adoption of Commission General Regulation 496, Legislative Counsel Bureau File R009-21, Nevada Administrative Code 503 Simplification; carried with Turnipseed absent.

**5k. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON THE POSSIBLE ADOPTION OF COMMISSION GENERAL REGULATION 497, LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL BUREAU (LCB) FILE R006-21, NEVADA ADMINISTRATIVE CODE (NAC) 502 SIMPLIFICATION.** The Commission will consider adopting changes to NAC 504 recommended by the Regulation Simplification Committee. This regulation was considered during a workshop at the January 2021 meeting. *(Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 9C)*

No public comment.

MOTION by Porter/Chevallier to recommend the Commission approve the adoption of Commission General Regulation 497, Legislative Counsel Bureau File R006-21, Nevada Administrative Code 502 Simplification; carried with Turnipseed absent.

**5I. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON THE POSSIBLE ADOPTION OF COMMISSION REGULATION 21-07 AMENDMENT #2, 2022 HERITAGE TAG**

**BIGHORN SHEEP UNIT CLOSURES.** The Commission will consider adopting a regulation to amend the bighorn sheep unit closures for the 2022 Heritage Tags. *(Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 11A)*

Chairman Burnside reviewed the recommendations and the removal of unit restrictions.

No public comment.

MOTION by Chevallier/Burnside to recommend the Commission adopt Commission Regulation 21-07 Amendment #2, 2022 Heritage Tag Bighorn Sheep Unit Closures; carried with Turnipseed absent.

**5m. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON THE POSSIBLE ADOPTION OF COMMISSION REGULATION 22-01, 2022 BIG GAME APPLICATION DEADLINES.** The Commission will consider adopting regulation to set the 2022 big game tag application deadlines and related information. *(Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 11B)*

The members reviewed the proposed new language relating to the big game main draw order, bonus point period, and application deadlines.

No public comment.

MOTION by Chevallier/Porter to recommend the Commission adopt Commission Regulation 22-01, Big Game Application Deadlines; carried with Turnipseed absent.

**5n. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON THE POSSIBLE ADOPTION OF COMMISSION REGULATION 22-02, 2022 BIG TAG APPLICATION ELIGIBILITY AND TAG LIMITS.** The Commission will consider adopting regulation to set the 2022 big game tag application eligibility and tag limits and related information. *(Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 11C)*

The members reviewed and discussed the establishment of the ram bighorn sheep hunt.

No public comment.

MOTION by Porter/Chevallier to recommend the Commission adopt Commission Regulation 22-02, 2022 Big Game Tag Application Eligibility and Tag Limits; carried with Turnipseed absent.

**5o. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON THE POSSIBLE ADOPTION OF COMMISSION REGULATION 22-03, 2022 DREAM TAG.** The Commission will consider adopting a regulation to set the 2022 Dream Tag species, seasons, and quota. *(Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 11D)*

Chairman Burnside reviewed the unit closures for Nelson and California Bighorn Sheep. New language was added to the Dream Tag Rocky Mountain Elk season.

The members recalled Bob Cook's efforts to have a Black Bear tag incorporated in the Dream Tag and pointed out its continued inclusion.

No public comment.

MOTION by Porter/Chevallier to recommend the Commission adopt Commission Regulation 22-03, 2022 Dream Tag; carried with Turnipseed absent.

**5p. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON THE POSSIBLE ADOPTION OF COMMISSION REGULATION 22-04, 2022 PARTNERSHIP IN WILDLIFE TAGS.** The Commission will consider adopting a regulation to set the 2022 Partnership in Wildlife tags hunt species, seasons, and quota. *(Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 11E)*

Chairman Burnside stated the same unit closures recommended for the Dream Tag for the Nelson Bighorn Sheep and the same new language added for the Rocky Mountain Elk are being recommended for the Partnership in Wildlife Tags.

Member Porter noticed there could be four tags for Bighorn Sheep but the Department is only recommending one tag. He wondered what the reasoning behind the recommendation is.

Chairman Burnside thought statutorily they could have four tags but the numbers of tags they are giving out this year does not support that.

No public comment.

MOTION by Chevallier/Porter to recommend the Commission adopt Commission Regulation 22-04, 2022 Partnership in Wildlife Tags; carried with Turnipseed absent.

**5q. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON THE POSSIBLE ADOPTION OF COMMISSION REGULATION 22-05, 2023 HERITAGE TAG SEASONS & QUOTA.** The Commission will consider adopting a regulation to set the 2023 Heritage Tag species, seasons, and quota. (*Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 11F*)

The members reviewed the regulation and noted no changes from the previous year.

No public comment.

MOTION by Chevallier/Porter to recommend the Commission adopt Commission Regulation 22-05, 2023 Heritage Tag Seasons and Quota; carried with Turnipseed absent.

**5r. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON THE POSSIBLE ADOPTION OF COMMISSION REGULATION 22-06, 2022 SILVER STATE SEASONS & QUOTAS.** The Commission will consider adopting a regulation to set the 2022 Silver State tag species, seasons, and quota. (*Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 11G*)

The members reviewed the recommendations and commented on the confusion in the support material, specifically between the recommended quotas and the Bighorn Sheep recommendation.

No public comment.

MOTION by Burnside/Chevallier to recommend the Commission adopt Commission Regulation 22-06, 2022 Silver State Seasons and Quotas; carried with Turnipseed absent.

**5s. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON THE POSSIBLE ADOPTION OF COMMISSION REGULATION 21-03 AMENDMENT 1, 2022-2023 BIG GAME SEASONS.** The Commission will consider adopting proposed changes for the 2022-2023 hunting seasons and dates for mule deer, pronghorn antelope, elk, bighorn sheep, and mountain goat, including limits, hunting hours, special hunt eligibility, animal sex, physical characteristics, hunt boundary restrictions, legal weapon requirements, and emergency depredation hunt structure and statewide quota. (*Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 11H*)

While the summary states “specific rationales for changes will be provided”, Chairman Burnside stated it was not done for most of the recommendations.

The members continued to review each of the changes and felt there were too many unknown details to make a decision on this regulation.

Chairman Burnside stated he may have been able to support the Department recommendations if he understood why they were closing some of the antelope hunts.

No public comment.

The consensus of the Board was to take no position on this item.

**5t. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON THE POSSIBLE ADOPTION OF COMMISSION REGULATION 22-09, BLACK BEAR SEASONS.** The Commission will consider adopting the 2022 hunting season dates, open management units, hunting hours, special regulations, animal sex. Legal weapon requirements, hunt boundary restrictions, and dates and times for indoctrination courses for black bear. *(Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 11I)*

No public comment.

MOTION by Chevallier/Porter to recommend the Commission add the Lake Tahoe Basin as a huntable area for Units 192/194 and adopt Commission Regulation 22-09, Black Bear Seasons; carried with Turnipseed absent.

**5u. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON THE POSSIBLE ADOPTION OF COMMISSION REGULATION 22-08, MOUNTAIN LION SEASONS AND HARVEST LIMITS.** The Commission will consider adopting the 2022-2023 mountain lion hunting season open units, harvest limits by unit group, hunting hours, and special regulations. *(Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 11J)*

The members noted no changes in the regulation from the previous year.

No public comment.

MOTION by Chevallier/Porter to recommend the Commission adopt Commission Regulation 22-08, Mountain Lion Seasons and Harvest Limits; carried with Turnipseed absent.

**5v. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON THE POSSIBLE ADOPTION OF COMMISSION REGULATION 22-07, 2022-2023 RESTRICTED NONRESIDENT GUIDED MULE DEER SEASONS AND QUOTAS.** The Commission will consider adopting the 2022-2023 hunting seasons and quotas for restricted nonresident guided mule deer including hunt boundary restrictions. *(Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 11K)*

Chairman Burnside touched on the statutory requirement to set aside a certain percentage of restricted nonresident guided hunts.

No public comment.

MOTION by Porter/Chevallier to recommend the Commission adopt Commission Regulation 22-07, 2022-2023 Restricted Nonresident Guided Mule Deer Seasons and Quotas; carried with Turnipseed absent.

**5w. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON THE DRAFT FISCAL YEAR 2023 PREDATION MANAGEMENT PLAN.** The draft Fiscal Year 2023 Predation Management Plan will be presented to the Commission for initial review. Following this review, the draft plan will be updated and shared with the State Predatory Animal and Rodent Committee (PARC). All comments from the PARC, County Advisory Boards to Manage Wildlife, and any other interested entity will be compiled and shared with the Wildlife Damage Management Committee (WDMC) for their consideration at their March 2022 meeting. The Commission will review and update at the March 2022 meeting from the Wildlife Damage Management Committee and may provide additional direction at that time. *(Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 12)*

Chairman Burnside said adequate funding was available to fund the new projects and the existing projects being proposed for renewal.

No public comment.

MOTION by Burnside/Chevallier to recommend the Commission accept the first draft fiscal year 2023 Predation Management Plan; carried with Turnipseed absent.

**6. FOR DISCUSSION ONLY. CORRESPONDENCE OR COMMUNICATIONS BOARD MEMBERS HAVE RECEIVED.**

Member Chevallier reported communication from Carlo Luri regarding information on this meeting's date, time, and location.

No other correspondence was reported.

**7. FOR DISCUSSION ONLY. DISCUSSION REGARDING ADVISORY BOARD MEMBER COMMITMENTS TO ATTEND UPCOMING WILDLIFE COMMISSION MEETINGS AND TO REPRESENT THE FINDINGS OF THE DOUGLAS COUNTY WILDLIFE ADVISORY BOARD. ONE MEMBER WILL BE DESIGNATED AS A SPOKESMAN FOR THE DOUGLAS COUNTY ADVISORY BOARD.**

Member Chevallier will attend and serve as the spokesperson.

**8. FOR DISCUSSION ONLY. DISCUSSION TO SCHEDULE THE NEXT DOUGLAS COUNTY WILDLIFE ADVISORY BOARD MEETING.** The next Commission meeting is scheduled for January 28 and 29, 2022. The Commission will review and discuss potential agenda items for that meeting. The Commission may change Commission meeting dates, times, and locations at this time. The chairman may designate and adjust committee assignments and add or dissolve committees, as necessary. Any anticipated committee meetings that may occur prior to the next Commission meeting may be discussed.

The next Douglas County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife meeting is tentatively scheduled for Tuesday, March 15, 2022.

**9. FOR DISCUSSION ONLY. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS.**

- Results of the November 5, 2021 Wildlife Commission meeting.

**CLOSING PUBLIC COMMENT.**

There was no closing public comment.

## **ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business to come before the Douglas County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife, the meeting adjourned at 7:07 p.m.

The minutes of the January 25, 2022 meeting of the Douglas County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife are so approved this 15<sup>th</sup> day of March 2022

Respectfully submitted:

---

Craig Burnside, Chairman