

Habitat Projects

MDEP Subcommittee:	Hunt Unit Group:					
Project Title:	Project Location:					
Brief Description of Project: <i>Include any development plans such as vegetation removal, planting, seeding, or installation of structures; also include the schedule for obtaining any necessary permits, completing NEPA compliance, etc.:</i>						Score
Limiting Factor Score: <i>Use subcommittee cumulative score from Limiting Factor Score Form</i>	<i>Maximum of 5 points possible</i>					
Unit Group 5-Year Published Deer Population Trend:	2017:	2018:	2019:	2020:	2021:	
	<i>Decreasing = 5 pts</i>		<i>Stable = 3 pts</i>		<i>Increasing = 1 pt</i>	
Does this project directly address factors limiting healthy mule deer populations?	<i>Yes = 10 pts</i>			<i>No = 0 pts</i>		
<i>How will project address limiting factors?</i>						
Does this project protect, maintain or strategically restore statewide priority mule deer habitat, enhance critical habitat or a critical life stage for mule deer? <i>Score using the highest ranking criteria</i>	<i>High priority (Critical Mule Deer Seasonal Range or Migration Route) = 10 points</i> <i>Moderate priority (High elev. summer range, PJ encroached shrub community, winter range) = 5 points</i> <i>Low priority (salt desert shrub or low density mule deer habitats) = 1 pt</i>					
<i>Provide added details:</i>						
Is this mule deer habitat restoration or improvement of a long-term nature? <i>Does the project involve habitat trend and condition through restoration and improvement of a long-term or permanent nature? Projects of this nature are known to have long-term benefits with demonstrated history of past successes</i>	<i>10+ years = 10 points</i>		<i>3-10 years = 5 points</i>			
Project Scale and Implications: <i>Is the size or magnitude of the project, relative to the habitat type or Mule Deer distribution, impactful? Does the project convey a large conservation benefit to important or critical habitat for Mule Deer? For instance, does a riparian project have a meaningful impact across multiple reaches within a watershed or would a seeding project address a large extent or important critical habitat?</i>	<i>High impact = 10 pts</i>	<i>Moderate impact = 5 pts</i>	<i>Low impact = 1 point</i>			
Does the project build upon existing project work?	<i>Yes = 5 pts</i>			<i>No = 0 pts</i>		
<i>Describe existing or past projects:</i>						

Habitat Projects

<p>Timely Completion: <i>Needed permitting, authority, and mechanisms are completed or in process and does the project have a high probability of being completed on-time:</i> <i>-NEPA analysis or other statutory compliance is completed or not needed</i> <i>-Permits are completed or not needed</i> <i>-Contract mechanisms to support the work are in place or not needed</i></p>	<p>Timely completion (12 months) = 5 pts Extended completion (24 months) = 1 pt</p>	
<p>Urgency: <i>(Is the project urgent due to a narrow biological window that requires immediate attention and funding to address resource degradation or deterioration?)</i></p>	<p>Yes = 5 pts No = 0 pts</p>	
<p>Provide added details:</p>		
<p>Likelihood of Success: <i>What is the likelihood of successful completion and successful outcomes? Do the individuals and organizations involved possess the capability, experience, and proven methodology needed for implementation? Is the proposal supported by sound and established scientific or biological principals? Project objectives are realistic, measurable, and achievable with clearly defined methods</i></p>	<p>High likelihood= 15 points Moderate = 10 points Low = 1 point</p>	
<p>Provide added details:</p>		
<p>Partner Funding: <i>Does the project leverage funding or in-kind contributions by external partners and by how much?</i></p>	<p>>3x match = 10 pts 1.5-2.9 match = 7 pts 0.75-1.49 = 3 pts 0.1-.74 = 1 pt</p>	
<p><i>(List amounts and sources if possible)</i></p>	<p>Amount: \$ Source: Amount: \$ Source:</p>	
<p>Cost Effectiveness: <i>Are the expected results worth the cost of the project?</i></p>	<p>Very cost-effective = 10 pts Moderately cost-effective = 5 pts Minimally cost-effective = 1 pt</p>	
<p>Provide added details:</p>		
<p>Amount Requested:</p>	<p>\$</p>	
<p>Total Project Score (100 possible points)</p>	<p>Sum of Scores</p>	

Habitat Projects

Project Narrative: *Be specific to the needs and issues associated with mule deer and/or habitat and your technical approach to addressing the issue. Identify potential benefits to mule deer and other wildlife. Describe if the project is on public or private land and any private landowner permissions. Please describe any NEPA permitting requirements if on public land and when NEPA completion is expected. Also provide a tentative project schedule of major tasks. If your project is associated with water rights (e.g. spring fencing project) please discuss the status of permissions to complete the project with water rights holders.*