

DOUGLAS COUNTY ADVISORY BOARD TO MANAGE WILDLIFE  
Minutes of the June 23, 2020 Meeting

**Pursuant to Governor Sisolak’s March 22, 2020 Declaration of Emergency Directive 006, the requirement contained in NRS 241.023(1)(b) that there be a physical location designated for meetings of public bodies where members of the public are permitted to attend and participate is suspended in order to mitigate the possible exposure or transmission of COVID-19 (Coronavirus).**

- Public comment will be accepted prior to the Douglas County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife meeting. To offer public comment before the Board meeting, members of the public may submit public comments by email to: [lkabat@douglasnv.us](mailto:lkabat@douglasnv.us). Please be sure to state your name, item number, and your position on the item.
- Written public comments may also be mailed to the attention of the Recording Secretary, Lorraine Kabat, P O Box 218, Minden, Nevada 89423, but all public comments must be received prior to the date of the meeting if the comments are to be included in the supplemental materials.
- All written public comments that are received prior to 4:00 PM the day before the Douglas County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife meeting will be compiled and will be added as supplemental material for the Douglas County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife members and the public to review prior to the meeting.
- Any written public comment received the day of the Douglas County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife meeting will be compiled and added as supplemental materials to the County’s website and distributed to the Douglas County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife members within 24 hours after the meeting.
- Members of the public needing assistance with making public comment can call Lorraine Kabat at 775-782-0029.

**MEMBERS PRESENT:** Craig Burnside, Chairman  
Bob Cook, Vice Chairman  
Robert Rittenhouse

**MEMBERS ABSENT:** Mike Turnipseed  
JT Chevallier

**OTHERS PRESENT:** Deanna Ackerman, Nevada Department of Wildlife Game Warden  
Carl Lackey, Nevada Department of Wildlife Biologist

**CALL TO ORDER**

Chairman Burnside called the meeting to order at 5:33 pm and determined a quorum was present. Noted was the absence of members J T Chevallier and Mike Turnipseed.

**PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE** – Chairman Burnside led the Pledge.

## **ROLL CALL AND INTRODUCTIONS**

Chairman Burnside noted the absence of members Chevallier and Turnipseed. He welcomed Carl Lackey, Nevada Department of Wildlife Biologist, and Deanna Ackerman, Nevada Department of Wildlife Game Warden.

## **PUBLIC COMMENT**

**Public comment submitted prior to the Douglas County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife meeting have been compiled and made a part of the supporting materials that have been provided to the Douglas County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife Board members and public for review.**

No public comment was submitted prior to or after the meeting.

## **FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. APPROVAL OF AGENDA**

MOTION by Rittenhouse/Cook to approve the agenda as presented; carried with Turnipseed and Chevallier absent.

## **FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. APPROVAL OF MINUTES:**

- **April 28, 2020**

MOTION by Rittenhouse/Cook to approve the minutes as presented; carried with Turnipseed and Chevallier absent.

### **1. DISCUSSION ON THE RESULTS OF THE MAY 1 & 2, 2020 NEVADA BOARD OF WILDLIFE COMMISSION MEETINGS. There will be no action taken.**

Chairman Burnside followed the Commission meeting and reviewed the minutes. He talked about the minor changes made to the quota setting recommendations noting the Commission supported the Department's proposals instead of following Advisory Board input, which was a good decision because the Department's recommendations are based on data and science instead of opinions.

This was a discussion only.

### **2. The following items, 2a through 2s, are items that will be heard before the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners at the next meetings, May 1 & 2, 2020, at the Pitchfork Ranch at Walker River State Park, 211 E. Walker Road, Yerington, Nevada. The Douglas County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife may take the following action, or a variation thereof, on each item: support the item, not support the item or not take a position on the item. Public Comment will be allowed on each item.**

### **2a–2s. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING WHETHER THE DOUGLAS COUNTY ADVISORY BOARD SHOULD TAKE A POSITION ON:**

**2a. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON COMMISSION GENERAL REGULATION 490, PARTY BONUS POINTS AND FIRST COME FIRST SERVE, LCB FILE NO. 103-19.** The Commission will hold a second workshop to consider a regulation relating to amending Chapter 502 of the Nevada Administrative Code (NAC). This regulation would allow children under 12 to purchase a bonus point if they turn 12 before the first day of the last season for that species. The regulation also addresses bonus points for sportsmen who apply as a party. Lastly, the regulation would allow a first-come-first-serve opportunity for returned tags that miss the 14-day deadline. *(This item is agendaized as a workshop on the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners' agenda) (Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 7A)*

Member Rittenhouse feels this is a complex issue as it relates to youth bonus points; he hopes Kalkomey maintains good records.

Chairman Burnside recapped how the youth bonus points are accrued. Regarding first come first serve awarding of tags, he was unsure how the Department plans to notify applicants that tags are available, and he try to learn more about that during the Commission meetings.

The Board decided not to take any action until this comes back as a proposed regulation since it is a workshop only at this point.

**2b. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON COMMISSION GENERAL REGULATION 491, NOTIFICATION OF DRAW RESULTS.** The Commission will hold a workshop to consider a regulation amending Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) NAC 502.4208 relating to providing notification of draw results to clients who have opted out of having their results made public. *(This item is agendaized as a workshop on the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners' agenda) (Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 7B)*

Chairman Burnside talked about the lack of notification of draw results his family experienced. He had to look at his Kalkomey account to determine whether or not they received tags.

Vice Chairman Cook stated he was notified by email that he was successful shortly after the draw.

Member Rittenhouse feels there should be a better notification process.

The Board decided not to take any action until this comes back as a proposed regulation since it is a workshop only at this point.

**2c. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON COMMISSION GENERAL REGULATION 492, THERMAL IMAGING OPTICS.** The Commission will hold a workshop to consider a regulation to consider amending Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) 503 and NAC 503.1485 relating to wildlife; prohibiting the use of certain night-vision equipment and devices for locating, hunting and taking game mammals and game birds; revising the definition of "trail camera or similar device"; and providing other matters properly relating thereto. *(This item is agendaized as a workshop on the Nevada Board of Wildlife Commissioners' agenda) (Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 7C)*

Member Rittenhouse asked if certain features, such as the red dot finder which is run by a light and a battery, would be allowed on hunting scopes.

Chairman Burnside thought that would be excluded from this regulation. The Department is trying to eliminate night vision optics.

Deanna Ackerman, Nevada Department of Wildlife Game Warden, clarified this language does not prohibit the feature Member Rittenhouse is referring to; it is certain night vision equipment only.

MOTION by Burnside/Cook to recommend the Commission support Commission General Regulation 492, Thermal Imaging Optics, as written; carried with Turnipseed and Chevallier absent.

**2d. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON MANDATORY INDOCTRINATION/SEMINAR FOR BIGHORN RAM TAG HOLDERS.** Game Division Administrator Mike Scott will provide a presentation on mandatory indoctrination/ seminar for bighorn ram tag holders. The Commission may take action to require bighorn ram tag holders to complete an indoctrination class/seminar. *(Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 8)*

The members support having mandatory seminars.

Member Rittenhouse expressed hunter safety concerns with allowing these seminars online since in person training is so much more in depth. The person behind the trigger should be required to complete the class, whether or not that person has hired a hunting guide.

Chairman Burnside believes these courses will help educate a hunter determine which rams can and should be harvested.

Deanna Ackerman, Nevada Department of Wildlife Game Warden, wants to make these seminars mandatory to help eliminate violations. She supports having the person doing the shooting completing the class.

Member Rittenhouse can support online classes during the COVID restrictions but, in the future, he would like to see in person classes be required instead of shortcutting the class by allowing it online. Anyone with this type of tag should attend an in-person class to get as much information as possible on how to judge the ram to get the best animal possible.

Carl Lackey, Nevada Department of Wildlife Biologist, advised that the Black Bear seminar may be an online course, but he prefers one on one interactions because a hunter can learn a lot from a biologist. Online seminars are fine for the current situation, but he hopes they are not permanent.

Ms. Ackerman believes the Department strives to make online seminars the most educational they can be. She supports mandatory training because of the number of violations that occur each year and the course would help address some of the reoccurring issues.

MOTION by Cook/Rittenhouse to recommend the Commission take action to require bighorn ram tag holders to complete an indoctrination class/seminar; carried with Turnipseed and Chevallier absent.

Member Rittenhouse talked about the value of learning from a biologist as opposed to doing the course online.

**2e. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON COMMISSION REGULATION 20-04 AMENDMENT #1, HERITAGE TAG VENDOR PROPOSALS.** The Commission will review the Wildlife Heritage Committee's recommendations regarding Heritage Tag Vendor proposals for Fiscal Year 2021 and may take action on the proposals. *(Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 9B)*

The members felt they did not want to take any action on this item.

**2f. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON HERITAGE PROJECT EXTENSION REQUESTS.** The Commission will review Committee recommendations and may approve extension requests from projects approved in previous fiscal years. \*Note: Support material

**for this agenda item will be provided one to two weeks in advance of the Commission meeting. (*Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 9C*)**

The members noted the Heritage Committee will meet prior to the Commission meeting and will present the Committee's recommendations at the Commission meeting.

Chairman Burnside felt comfortable supporting the Heritage Committee's decision on project extensions.

No action was taken.

**2g. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON HERITAGE FUNDING REALLOCATION.** The Commission will review Committee recommendations and may approve reallocation of any unused Heritage funds from previously approved projects to other previously approved projects from the same fiscal year. \*Note: Support material for this agenda item will be provided one to two weeks in advance of the Commission meeting. (*Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 9D*)

The members noted the Heritage Committee will meet prior to the Commission meeting and will present their recommendations at the Commission meeting. There is not enough background information on the projects to make a decision at this time.

No action was taken.

**2h. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON FISCAL YEAR 2021 HERITAGE PROJECT PROPOSALS.** The Commission will hear recommendations from the Committee and may take action to approve up to \$1,268,276.61 for projects submitted for FY 2021 funding from the Wildlife Heritage account. The preliminary funding recommendations from the Committee are listed below and may be approved by the Commission. These recommendations may change at the 8:00 a.m., June 21, 2019 Heritage Committee meeting. (*Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 9E*)

- Bighorn Sheep and Mountain Goat Capture, Transplant and Monitoring – Project # 20-01 (\$68,900)
- Rocky Mountain Elk Population Monitoring and Harvest Validation – Project # 21-02 (\$61,000)
- Marlette Lake Broodstock Facilities/Passage Improvement Project, Phase 2 – Project # 21-03 (\$100,000)
- Wildfire Related Restoration and Seed Purchase – Project #21-04 (\$100,000)
- Desert Creek Conservation Easement – Project # 21-05 (\$100,000)
- Middle Rock Creek Habitat Improvement – Project # 21-06 (\$125,000)
- Continued Monitoring of Moose Expansion in Nevada – Project # 21-07 (\$20,000)
- Snake Range Aspen Habitat Restoration – Project # 21-08 (\$30,000)
- Toano Mountain Restoration – Project #21-09 (\$66,000)
- Egan and Johnson Basin Restoration – Project #21-10 (\$75,000)
- Area 10 Mule Deer Migration Corridor Habitat Enhancement – Project # 21-11 (\$50,000)
- Toiyabe PMU (Bates, Hickison, and Wolf Ranches) Pinyon Juniper Thinning – Project # 21-12 (\$75,000)
- SE Schell Habitat Enhancement – Project # 21-13 (\$75,000)
- Enhancement of Crucial Habitat for Antelope and Mule Deer in Washoe County, Nevada – Project # 21-14 (\$100,000)

- **A New Population Model for Antelope to Improve Accuracy, Identify Limiting Factors, and Improve Management Decisions – Project # 21-15 (\$37,500)**
- **Investigating Potential Limiting Factors Impacting Mule Deer in Northwest Nevada – Project # 21-16 (\$65,000)**
- **East Walker River Fish Habitat Enhancement – Project # 21-17 (\$11,191)**
- **The Interaction Between Restoration, Foraging Ecology, and Mating Behavior in Greater Sage-grouse – Project # 21-18 (\$65,855)**
- **Survey and Maintenance of Existing Big Game Water Developments – Project # 21-19 (\$36,000)**
- **Diet Quality and Composition of Mule Deer in the Toiyabe Mountains Following Removal of Pinyon-Juniper – Project # 21-20 (\$32,000)**
- **Cave Valley Collaboration – Project # 21-21 (\$50,000)**
- **\*\*Dissemination of Results from Delineation of Lambing Habitat, Population demographics, Resource Selection, and Movement Patterns of Desert Bighorn Sheep – Project # 21-22 (\$46,000)**

**\*\*Not discussed by Heritage committee**

The members pointed out the project proposals do not exceed the available budget. However, there is not enough supporting material on the projects to make a decision at this time.

No action was taken.

**2i. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON DUCK STAMP REQUEST.** The Commission will review and may take action to approve up to \$50,100 for projects submitted for FY 2021 funding from the Duck Stamp account. The specific Duck Stamp projects that may be approved are listed below:

- **Ducks Unlimited Wetlands Conservation Support (\$10,000)**
- **Eastern WMA Complex Weed Control (\$10,000)**
- **Key Pittman WMA Wildlife Food Plots (\$2,600)**
- **Ducks Unlimited Wetland Enhancement Support at Mason Valley WMA (\$5,000)**
- **Mason Valley WMA Habitat Improvements (\$10,000)**
- **Mason Valley WMA Water Control Structure Replacement (\$12,500)**

***(Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 10)***

Chairman Burnside supported funding all the projects since the budget would allow that. He questioned why the application for the Ducks Unlimited project in the Mason Valley did not show a contribution from them.

MOTION by Burnside/Cook to recommend the Commission approve all Duck Stamp requests for 2021; carried with Turnipseed and Chevallier absent.

**2j. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON COMMISSION REGULATION 20-12, 2020-2021 UPLAND GAME AND FURBEARER SEASONS AND BAG LIMITS.** The Commission will consider and may vote to amend regulations for upland game birds and mammals as well as furbearers for the 2020 season. This regulation will also include fall wild turkey seasons for 2020 and spring wild turkey seasons for 2021. ***(Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 16)***

Chairman Burnside wondered if the lack of a turkey hunt for Douglas County was due to the movement of the birds or lack of cooperation by the landowner. He supports the proposals as presented.

Carl Lackey, Nevada Department of Wildlife Biologist, answered it was a combination of both. He highlighted the changes to the bobcat season.

Member Rittenhouse wondered if a person should get the landowner's permission before applying for a turkey tag and if there was any charge by the landowner.

Mr. Lackey stated it was dependent on the individual landowner and they do have the right to charge a trespass fee.

MOTION by Burnside/Cook to recommend the Commission approve the 2020-2021 Upland Game and Furbearer Seasons and Bag Limits as presented; carried with Turnipseed and Chevallier absent.

**2k. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON UPLAND GAME BIRD STAMP REQUEST.** The Commission will review and may take action to approve up to \$264,840 for projects submitted for FY 2021 funding from the Upland Game Bird Stamp account. The specific Upland Game Bird Stamp projects that may be approved are listed below:

- Bi-State Sage-grouse Coordinator (\$5,000)
- Dusky Grouse Ecology and Management in Nevada (\$22,003)
- Eastern Complex WMA Weed Control (\$10,000)
- Estimating Greater Sage-grouse Vital Rates within Nevada's Novel Habitats (\$21,250)
- Estimating the Effects of Large Ungulate Grazing on Greater Sage-grouse in Northwestern Nevada (\$18,397)
- Greater Sage-grouse Statewide Monitoring (\$47,640)
- Key Pittman WMA Wildlife Food Plots (\$3,900)
- Monitoring Greater Sage-grouse Response to the Martin Fire (\$12,750)
- Monitoring the Effects of Landscape Level Treatments on Greater Sage-grouse in the Desatoya Mountains (\$19,500)
- Soil Health Restoration on WMAs (\$10,000)
- Upland Game Translocation and Monitoring (\$12,400)
- Using Hunter and Population Survey Data to Investigate Drivers of Upland Game Bird Populations and Forecast Harvest in Nevada (\$24,600)
- Genette Creek Conservation Easement (\$30,000)
- Toiyabe PMU (Bakes, Hickison, and Wolf Ranch) pinyon Juniper Thinning (\$25,000)
- A Multi-scale Resilience-based Framework for Restoring and Conserving Great Basin Wet Meadows and Riparian Ecosystems (\$2,400)

***(Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 17)***

Member Rittenhouse wondered how many times the effects of large ungulate grazing is going to be studied; it seems to be studied repeatedly.

Chairman Burnside talked about the prevalence of livestock in the state of Nevada, which includes domestic and feral horses.

Member Rittenhouse believes the wild horses are not being managed as agreed on in the Wild Horse and Burro Act and that effects usable land.

Carl Lackey, Nevada Department of Wildlife Biologist, talked about the goal of keeping sage grouse off the threatened or endangered species list. The data gleaned from continued long-term studies can have a lot of impact toward that goal.

Member Rittenhouse agrees that constant monitoring helps collect data but there needs to be a quicker plan to use that data.

MOTION by Burnside/Rittenhouse to recommend the Commission approve the Upland Game Bird Stamp requests as received, which the budget allows for; carried with Turnipseed and Chevallier absent.

**2i. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON BIENNIAL UPLAND GAME RELEASE PLAN FOR FISCAL YEARS 2020 AND 2021, AMENDMENT 1.** The Commission will review and may take action to approve the Department's proposed biennial upland game release plan for fiscal years 2020 and 2021. *(Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 18)*

Member Rittenhouse indicated agreement because the distribution is based on scientific and logical decisions. Good planning is taking place.

Chairman Burnside reviewed the amendments.

MOTION by Burnside/Cook to recommend the Commission approve the Biennial Upland Game Release Plan, Amendment 1, for fiscal years 2020 and 2021; carried with Turnipseed and Chevallier absent.

**2m. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON THE UPDATE ON THE FALLON NAVAL RANGE AND TRAINING CENTER AND NEVADA TEST AND TRAINING RANGE.** The Department will provide an update regarding the legislative environmental impact statements status and timelines for Commission consideration of congressional correspondence relative to wildlife impacts. *(Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 19)*

An update will be provided at the Commission meeting so the members took no action.

**2n. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON THE POSSIBLE ADOPTION OF COMMISSION GENERAL REGULATION 485, TAG TRANSFER, DEFERENCE AND RETURN PROGRAM, LCB FILE NO. R022-19.** The Commission will consider adopting a regulation relating to amending Chapter 502 of the Nevada Administrative Code (NAC). This regulation would provide direction for allowing the transfer, deference or return of tags under certain extenuating circumstances after the passage of Assembly Bill 404 of the 80<sup>th</sup> Legislative Session. *(Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 20)*

It was noted that LCB has approved the language so this is finally ready for adoption.

MOTION by Burnside/Rittenhouse to recommend the Commission adopt Commission General Regulation 485, Tag Transfer, Deference, and Return Program; carried with Turnipseed and Chevallier absent.

**2o. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON THE REVIEW AND CONSIDERATION OF POSSIBLE CHANGES TO WATERFOWL HUNT ZONES FOR 2021-2025.** The Commission will consider recommendations and may choose to provide direction to the Department on possible changes to waterfowl hunting zones. The Pacific Flyway entertains changes to waterfowl hunting zones every five years, and potential changes must be noticed by July 1, 2020 to receive consideration. Any changes accepted by the Pacific Flyway will not take effect until the 2021 waterfowl seasons and would remain in effect until 2025. *(Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 21)*

Chairman Burnside reviewed the reconfiguration of the Northeast and South zones.



MOTION by Burnside/Rittenhouse to recommend the Commission make the proposed changes to the Waterfowl Hunt Zones for the 2021-2025 duck season; carried with Turnipseed and Chevallier absent.

**2p. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON THE FISCAL YEAR 2021 COUNTY ADVISORY BOARD BUDGET REQUESTS.** The Commission may approve an estimated amount of \$36,526 to be added to the reported cash balance on hand for County Advisory Boards, as requested, to Manage Wildlife budgets for fiscal year 2021. *(Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 23B)*

Chairman Burnside submitted a budget request for the Douglas County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife for \$5590.40.

This was a review of the submittal only and no action was taken.

**2q. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON THE LANDOWNER COMPENSATION TAG COMMITTEE REPORT.** A report will be provided on the Committee's recent meeting held on January 24, 2020. The Commission may take action to modify or endorse the protocol the Department will use in conducting counts for the Landowner Compensation Tag program. *(Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 23C)*

The members reviewed the combined counts of non-adjacent properties.

As this is only a report, no action was taken.

**2r. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON THE WILDLIFE DAMAGE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE REPORT AND FISCAL YEAR 2021 DRAFT PREDATION MANAGEMENT PLAN (FINAL DRAFT).** The Commission will hear a report from the Wildlife Damage Management Committee chairman and the Commission will review the final draft of the Fiscal Year 2021 Predator Management Plan with the Department. The Commission may take action to modify or endorse the plan. *(Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 23D)*

Vice Chairman Cook would like to see a longer-term plan instead of a yearly plan.

MOTION by Burnside/Cook to recommend the Commission approve the fiscal year 2021 Draft Predation Management Plan; carried with Turnipseed and Chevallier absent.

**2s. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION. DISCUSSION ON THE PETITION SUBMITTED BY MR. JOHN V. ZENZ ON BIG GAME TAG DRAWING CHANGES.** Mr. Zenz has submitted a petition to change the Big Game Tag Drawing to (1) give twenty-five percent of the overall tags to the max point holders; (2) reduce the number of choices to only three; and (3) allow only up to fifty percent of the tags in any unit to be issued to max point holders. The Commission may take action to deny or accept the petition and initiate rulemaking. *(Wildlife Commission Agenda Item 24)*

Chairman Burnside reviewed the crux of the petition and indicated he has mixed feelings about it. There is merit to some of it.

Vice Chairman Cook thinks what is being suggested is pretty aggressive but he likes it.

Member Rittenhouse believes it is fair but will be hard to manage.

Vice Chairman Cook would like to keep this moving forward to allow for more discussion.

MOTION by Cook/Rittenhouse to recommend the Commission accept the petition; carried with Turnipseed and Chevallier absent.

**3. FOR DISCUSSION ONLY. CORRESPONDENCE OR COMMUNICATIONS BOARD MEMBERS HAVE RECEIVED.**

Chairman Burnside received an email from Bob Pohlman on the Bistate Sage Grouse.

**4. FOR DISCUSSION ONLY. DISCUSSION REGARDING ADVISORY BOARD MEMBER COMMITMENTS TO ATTEND UPCOMING WILDLIFE COMMISSION MEETINGS AND TO REPRESENT THE FINDINGS OF THE DOUGLAS COUNTY WILDLIFE ADVISORY BOARD. ONE MEMBER WILL BE DESIGNATED AS A SPOKESMAN FOR THE DOUGLAS COUNTY ADVISORY BOARD.**

Chairman Burnside plans to attend the Yerington meeting.

**5. FOR DISCUSSION ONLY. DISCUSSION TO SCHEDULE THE NEXT DOUGLAS COUNTY WILDLIFE ADVISORY BOARD MEETING.** The next Commission meeting is scheduled for August 14 and 15, 2020, in Elko. The Commission will review and discuss potential agenda items for that meeting. The Commission may change the time and meeting location at this time. The chairman may designate and adjust committee assignments as necessary at this meeting.

The next Douglas County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife meeting is tentatively scheduled for Tuesday, August 11, 2020.

**6. FOR DISCUSSION ONLY. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS.**

Future agenda items will be determined closer to the next meeting date.

**CLOSING PUBLIC COMMENT.**

Public comment submitted prior to the Douglas County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife meeting have been compiled and made a part of the supporting materials that have been provided to the Douglas County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife Board members and public for review.

No public comment was submitted.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business to come before the Douglas County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife, the meeting adjourned at 7:32 p.m.

The minutes of the June 23, 2020 meeting of the Douglas County Advisory Board to Manage Wildlife are so approved this 11th day of August 2020.

Respectfully submitted:

---

Craig Burnside, Chairman